

Global Co-operation in Supplier Surveillance



- * Supplier Control forms a key part of the regulatory requirements of all of our systems.
- * As seen from our various national Findings analyses, lack of effective supplier control is in top 5, most often in the top 3.
- * This is mirrored by Industry's own risk pictures when we ask them for their common risks – both at Small and Large Organisation level.
- * Smaller and smaller organisations are using a more and more global supply chain.

Realities ...



- * No regulator is in a position to match resource levels to the projected growth in Aerospace Industry activity.
- * Much of that projected growth is outside the core approved sites within the National Authority boundaries, and much of it within Supply Chain/Joint Ventures outside the approval holder entirely.
- * Multi-Tier, Multi-National Supply Chains mean opportunity for multiple assessments by multiple regulators
- * ... or worse, entire areas that are not under the oversight of any Authority because we are not making best use of our resources.

Conclusion



- * *Effective co-operation between regulators in supplier surveillance is not a 'nice to have' any more, it is **essential** for us to do our work.*
- * How many people in the room think that international co-operation is a bad thing ?

Conclusion



- * *Effective co-operation between regulators in supplier surveillance is not a 'nice to have' any more, it is **essential** for us to do our work.*
- * How many people in the room think that international co-operation is a bad thing ?
- * So why aren't we doing it ?

Obstacles



- * **Legislation ?** (Discuss)
- * **Infrastructure** (Challenges)
- * A vehicle to provide visibility to Authorities on where we have been in the supply chain so that we can avoid duplication when planning.
- * Information on what was found when either we went there to audit or when we witnessed what Industry was doing.

Objective



- * Tool to provide information to the Authority **when planning** whether its own visit to that supply chain member is justified.
- * Confidential
- * Authority only
- * Simple information
 - * Subcontractor
 - * Contracting Prime
 - * Product
 - * Results of Surveillance or Witnessed Oversight
 - * Areas Reviewed
 - * Resulting Level of Confidence
 - * What else ? (Discuss)

The Crunch Question ...



- * Who is going to maintain the database ?
- * Beyond scope of any EU NAA.
- * FAA ?
- * EASA ?

The Crunch Question ...



- * Who is going to maintain the database ?
- * Beyond scope of any EU NAA.
- * FAA ?
- * EASA ?
- * Industry ?

Industry ?



- * Have already overcome these challenges with the NADCAP (PRI) and OASIS (IAQG) databases.
- * Potential to explore an 'authorities only' area of their platform for the exchange of intelligence on Day 3 ?
- * Benefit to Industry:- Reduced duplication of oversight/witness by the Regulators
- * Benefit to NAAs:- Reduced duplication, release of finite resource to allow review of suppliers not previously seen.
- * Alternative is for Central Database on Authority website, who will step up ?