

Draft Annex X to ED Decision 201X/XXX/R

‘Acceptable Means of Compliance (AMC) and Guidance Material (GM) to Part-FPD on specific requirements for providers of flight procedure design services’

Annex XI to ED Decision 2017/001/R is replaced as follows:

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

Table of contents

AMC/GM TO PART ASD FPD	4
SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS FOR PROVIDERS OF FLIGHT PROCEDURE DESIGN	4
SUBPART A — ADDITIONAL ORGANISATION REQUIREMENTS FOR PROVIDERS OF FLIGHT PROCEDURE DESIGN SERVICES (FPD.OR)	4
AMC1 FPD.OR.100 Flight procedure design services	4
GM1 FPD.OR.100 Flight procedure design services	4
GM2 FPD.OR.100 Flight procedure design services	4
GM3 FPD.OR.100 Flight procedure design services	4
GM1 to AMC2 FPD.OR.100 Flight procedure design services	4
GM2 to AMC2 FPD.OR.100 Flight procedure design services	4
GM1 FPD.OR.105 Management system	5
GM1 FPD.OR.105(a) Management system	5
AMC1 FPD.OR.105(c) Management system	5
GM1 FPD.OR.105(d) Management system	5
GM2 FPD.OR.105(d) Management system	6
GM3 FPD.OR.105(d) Management system	6
AMC1 FPD.OR.115(a)(1) Technical and operational competence and capability	6
GM1 FPD.OR.115(a)(1) Technical and operational competence and capability	7
GM2 FPD.OR.115(a)(1) Technical and operational competence and capability	7
GM3 FPD.OR.115(a)(1) Technical and operational competence and capability	7
AMC1 FPD.OR.115(a)(2) Technical and operational competence and capability	7
GM1 to AMC1 FPD.OR.115(a)(1)(ii) (b) Technical and operational competence and capability	7
GM1 FPD.OR.115(a)(3) Technical and operational competence and capability	8
GM1 FPD.OR.115(b) Technical and operational competence and capability	8
GM1 FPD.OR.120 Required interfaces	8
SUBPART B — TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS FOR PROVIDERS OF FLIGHT PROCEDURE DESIGN SERVICES (FPD.TR)	8
AMC1 FPD.TR.100 Flight procedure design criteria	8
AMC2 FPD.TR.100 Flight procedure design criteria	8
GM1 FPD.TR.100 Flight procedure design criteria	8
GM2 FPD.TR.100 Flight procedure design criteria	9
GM3 FPD.TR.100 Flight procedure design criteria	9
APPENDIX 1 to ANNEX XI (Part-FPD)	10
REQUIREMENTS FOR AIRSPACE STRUCTURES AND FLIGHT PROCEDURES CONTAINED THEREIN AND THEIR DESIGNATION	10
GM1 Specifications for flight information regions, control areas and control zones	10
GM2 Specifications for flight information regions, control areas and control zones	10
AMC1 A. FLIGHT INFORMATION REGIONS	10
AMC1 B. CONTROL AREAS	10
AMC2 B. CONTROL AREAS	10
GM1 B. CONTROL AREAS	10

AMC1 C. CONTROL ZONES.....	11
GM1 C. CONTROL ZONES.....	11
AMC1 (2) Identification of ATS routes other than standard departure and arrival routes.....	12
GM1 (2) Identification of ATS routes other than standard departure and arrival routes	12
GM1 to AMC1 (2)(b) Identification of ATS routes other than standard departure and arrival routes.....	13
AMC1(1)(a) Identification of standard departure and arrival routes and associated procedures	13
AMC1(1)(b) Identification of standard departure and arrival routes and associated procedures	13
AMC1(2)(b) Identification of standard departure and arrival routes and associated procedures	14
AMC1(2)(e) Identification of standard departure and arrival routes and associated procedures	14
GM1 Identification of standard departure and arrival routes and associated procedures	14
AMC1 Establishment and identification of significant points	16
GM1 Establishment and identification of significant points	18
GM1 Minimum flight altitudes	19
AMC1 Identification and delineation of prohibited, restricted and danger areas.....	19
GM1 Identification and delineation of prohibited, restricted and danger areas.....	19
GM1 DESIGNATION OF THE PORTIONS OF THE AIRSPACE WHERE AIR TRAFFIC SERVICES WILL BE PROVIDED	Error! Bookmark not defined.
GM2 DESIGNATION OF THE PORTIONS OF THE AIRSPACE WHERE AIR TRAFFIC SERVICES WILL BE PROVIDED	Error! Bookmark not defined.

