Hereafter there are some examples on how parts of a test campaign should typically be classified. In case of any doubt contact the Agency:

Category 1

#	CAT 1 Flight Test	Justification (3.1. Annex XII Part 21 unless stated)
1	H-V diagram determination	(b) Flights during which it can be envisaged to potentially encounter flight characteristics significantly different from those already known; GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R
2	Category A engine failures	(b) Flights during which it can be envisaged to potentially encounter flight characteristics significantly different from those already known; (d) Flights to determine or expand the flight envelope; REMARK: As a matter of fact, this activity is aimed to determine the Cat A take-off and landing envelope GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R
3	Flights to determine the Power ON and Power OFF V _{NE} envelopes for a new type or a significantly modified aircraft.	(b) Flights during which it can be envisaged to potentially encounter flight characteristics significantly different from those
4	Engine Failure Controllability	(b) Flights during which it can be envisaged to potentially encounter flight characteristics significantly different from those already known;
5	Icing Testing (for both Limited and Full Icing Approval)	(b) Flights during which it can be envisaged to potentially encounter flight characteristics significantly different from those already known; GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R "Upon determination, aircraft handling and performance in conditions where at least one of the following parameters is approaching the actual limits of the aircraft envelope: altitude, attitudes, weights, CG, speed/Mach, stalls, temperature, engine and aerofoil performance."

#	CAT 1 Flight Test	Justification (3.1. Annex XII Part 21 unless stated)
6	Hot and High / Cold	(e) Flights to determine the regulatory performances, flight characteristics and handling qualities when flight envelope limits
	Weather trials	are approached;
	These include:	GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R
	- IGE & OGE	"Upon determination, aircraft handling and performance in conditions where at least one of the following parameters is
	controllability in hot &	approaching the actual limits of the aircraft envelope: <u>altitude</u> , attitudes, weights, CG, speed/Mach, stalls, temperature , engine
	high conditions	and aerofoil performance."
	- Cold soak testing	
	- Takeoff and landing	
	envelope determination	
7	Maximum Rotor Mach	(b) Flights during which it can be envisaged to potentially encounter flight characteristics significantly different from those
	Number determination	already known;
	Automatic Flight Control	(b) Flights during which it can be envisaged to potentially encounter flight characteristics significantly different from those
	System (AFCS) Failure	already known;
8	Testing. Including	(d) Flights to determine or expand the flight envelope;
	hardover, runaway, etc	
	(CS 2x.1309)	
	Handling Qualities and	(d) Flights to determine or expand the flight envelope;
	Performance flights at	(e) flights to determine the regulatory performances, flight characteristics and handling qualities when flight envelope limits are
	maximum cruise	approached;
9	altitude	GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R
		"Upon determination, aircraft handling and performance in conditions where at least one of the following parameters is
		approaching the actual limits of the aircraft envelope: <u>altitude</u> , attitudes, weights, CG, speed/Mach, stalls, temperature,
	Constant Description to the	engine and aerofoil performance." (h) Slights design which it are to a suite and to act at in the superstant flights about the size in its and the superstant flights about the superstant flights about the superstant flights about the superstant flights are superstant flights about the superstant flights are superstant flights about the superstant flights are superstant flights and the superstant flights are superstant flights about the superstant flights are superstant flights are superstant flights are superstant flights are superstant flights.
	Ground Resonance tests	(b) Flights during which it can be envisaged to potentially encounter flight characteristics significantly different from those
10	on a new type or a	already known;
	significantly modified	
	aircraft.	

	#	CAT 1 Flight Test	Justification (3.1. Annex XII Part 21 unless stated)
		Determination or	(d) Flights to determine or expand the flight envelope;
		expansion of the	
	11	aircraft envelope	GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R
		following a cargo hook	"Where the embodiment of new systems is anticipated to significantly affect the aircraft's handling or performance
		or hoist installation.	characteristics "

Category 2

#	CAT 2 Flight Test	Justification (3.2. Annex XII Part 21 unless stated)
1	Performance Based	(b) Flightsafter embodiment of a not yet approved modification and which:
	Navigation testing	(ii) require an assessment of the basic crew procedures when a new or modified system is operating or is needed;
	NVIS testing for initial	(b) Flightsafter embodiment of a not yet approved modification and which:
	approval or lighting	(ii) require an assessment of the basic crew procedures when a new or modified system is operating or is needed;
2	modification with major	
	impact on NVIS	NOTE: for more information reference NVIS CM-FT-001.
	capability	
	Workload IFR/VFR	(b) Flightsafter embodiment of a not yet approved modification and which:
3	flights for minimum	(ii) require an assessment of the basic crew procedures when a new or modified system is operating or is needed;
	crew determination	
	AFCS performance	(b) Flightsafter embodiment of a not yet approved modification and which:
	flights following for	(i) Require an assessment of the general behaviour of the aircraft; or
	example:	GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R
4	- Change or installation	
	of an AFCS.	standard operational procedures. Additionally, in the case of embodiment of such systems on an already certified aircraft,
	- FMS new installation or	when the system integration in an existing cockpit requires a more global crew procedure assessment ().
	modification.	

