



EASA
European Aviation Safety Agency

Product Certification and Design Organisation Approval Workshop 2018

Feedback from side meetings

Group 8 – Change classification Process: Common Issues

Speakers

Mick MALONE

Stephane BOUSSU

31/10/2018

Your safety is our mission.

An agency of the European Union 



EASA
European Aviation Safety Agency

Product Certification and Design Organisation Approval Workshop 2018

Feedback from side meetings – group 8






Main points of discussion & Actions identified

- Change to Type Certificate vs unintentional deviations from the approved design data occurring in production (concessions or non-conformance's)/ Production Permit vs Change to Type Certificate
 - Recurrent topic (since 2015), EASA to launch “rulemaking task” and recognition of Industry standard if accepted



Main points of discussion & Actions identified

- Role of CVE/Office of Airworthiness for Identification of “affected” requirement.
 - No action – current Part 21 is adequate.
 - GM No 1 to 21.A.239(a) Design assurance system § 3.1.3 & 3.1.4





Main points of discussion & Actions identified

- Role of CVE/Office of Airworthiness for Minor Change to TC without additional work to demonstrate compliance.
 - No action – current Part 21 is adequate.
 - AMC No 1 to 21.A.263(c)(2) § 2.3.2



Main points of discussion & Actions identified

➤ Miscellaneous:

➤ Update of TCDS

- EASA Action – Trigger for update and level of details required to be defined (e.g.: use of latest certification specification per 21.A.101 when not significant).

➤ Generic CRI

- EASA Action - Generic CRI to be visible on EASA web site & Policy for application of Generic CRI to be defined.

➤ Structure, Cabin

- Good discussions – clarifications provided – no further action

➤ OSD – report left to Airline Group



What did work well

- Side meeting focussed on topics rather than DOA categories.
- 30+ persons Working Group !!
- Time for networking
- Answers provided during the session (even if not pre-recorded)



Issues encountered

- EASA process for topic selection partially shared
- No advance distribution (4 weeks) of topic/detailed questions and participants to Working Group
 - Allow to be better prepared and bring example(s)
 - Was done previous years
- Detailed Records of side meeting discussions to be kept and shared