AMC/GM TO PART-ASD FPD

SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS FOR PROVIDERS OF FLIGHT PROCEDURE DESIGN

Reserved

SUBPART A — ADDITIONAL ORGANISATION REQUIREMENTS FOR PROVIDERS OF FLIGHT PROCEDURE DESIGN SERVICES (FPD.OR)

AMC1 FPD.OR.100 Flight procedure design services

SOURCE

In reference to data source, please refer to AMC1 DAT.OR.100(a) Aeronautical data and information 'DATA SOURCE'.

GM1 FPD.OR.100 Flight procedure design services

GENERAL

If a change to the flight procedure(s) results in a change to the functional system of an ATS provider, a safety assessment of the change to the functional system of that ATS provider in accordance with ATS.OR.205 needs to be carried out before the deployment of that flight procedure.

In other situations, the organisation performing the safety assessment may vary such as e.g. aerodrome operator as a change of flight procedure(s) at an aerodrome may be performed by the aerodrome operator as per ADR.OR.B.040(f) of Commission Regulation (EU) No 139/2014.

GM2 FPD.OR.100 Flight procedure design services

DESIGN AND DOCUMENTATION

Design and documentation of flight procedures includes maintenance and period review activities.

In reference to periodic review, please refer to AMC1 Article 3(6) Provision of ATM/ANS, flight procedure design and airspace structure design, and ATM network functions 'PERIODIC REVIEW'.

GM3 FPD.OR.100 Flight procedure design services

VALIDATION OF AERONAUTICAL DATA

In reference to validation of aeronautical data, please refer to GM1 DAT.OR.100(a) Aeronautical data and information 'VALIDATION OF AERONAUTICAL DATA'.

GM1 to AMC2 FPD.OR.100 Flight procedure design services

NON-AUTHORITATIVE SOURCE

In reference to non-authoritative source, please refer to GM1 to AMC1 DAT.OR.100(a) Aeronautical data and information 'NON-AUTHORITATIVE SOURCE'.

GM2 to AMC2 FPD.OR.100 Flight procedure design services

SOURCE

In reference to data source, please refer to GM2 to AMC1 DAT.OR.100(a) Aeronautical data and information 'DATA SOURCE'.

The first known flight procedure design service provider that uses data coming from other (non-authoritative) sources in the aeronautical data chain, accepts the responsibility of the data originator (i.e. ensuring that the data meets the data quality requirements).

GM1 FPD.OR.105 Management system

GENERAL

ICAO Doc 9906 Vol. 1 'Flight Procedure Design Quality Assurance System' provides guidance for a flight procedure design process.

GM1 FPD.OR.105(a) Management system

DATA ACQUISITION

The flight procedure design process starts with the verification of input data in coordination with affected stakeholders. The following aspects should be addressed:

- (a) aerodrome, navigation aids, obstacles and terrain coordinates, and elevation data based on trustworthy sources;
- (b) airspace data and associated requirements;
- (c) user requirements, i.e. airspace users and air traffic service provider;
- (d) airport infrastructure and equipment;
- (e) environmental considerations; and
- (f) any other information as potentially specified by the competent authority.

AMC1 FPD.OR.105(c) Management system

FLIGHT PROCEDURE DESIGN DOCUMENTATION

Flight procedure design documentation should be kept at least during the lifetime of the flight procedure.

GM1 FPD.OR.105(d) Management system

VALIDATION

- (a) The flight procedure may be validated using any of the following methodologies:
 - (1) airspace modelling;
 - (2) fast time simulation;
 - (3) real time simulation;
 - (4) live ATC trials;
 - (5) flight simulation;
 - (6) data analytical tools;
 - (7) statistical analysis;
 - (8) collision risk modelling;
 - (9) noise and emissions modelling;
 - (10) flight trials; and
 - (11) expert judgement.

- (b) Validation is the necessary final quality assurance step in the flight procedure design. Validation may consist of ground validation and/or flight validation. ICAO Doc 9906 Vol. 5 'Validation of Instrument Flight Procedures' provides guidance for conducting the validation process of instrument flight procedures, including safety, ability to be flown and verification of data accuracy and completeness. Ground validation is always undertaken, but flight validation may not always be required.

