



# Terms of Reference

for a rulemaking task

## Regular update of CS-25

RMT.0500 — ISSUE 1 — 26/06/2013

Applicability		Process map	
Affected regulations and decisions:	CS-25 ED Decision 2003/02/RM	Rulemaking lead:	R4
		Concept Paper:	No
		Rulemaking group:	No
Affected stakeholders:	Essentially large aeroplane manufacturers and other design organisations having Supplemental Type Certificates, repairs or changes to large aroplanes in their scope of activity	RIA type:	Light or no RIA
		Technical consultation during NPA drafting:	No
		Publication date of the NPA:	2013/Q3
		Duration of NPA consultation:	2 months
Driver/origin:	Safety; EASA Rulemaking Procedure (EASA MB Decision No 01-2012), Article 3.5. on 'systematic tasks'	Review group:	No
		Focussed consultation:	No
		Publication date of the Opinion:	N/A
Reference:	N/A	Publication date of the Decision:	2013/04

## 1. Issue and reasoning for regulatory change

The Agency is facing a growing number of subjects that are candidates for the amendment of certification specifications. Such subjects are various in nature. Some of them may be directly driven by safety issues, while others may be primarily driven by other factors (for instance, the arrival of new technologies not yet addressed in the certification specifications, or the need to address security items, etc.). Some of them are simple while others are complex.

In order to increase the efficiency of the rulemaking process, it has been decided to group together some subjects that are considered as non-complex, non-controversial, and mature. This concept was introduced in the revised EASA Management Board Decision amending and replacing the 'Rulemaking Procedure' (EASA MB 01/2012 dated 13 March 2012), see Article 3.5. on 'systematic tasks'.

Every year the Agency would make a review of the availability of such eligible items and would eventually publish an NPA proposing an amendment of CS-25<sup>1</sup>.

This kind of exercise was already made in the past with rulemaking task 25.070, but it was limited to some selected generic Certification Review Items (CRIs).

In the present rulemaking task, not only generic CRIs could be proposed, but also other simple and straightforward items which do not necessitate a single separate rulemaking task.

## 2. Objectives

Propose an amendment of CS-25 based on the selection of non-complex, non-controversial, and mature subjects. The ultimate goal is to increase safety.

## 3. Activities

Select subjects meeting the criteria of the objectives above.

## 4. Deliverables

NPA describing the subjects that have been selected and proposing an amendment of CS-25,

CRD to the NPA,

ED Decision amending CS-25.

---

<sup>1</sup> ED Decision 2003/2/RM of 17 October 2003 on certification specifications, including airworthiness codes and acceptable means of compliance, for large aeroplanes ('CS-25'), as last amended by ED Decision 2012/008/R of 06 July 2012 ('CS-25 amendment 12').

## **5. Annex I: Reference documents**

### **5.1. Affected regulations**

Certifications Specifications for Large Aeroplanes (CS-25)

### **5.2. Affected decisions**

ED Decision 2003/2/RM of 17 October 2003 on certification specifications, including airworthiness codes and acceptable means of compliance, for large aeroplanes ('CS-25'), as last amended by ED Decision 2012/008/R of 06 July 2012 ('CS-25 amendment 12').

### **5.3. Reference documents**

N/A