

Scope/Applicability

We assume that only those systems are subject to Certification/Declaration/SoC, where applicable specific Detailed Specifications have been issued. Is this assumption correct?

Answer

In fact, systems that require attestation are defined in Articles 4, 5 and 6 of the Delegated Regulation (EU) 2023/1768. All these systems have to fulfil at least the general requirements contained in the detailed specifications. Additionally, systems will have to comply to specific detailed specifications in case they are available.

Last updated:

03/01/2024

Link:

<https://www.easa.europa.eu/it/faq/139185>

We assume that Electronic Flight Strips are not subject to certification (EFS does not fall into 3b, as EFS does not provide separation of aircraft or prevention of collision, it is not 3a either, there it must be 3c). Please confirm.

Answer

EFS falls in the scope of the ATC equipment that supports ATCO's in providing separation.

Last updated:

03/01/2024

Link:

<https://www.easa.europa.eu/it/faq/139186>

What parts of the system need to be certified/how can we define the equipment/constituent that needs to be certified.

E.g. Flight strips System with several servers, operating system and virtualisation, switches, operating position equipment plus some sof

Answer

It is a decision of the DPO to set the boundaries of the equipment that they wish to certify/declare.

COTS HW is not automatically excluded because it is COTS. However, if COTS HW is part of the supporting infrastructure, then it may fall out of the product boundaries.

Last updated:

03/01/2024

Link:

<https://www.easa.europa.eu/it/faq/139187>

Is the following requirement applicable for all ATM/ANS Equipment in "PART 2 — ATM/ANS equipment subject to certification / Subpart A — Air traffic services: "DS GE.CER.ATS.110 ATS recording ATM/ANS equipment specified in this Subpart is to provide re

Answer

Yes, it is applicable for all in Part 2.

Last updated:

03/01/2024

Link:

<https://www.easa.europa.eu/it/faq/139188>

DoV refers to the systems structured according to support of the functions and services provided within the functional system defined by the respective ANSP, while SoC refers to components/equipment. DoV also covers the integration process within ANSP, wh

Answer

Not exactly. E.g. point 3 of Annex VIII (Essential requirements) of EASA Basic Regulation also refers to “The systems and procedures shall include in particular those required to support the following functions and services (...)”. Thus, the principle is the same. As regards the integration, it remains as today the ATM/ANS provider’s responsibilities as only the ANSP has the global picture of the complete functional systems and how it will behave or continue to behave after the integration.

Last updated:

03/01/2024

Link:<https://www.easa.europa.eu/it/faq/139189>

The DoV is also documenting the integration of components/devices into the provider's systems within its FS, the new regulatory framework does not cover this integration - the SoC issuing process ends before the device is integrated into the FS, the integ

Answer

Yes, the integration should be assessed as part of the change to the functional system.

Last updated:

03/01/2024

Link:<https://www.easa.europa.eu/it/faq/139190>

In Part 3, Subpart C, what about PSR and SMR?

Answer

They will be introduced in due course, at further updates, as EASA moves forward. Hopefully, before the transition period expires.

Last updated:

04/01/2024

Link:

<https://www.easa.europa.eu/it/faq/139205>

Could you please elaborate a bit more on the Statements of Compliance (SoCs) in case there are no detailed specifications DSs? If there are no DSs, then no SoC is required, right? Otherwise, any single and simple system would need a SoC?

Answer

Equipment that falls under Article 6 of Regulation (EU) 2023/1768 is subject to compliance with the DSs in DS-GE.SoC. The attestation of compliance must be made through an SoC.

DSs contain general requirements that must be complied with (Subpart A 'General' in DS-GE.SoC), even when there are no lower-level specific requirements in the DSs. Therefore, an SoC is required when the equipment falls under Article 6, even when there are no specific DSs.

Last updated:

04/04/2024

Link:

<https://www.easa.europa.eu/it/faq/139206>

From your explanations, we infer that if we need to put into service a system but there are no DSs, then we only need to comply with the GENERAL part of the DSs: Is this interpretation right?

Answer

That is correct.

Last updated:

04/01/2024

Link:

<https://www.easa.europa.eu/it/faq/139207>

If a detailed specification (DS) does not exist for certain

hardware (HW) or software (SW), e.g. the application that provides to air traffic controllers (ATCO) the radar availability chart on the auxiliary display, is it subject to this regulatory packag

Answer

It is not the lack of lower-level specific requirements in a DS that determines if certain equipment is subject to CERT/DECL/SoC, but rather Articles 4, 5, and 6 of Regulation (EU) 2023/1768.

Articles 4, 5 and 6 address what is included at each category. Therefore, equipment supporting air traffic control (ATC) service provision will be subject to compliance with the DSs.

It is important to note that DSs always contain general requirements that must be complied with, even when there are no lower-level specific requirements in the DS.

Last updated:

04/04/2024

Link:

<https://www.easa.europa.eu/it/faq/139610>

Where is compliance with the essential requirements documented in the first place?

Answer

Compliance with the essential requirements is demonstrated when the equipment demonstrates compliance with the detailed specifications applicable to the equipment category. There is no requirement on where compliance with the essential requirements is explicitly recorded.