GM2 FPD.OR.105(d) Management system

GROUND VALIDATION

- (a) Ground validation should be always undertaken to ensure compliance with applicable requirements, i.e. to detect errors in criteria and documentation, and evaluate on the ground, to the extent possible, those elements that could be evaluated in a flight validation whenever necessary.

Ground validation should be performed by a person trained in flight procedure design as per FPD.OR.115 other than the one who designed the flight procedure and with appropriate knowledge of flight validation issues.

- (b) Ground validation should include a systematic review of the steps and calculation involved in the flight procedure design and its impact, aiming at:

- (1) providing assurance that adequate obstacle clearance has been provided;
- (2) verifying that the navigation data (e.g. tracks, distances and altitudes to be flown) to be published are correct;
- (3) conducting an assessment of flyability to determine that the procedure can be safely flown; and
- (4) evaluating the charting, obstacle clearance and other operational factors.

GM3 FPD.OR.105(d) Management system

FLIGHT VALIDATION

Flight validation should be carried out whenever necessary, based on the results from the ground validation in order to:

- (a) provide assurance that adequate obstacle clearance has been provided;
- (b) verify that the navigation data to be published is correct;
- (c) verify that all required infrastructure supports the procedure (e.g. runway markings, lighting, communications and navigation sources);
- (d) conduct an assessment of flyability to determine that the procedure can be safely flown; and
- (e) evaluate the charting, obstacle clearance and other operational factors.

AMC1 FPD.OR.115(a)(1) Technical and operational competence and capability

TRAINING COURSE

The training course should provide the designer with:

- (a) knowledge of technical rules for the design and the establishment of instrument flight procedures;
- (b) knowledge of design criteria;
- (c) knowledge of the Data Catalogue, including the applicable data quality requirements (DQRs); and
- (d) ability to design flight procedures with the selected tools in accordance with the design criteria.

GM1 FPD.OR.115(a)(1) Technical and operational competence and capability

TRAINING COURSE

An initial training course should be based, as a minimum, on:

- (a) Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/373 laying down common requirements for service providers and the oversight in air traffic management/air navigation services and other air traffic management network functions;
- (b) Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 923/2012 laying down the common rules of the air and operational provisions regarding services and procedures in air navigation;
- (c) design criteria as defined in FPD.TR.100;
- (d) ICAO Annex 11 'Air Traffic Services';
- (e) ICAO Annex 4 'Aeronautical Charts';
- (f) ICAO Doc 9613 'Performance-based Navigation (PBN) Manual';
- (g) ICAO Doc 9906 'Quality Assurance Manual for Flight Procedure Design'; and
- (h) tools used in the design, which may be acquired as part of the on-the-job training.

GM2 FPD.OR.115(a)(1) Technical and operational competence and capability

TRAINING COURSE

The flight procedure design service provider's personnel involved in the flight procedure charting and/or coding should have successfully completed a training course that provides a basic level of competency in charting and/or coding.

GM3 FPD.OR.115(a)(1) Technical and operational competence and capability

TRAINING COURSE

ICAO Doc 9906 Vol. 2 'Flight Procedure Designer Training' provides guidance for the establishment of flight procedure designer training and possible content.

AMC1 FPD.OR.115(a)(2) Technical and operational competence and capability

FLIGHT PROCEDURE DESIGNER EXPERIENCE

The flight procedure designer shows suitable experience to demonstrate practical application of theoretical knowledge. This should be achieved by showing either:

- (a) Proof of recent flight procedure design work, which should include details of specific designs that have been completed and over what period of time; or
- (b) Proof of sufficient on-the-job training. In doing so, the procedure designer should have undergone a minimum of time on-the-job PANS-OPS design training with a flight procedure design service provider until demonstrating adequate competency in the practical application of design criteria.

GM1 to AMC1 FPD.OR.115(a)(1)(ii) (b) Technical and operational competence and capability

FLIGHT PROCEDURE DESIGNER EXPERIENCE

The on-the-job training is recommended to be minimum 2 years, which period may be substantially reduced in cases where the designer has experience in flight procedures.

GM1 FPD.OR.115(a)(3) Technical and operational competence and capability

CONTINUATION TRAINING

Continuation training aims at addressing changes in the applicable design criteria and regulations.