#	CAT 2 Flight Test	Justification (3.2. Annex XII Part 21 unless stated)
	- Invasive external	
	installation affecting in a	
	significant way the	
	aerodynamics of the	
	helicopter.	
	Function and Reliability	GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R
5	Flights as required by	
	Part21A.35	REMARK: These are flight tests carried out before TC issuance
	Pitot static system	(a) Flights not classified as Category 1 on an aircraft whose type is not yet certified;
6	calibration for an	
	aircraft not yet certified	
	Flights for initial or post	
	mod validation of	(b) Flightsafter embodiment of a not yet approved modification and which:
7	Emergency/Normal	····
	procedures which	(ii) require an assessment of the basic crew procedures when a new or modified system is operating or is needed;
	significantly modify the	····
	approved Flight Manual	
	Noise tests for a new	(a) Flights not classified as Category 1 on an aircraft whose type is not yet certified;
8	type	
		REMARK: These are flight tests carried out before TC issuance
	Powerplant cooling tests	GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R
9		"The flight test envelope has already been opened and it has been demonstrated that the general behaviour of the aircraft is
		adequately safe and there are no unsafe flight characteristics"
1.0	Engine Installation	GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R
10	losses measurement	"The flight test envelope has already been opened and it has been demonstrated that the general behaviour of the aircraft is
		adequately safe and there are no unsafe flight characteristics"

#	CAT 2 Flight Test	Justification (3.2. Annex XII Part 21 unless stated)
	HMI evaluation	GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R
	following Terrain	Systems tests of guidance/warning systems such as Terrain Awareness and Warning System (TAWS) (), when the modes
	Awareness and Warning	themselves are tested, requiring operating the aircraft by deviating from the standard operational procedures. Additionally,
	System (TAWS) –	in the case of embodiment of such systems on an already certified aircraft, when the system integration in an existing cockpit
11	System integrated in	requires a more global crew procedure assessment — for example, when the system has been integrated in cockpit screens
	the cockpit	and a centralised warning system which requires a new cockpit procedure assessment ().
		REMARKS/CLARIFICATIONS:
		- A deviation of the standard operational procedures exists when (part of) the flight test is performed outside of the
		approved envelope of height and speed
		- If none of the above conditions apply, it can be classified as Category 4
	HMI evaluation of TCAS	(b) Flightsafter embodiment of a not yet approved modification and which:
	I or TCAS II systems	(ii) require an assessment of the basic crew procedures when a new or modified system is operating or is needed;
	integrated in the	
	cockpit, or a TCAS II in	GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R
	an IFR aircraft	Systems tests of guidance/warning systems such as () Airborne Collision Avoidance System (ACAS), when the modes
		themselves are tested, requiring operating the aircraft by deviating from the standard operational procedures. Additionally,
12		in the case of embodiment of such systems on an already certified aircraft, when the system integration in an existing cockpit
		requires a more global crew procedure assessment — for example, when the system has been integrated in cockpit screens
		and a centralised warning system which requires a new cockpit procedure assessment ().
		DENAADVS /CLADIEICATIONIC
		REMARKS/CLARIFICATIONS:
		- A deviation of the standard operational procedures exists when (part of) the flight test is performed outside of the
		approved envelope of height and speed If none of the above conditions apply, it can be classified as Category 4
		in notice of the above conditions apply, it can be diassified as category +

#	CAT 2 Flight Test	Justification (3.2. Annex XII Part 21 unless stated)
13	Performance, Handling Qualities and Load survey following an external installation like a Camera/ FLIR / search light (SX16, Trakka etc.) or external modifications (e.g. weather radar radome, bubble window)	(b) Flightsafter embodiment of a not yet approved modification and which: (i) Require an assessment of the general behaviour of the aircraft; or This applies when an engineering assessment shows that there is no potential encounter of flight characteristics significantly different from those already known; Otherwise it must be classified as Category 1.
14	Replacement of a conventional cockpit with a glass cockpit avionic suite	(b) Flightsafter embodiment of a not yet approved modification and which: (ii) require an assessment of the basic crew procedures when a new or modified system is operating or is needed;

Category 3

CAT 3 Flight Test	Justification (3.3. Annex XII Part 21 unless stated)
Production Flight	Flights performed for the issuance of statement of conformity for a new-built aircraft which do not require flying outside of
	the limitations of the type certificate or the aircraft flight manual.

Category 4 (flight tests for a type not yet certified cannot be classified as Category 4)

#	CAT 4 Flight Test	Justification (3.4. Annex XII Part 21 unless stated)
1	Functional evaluation and EMI following a simple and stand-alone avionic installation (e.g. radio, ELT, SATCOM, GSM phones, FLARM, FLOICE, weather radar, transponder)	GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R — good functioning test only is required; and — there is no need to fly the aircraft outside the AFM limitations.
2	Functional evaluation following Terrain Awareness and Warning System (TAWS) or Airborne Collision Avoidance System (ACAS) — System not integrated in the cockpit and not coupled to the AFCS	GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R If the "flight test is performed in a domain corresponding to the normal operation of the aircraft" in terms of height, speed, and combination of both
3	Functional evaluation and EMI following: - Cabin entertainment installation - Cabin new configuration or new interiors installations - Internal public address system	GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R — good functioning test only is required; and — there is no need to fly the aircraft outside the AFM limitations.

#	CAT 4 Flight Test	Justification (3.4. Annex XII Part 21 unless stated)
4	Functional evaluation following avionic equipment replacement without additional functionalities	GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R — good functioning test only is required; and — there is no need to fly the aircraft outside the AFM limitations. An example would be a replacement of a GNSS receiver, attitude indicator, moving map (in a VFR helicopter), radar altimeter, transponder, etc.
5	Pitot static calibration following a dynamic or static port relocation without any external modification	GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R — good functioning test only is required; and — there is no need to fly the aircraft outside the AFM limitations. Without the use of techniques such as pace vehicle or trailing bomb that require an adequate flight test training
6	Avionics cooling tests on an already certified aircraft	GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R — good functioning test only is required; and — there is no need to fly the aircraft outside the AFM limitations.
7	NVIS evaluation following a change which has a minor effect on an already NVIS approved cockpit	GM, Annex to ED Decision 2015/026/R — good functioning test only is required; and — there is no need to fly the aircraft outside the AFM limitations .