Last updated:

10/05/2024

Link:

<https://www.easa.europa.eu/it/faq/139825>

What parts/functions/constituents of a remote tower system will be subject to conformity assessment?

Answer

Regulation (EU) 2023/1768 defines the categories of equipment that are subject to the conformity assessment process. Please see Article 4 (for equipment subject to certification), Article 5 (for equipment that is subject to declaration) and Article 6 (for equipment that is subject to statement of compliance). The regulation applies equally to equipment used within a remote tower system or equipment used within a conventional tower system.

Last updated:

10/05/2024

Link:

<https://www.easa.europa.eu/it/faq/139826>

Does the conformity assessment framework for ATM/ANS ground equipment , including Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2023/1771, apply to Flight Procedure Design (FPD) providers?

Answer

ATM/ANS equipment used in support of FPD services are out of the scope of Regulation (EU) 2023/1768. Article 4, Article 5, and Article 6 of this regulation determines what ATM/ANS equipment is subject to the conformity assessment. Equipment supporting FPD, DAT and other Network Functions (other than ATFM) are not listed, and thus **FPD is not in the scope of Regulation (EU) 2023/1768**.

However, FPD organisations **are** within the scope of Regulation (EU) 2017/373. This includes the latest amendment of Regulation (EU) 2023/1771. This amendment applies to FPD in its entirety. Indeed, GM1 ATM/ANS.OR.A.045(g)(4) to Regulation (EU) 2017/373 is intended to explain this aspect. In short, ATM/ANS.OR.A.045(g) applies to FPD, in particular paragraph (4) , because this equipment does not fall within points (1) (2) nor (3).

In summary, for equipment used in the provision of FPD services, the conformity assessment regulation (i.e., Regulation (EU) 2023/1768) **does not apply** . However, Regulation 2017/373, as amended by Regulation (EU) 2023/1771, **does apply**.

Last updated:

10/05/2024

Link:<https://www.easa.europa.eu/it/faq/139828>**Does a military surveillance station fall under the equipment Regulation (EU) 2023/1768 if an ANSP chooses to make use of the surveillance data for ATM/ANS provision?****Answer**

No. However, military services or equipment used for civilian purposes by an ANSP are not fully excluded from the scope of regulation.

Article 2 of the Basic Regulation (EU) 2018/1139 excludes ATM/ANS systems and constituents provided or made available by the military from its scope. However, in accordance with Article 2(5)(b) of the Basic Regulation, the Member States shall ensure that those military ATM/ANS or equipment offer a level of safety and interoperability with civil systems that is as effective as that resulting from the application of the essential requirements that are laid out in the Basic Regulation.

In other words, the military service or equipment provider is not bound by the implementing rules (i.e., 2023/1768 and 2023/1769) and is not required to apply the requirements defined in 2023/1768. However, the Member States are required to demonstrate that military ATM/ANS or equipment provides a level of safety and interoperability that is as effective as civil systems that are developed on the basis of Regulation (EU) 2023/1768. This is in addition to assessments performed by the ATM/ANS provider and any Service Level Agreement (SLA).

For example, this could be achieved by applying (and demonstrating compliance to) the requirements of 2023/1768. It could also be achieved by demonstrating that the standards and requirements used to develop the military ATM/ANS or equipment (e.g., military standards) are equivalent to the standards and requirements defined in 2023/1768. This demonstration that the equipment provides a level of safety and interoperability as effective as civil systems is to be made available, upon request, to any civil ANSP intending to make use of the military equipment in the provision of their services.

Finally, there is an obligation on EASA when monitoring Member States' compliance with the Basic Regulation through the standardisation processes, to verify that the process/criteria used by Member States (to determine that military services or

equipment are “as effective as” the systems and equipment produced under 2023/1768) are coherent and aligned and achieve that objective.

Please see below for the relevant regulatory references.

Paragraph 9 of the Basic Regulation (REGULATION (EU) 2018/1139) states:

“Aerodromes that are controlled and operated by the military, as well as air traffic management and air navigation services (‘ATM/ANS’) that are provided or made available by the military, should be excluded from the scope of this Regulation. However, Member States should ensure, in accordance with their national law, that such aerodromes, when opened to the public, and such ATM/ANS when serving air traffic to which Regulation (EC) No 549/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council (1) applies, offer a level of safety and interoperability with civil systems that is as effective as that resulting from the application of the essential requirements for aerodromes and ATM/ANS set out in this Regulation. ”

Article 2 paragraph 3 states:

“3. This Regulation shall not apply to ... (c) ATM/ANS, including systems and constituents, personnel and organisations, that are provided or made available by the military;

Article 2 paragraph 5 states:

“5. Without prejudice to national security and defence requirements, and Article 7(5) of Regulation (EC) No 550/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council (1), Member States shall ensure that:

(a) the facilities referred to in point (b) of the first subparagraph of paragraph 3 of this Article that are open to public use; and

(b) the ATM/ANS referred to in point (c) of the first subparagraph of paragraph 3 of this Article that are provided to air traffic to which Regulation (EC) No 549/2004 applies,

offer a level of safety and interoperability with civil systems that is as effective as that resulting from the application of the essential requirements set out in Annexes VII and VIII to this Regulation.”

Last updated:

10/05/2024

Link:

<https://www.easa.europa.eu/it/faq/139829>