GM1 FPD.OR.115(b) Technical and operational competence and capability

COMPETENT PILOT

ICAO Doc 9906 Volume 6 'Flight Validation Pilot Training and Evaluation (Development of a Flight Validation Pilot Training Programme)' provides guidance for the establishment of flight procedure validation pilot training.

GM1 FPD.OR.120 Required interfaces

FORMAL ARRANGEMENTS

- (a) Formal arrangements could be, but not limited, in a form of Service-level agreement (SLA), contract or memorandum of understanding (MoU) that should specify the scope of aeronautical data and aeronautical information to be received/provided.
- (b) The flight procedure design services provider should demonstrate that formal arrangements with aeronautical data sources are implemented. In this context, procedures should be established to communicate instances of erroneous, inconsistent or missing data.
- (c) The FPDSP's procedures should confirm that effective controls are in place to ensure that an unsafe product is not released and that such concerns are communicated to other service providers, aerodrome operators and/or aircraft operators.
- (d) The FPDSP should demonstrate that a formal arrangements with other service providers, aerodrome operators and/or aircraft operators is in place to confirm that end user's requests are clearly defined and subject to review.

SUBPART B — TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS FOR PROVIDERS OF FLIGHT PROCEDURE DESIGN SERVICES (FPD.TR)

AMC1 FPD.TR.100 Flight procedure design criteria

DESIGN CRITERIA

Flight procedures should be designed in accordance with the design criteria contained in ICAO Doc 8168 (PANS-OPS) Volume II 'Construction of Visual and Instrument Flight Procedures', as last amended.

AMC2 FPD.TR.100 Flight procedure design criteria

REQUIRED NAVIGATION PERFORMANCE AUTHORISATION REQUIRED (RNP AR) PROCEDURE DESIGN CRITERIA

When implementing RNP AR approach procedures, these procedures should be designed in accordance with the design criteria contained in ICAO Doc 9905 'Required Navigation Performance Authorization Required (RNP AR) Procedure Design Manual', as last amended.

GM1 FPD.TR.100 Flight procedure design criteria

NORMAL OPERATIONS

The flight procedures design in accordance with PANS-OPS criteria assumes normal operations. It is the responsibility of the aircraft operator to provide contingency procedures for abnormal and emergency operations.

GM2 FPD.TR.100 Flight procedure design criteria

FLIGHT PROCEDURES IN UPPER FLIGHT INFORMATION REGIONS

In cases where an upper flight information region is established, the procedures applicable therein need not be identical with those applicable in the underlying flight information region.

GM3 FPD.TR.100 Flight procedure design criteria

INSTRUMENT FLIGHT PROCEDURE CONSTRUCTION

Additional guidance for the construction of instrument flight procedures can be found in ICAO Doc 9368 'Instrument Flight Procedures Construction Manual'.

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

APPENDIX 1 TO ANNEX XI (PART-FPD)

REQUIREMENTS FOR AIRSPACE STRUCTURES AND FLIGHT PROCEDURES CONTAINED THEREIN

SECTION I

Specifications for flight information regions, control areas, control zones and flight information zones

GM1 Specifications for flight information regions, control areas and control zones

flight information regions, control areas and control zones

Whenever possible, a control zone, a control area, and a flight information region should be identified by the name of the unit having jurisdiction over such airspace.

GM2 Specifications for flight information regions, control areas and control zones

flight information regions, control areas

Where it is desirable to limit the number of flight information regions or control areas through which high flying aircraft would otherwise have to operate, a flight information region or control area, as appropriate, should be delineated to include the upper airspace within the lateral limits of a number of lower flight information regions or control areas.

AMC1 A. FLIGHT INFORMATION REGIONS

LIMITED BY AN UPPER FLIGHT INFORMATION REGION

When limited by an upper flight information region, the lower limit specified for the upper flight information region should constitute the upper vertical limit of the flight information region and should coincide with a VFR cruising level as specified in the tables in Appendix 3 to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 923/2012.

GM1 A. FLIGHT INFORMATION REGIONS

LIMITED BY AN UPPER FLIGHT INFORMATION REGION

Control areas, control zones and flight information zones should form part of that flight information region.

AMC1 B. CONTROL AREAS

LOWER LIMIT

The lower limit of a control area should be established at a greater height above the ground or water of not less than 200 m (700 ft), when practicable and desirable in order to allow freedom of action for VFR flights below the control area.

AMC2 B. CONTROL AREAS

UPPER LIMIT

When established, the upper limit of a control area should coincide with a VFR cruising level of the tables in Appendix 3 to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 923/2012.

GM1 B. CONTROL AREAS

GENERAL

- (a) When the lower limit of a control area is above 900 m (3 000 ft) mean sea level (MSL), it should coincide with a VFR cruising level of the tables in Appendix 3 to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 923/2012.
- (b) In a given control area, the lower limit may be established non-uniformly (see Figure A-5 of the 'Air Traffic Services Planning Manual' (Doc 9426), Part I, Section 2, Chapter 3).
- (c) The selected VFR cruising level of the lower limit of a control area should be such that expected local atmospheric pressure variations do not result in a lowering of this limit to a height of less than 200 m (700 ft) above ground or water.
- (d) In a control area other than one formed by a system of airways, a system of routes may be established to facilitate the provision of air traffic control.

AMC1 C. CONTROL ZONES

HORIZONTAL LIMITS

The horizontal limits of a control zone should extend to at least 9.3 km (5 NM) from the centre of the aerodrome or aerodromes concerned in the directions from which approaches may be made.

GM1 C. CONTROL ZONES

GENERAL

- (a) If a control zone is located outside of the horizontal limits of a control area, an upper limit should be established.
- (b) An upper limit higher than the lower limit of the overlying control area may be established when desired.
- (c) If it is desired to establish the upper limit of a control zone at a level higher than the lower limit of the control area established above it, or if the control zone is located outside of the lateral limits of a control area, its upper limit should be established at a level which can easily be identified by pilots. When this limit is above 900 m (3 000 ft) MSL, it should coincide with a VFR cruising level of the tables in Appendix 3 to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 923/2012.
- (d) The selected VFR cruising level of the upper limit of a control zone should be such that the expected local atmospheric pressure variations do not result in a lowering of this limit to a height of less than 200 m (700 ft) above ground or water.
- (e) A control zone may include two or more aerodromes situated close together.
- (f) When designing the lateral limits of control zones, aircraft holding in the vicinity of aerodromes are considered as arriving aircraft.

GM1 D. FLIGHT INFORMATION ZONES

GENERALE

A flight information zone should have its horizontal and vertical limits specified. The dimensions of the flight information zone should coincide with those of RMZ and/or TMZ, where established, or they should be increased to provide added safeguards.

SECTION II

Identification of navigation specifications and the identification of ATS routes other than standard departure and arrival routes

AMC1 (2) Identification of ATS routes other than standard departure and arrival routes

GENERAL

Controlled, advisory and uncontrolled ATS routes, with the exception of standard arrival and departure routes should be identified as follows:

- (a) The basic designator should consist of one letter of the alphabet followed by a number from 1 to 999.

Selection of the letter shall be made from those listed hereunder:

- (1) 'A', 'B', 'G', 'R' for routes, which form part of the regional networks of ATS routes and are not area navigation routes;
- (2) 'L', 'M', 'N', 'P' for area navigation routes, which form part of the regional networks of ATS routes;
- (3) 'H', 'J', 'V', 'W' for routes, which do not form part of the regional networks of ATS routes and are not area navigation routes; and
- (4) 'Q', 'T', 'Y', 'Z' for area navigation routes, which do not form part of the regional networks of ATS routes.

- (b) The ATS route designator should consist of a basic designator supplemented, if necessary, by:

- (1) One prefix; where applicable, one supplementary letter may be added as a prefix to the basic designator in accordance with the following:
 - (i) 'K' to indicate a low-level route established for use primarily by helicopters;
 - (ii) 'U' to indicate that the route or portion thereof is established in the upper airspace; and
 - (iii) 'S' to indicate a route established exclusively for use by supersonic aircraft during acceleration, deceleration and while in supersonic flight;
- (2) One additional letter; When prescribed by the competent authority or on the basis of regional air navigation agreements, a supplementary letter may be added after the basic designator of the ATS route in question in order to indicate the type of service provided in accordance with the following:
 - (i) 'F' to indicate that on the route or portion thereof only advisory service is provided;
 - (ii) 'G' to indicate that on the route or portion thereof only flight information service is provided.

- (c) The number of characters required to compose the designator should not exceed six.

- (d) The number of characters required to compose the designator should, whenever possible, be kept to a maximum of five.

GM1 (2) Identification of ATS routes other than standard departure and arrival routes

GENERAL

- (a) Guidance material on the establishment of ATS routes and procedures is contained in ICAO 'Air Traffic Services Planning Manual' (Doc 9426).

- (b) Guidance material on the establishment of ATS routes defined by VOR is contained in Attachment A to ICAO Annex 11.
- (c) The spacing between parallel tracks or between parallel ATS route centre lines based on performance-based navigation should be dependent upon the relevant navigation specification required.
- (d) When warranted by density, complexity or nature of the traffic, special routes should be established for use by low-level traffic, including helicopters operating to and from helidecks on the high seas. When determining the horizontal spacing between such routes, account should be taken of the navigational means available and the navigation equipment carried on helicopters' board.

GM1 to AMC1 (2)(b) Identification of ATS routes other than standard departure and arrival routes

ADDITIONAL LETTER

Due to limitations in the display equipment on aircraft board, the supplementary letters 'F' or 'G', added after the basic designator of the ATS route to indicate the type of service provided, may not be displayed to the pilot.

SECTION III

Identification of standard departure and arrival routes and associated procedures

AMC1(1)(a) Identification of standard departure and arrival routes and associated procedures

SYSTEM OF DESIGNATORS

When identifying standard departure and arrival routes and associated procedures, the system of designators permits the identification of each route in a simple and unambiguous manner. In doing so, the identification of each route should:

- (a) makes a clear distinction between:
 - (1) departure routes and arrival routes;
 - (2) departure or arrival routes and other ATS routes; and
 - (3) routes requiring navigation by reference to ground-based radio aids or self-contained airborne aids, and routes requiring navigation by visual reference to the ground;
- (b) is compatible with ATS and aircraft data processing and display requirements;
- (c) is of utmost brevity in its operational application;
- (d) avoids redundancy; and
- (e) provides sufficient possibility for extension to cater for any future requirements without the need for fundamental changes.

AMC1(1)(b) Identification of standard departure and arrival routes and associated procedures

PLAIN LANGUAGE DESIGNATOR

A plain language designator of a standard departure or arrival route should consist of:

- (a) a basic indicator;
- (b) followed by a validity indicator;
- (c) followed by a route indicator, where required;
- (d) followed by the word 'departure' or 'arrival';

- (e) followed by the word 'visual', if the route has been established for use by aircraft operating in accordance with the visual flight rules (VFR) or in accordance with the IFR under VMC.

AMC1(2)(b) Identification of standard departure and arrival routes and associated procedures

BASIC INDICATOR

The basic indicator should be considered the name or name-code of the significant point where a standard departure route terminates or a standard arrival route begins.

AMC1(2)(e) Identification of standard departure and arrival routes and associated procedures

CODED DESIGNATOR

The coded designator of a standard departure or arrival route, instrument or visual, should consist of:

- (a) the coded designator or name-code of the significant point;
- (b) followed by the validity indicator;
- (c) followed by the route indicator, where required.

GM1 Identification of standard departure and arrival routes and associated procedures

GENERAL

- (a) Guidance material relating to the establishment of standard departure and arrival routes and associated procedures is contained in ICAO 'Air Traffic Services Planning Manual' (Doc 9426).
- (b) The flight procedure naming convention is contained in ICAO Doc 8168 (PANS-OPS) Volume II 'Construction of Visual and Instrument Flight Procedures', as last amended.
- (c) The plain language designator used for the phraseology is contained in ICAO Doc 4444 (PANS-ATM) 'Air Traffic Management', as last amended.
- (d) The runway designator detailed requirements are contained in ICAO Annex 14 Volume I, Section 5.2.2.
- (e) Examples of plain language and coded designators for standard departure and arrival routes and associated procedures

- (1) Example 1: Standard departure route — instrument:

Plain language designator: BRECON ONE DEPARTURE

Coded designator: BCN 1

Meaning: The designator identifies a standard instrument departure route which terminates at the significant point BRECON (basic indicator). BRECON is a radio navigation facility with the identification BCN (basic indicator of the coded designator). The validity indicator ONE (1 in the coded designator) signifies either that the original version of the route is still in effect or that a change has been made from the previous version NINE (9) to the now effective version ONE (1) (see (d)(3)). The absence of a route indicator (see (b)(4) and (c)(2)) signifies that only one route, in this case a departure route, has been established with reference to BRECON.

- (2) Example 2: Standard arrival route — instrument:

Plain language designator: KODAP TWO ALPHA ARRIVAL

Coded designator: KODAP 2 A

Meaning: This designator identifies a standard instrument arrival route which begins at the significant point KODAP (basic indicator). KODAP is a significant point not marked by the site of a

radio navigation facility and therefore assigned a five-letter name-code in accordance with Appendix 2 to Annex XI. The validity indicator TWO (2) signifies that a change has been made from the previous version ONE (1) to the now effective version TWO (2). The route indicator ALPHA (A) identifies one of several routes established with reference to KODAP and is a specific character assigned to this route.

(3) Example 3: Standard departure route — visual:

Plain language designator: ADOLA FIVE BRAVO DEPARTURE VISUAL

Coded designator: ADOLA 5 B

Meaning: This designator identifies a standard departure route with visual portion of flight, which terminates at ADOLA, a significant point not marked by the site of a radio navigation facility. The validity indicator FIVE (5) signifies that a change has been made from the previous version FOUR (4) to the now effective version FIVE (5). The route indicator BRAVO (B) identifies one of several routes established with reference to ADOLA.

(f) Examples of plain language and coded designators for approach procedures

(1) Example 1: Instrument approach to a runway

Plain language designator: RNP ZULU APPROACH RUNWAY ONE EIGHT

Coded designator: RNP Z RWY18

Meaning: The designator identifies an RNAV approach procedure to runway 18. The suffix letter ZULU (Z) identifies one of several RNAV approaches established on runway 18 and is a specific character assigned to this procedure.

(2) Example 2: Instrument approach to a runway

Plain language designator: ILS ZULU APPROACH RUNWAY THREE TWO

Coded designator: ILS Z RWY32

Meaning: The designator identifies an ILS approach procedure to runway 32. The suffix letter ZULU (Z) identifies one of several ILS approaches established on runway 32 and is a specific character assigned to this procedure.

(3) Example 3: Instrument approach to an helipad

Plain language designator: RNP APPROACH TWO THREE TWO

Coded designator: RNP 232

Meaning: The designator identifies an RNAV approach procedure to a helipad for which the final approach track is equal to 232°. The absence of suffix letter signifies there is no other RNAV approach to this helipad with the same final approach track.

(4) Example 4: Visual approach to a runway

Plain language designator: VISUAL APPROACH RUNWAY ONE EIGHT LEFT

Coded designator: None

Meaning: The designator identifies a visual approach procedure to the runway 18L.

(g) In this section, the term 'route' is used in the meaning of 'route and associated procedures'.

SECTION IV

Establishment and identification of significant points

AMC1 Establishment and identification of significant points

GENERAL

- (a) The significant points should, whenever possible, be established with reference to ground-based or space-based radio navigation aids. Where such ground-based or space-based radio navigation aids do not exist, significant points should be established at a location, which can be determined by self-contained airborne navigation aids, or, where navigation by visual reference to the ground is to be effected, by visual observation. Specific points may be designated as 'transfer of control' points by agreement between adjacent air traffic control units or control positions concerned.
- (b) The designator for a significant point should be marked by the site of a radio navigation aid
- (1) Plain language name for significant points marked by the site of a radio navigation aid
- (i) Whenever practicable, significant points should be named with reference to an identifiable and preferably prominent geographical location.
- (ii) In selecting a name for the significant point, care should be taken to ensure that the following conditions are met:
- (A) the name should not create difficulties in pronunciation for pilots or ATS personnel when speaking in the language used in ATS communications. Where the name of a geographical location in the national language selected for designating a significant point gives rise to difficulties in pronunciation, an abbreviated or contracted version of this name, which retains as much of its geographical significance as possible, should be selected;
- Example: FUERSTENFELDBRUCK = FURSTY
- (B) the name should be easily recognisable in voice communications and should be free of ambiguity with those of other significant points in the same general area. In addition, the name should not create confusion with respect to other communications exchanged between air traffic services and pilots;
- (C) the name should, if possible, consist of at least six letters and form two syllables and preferably not more than three;
- (D) the selected name should be the same for both the significant point and the radio navigation aid marking it.
- (2) Composition of coded designators for significant points marked by the site of a radio navigation aid
- (i) The coded designator should be the same as the radio identification of the radio navigation aid. It should be so composed, if possible, as to facilitate association with the name of the point in plain language.
- (ii) Coded designators should not be duplicated within 1 100 km (600 NM) of the location of the radio navigation aid concerned, except as noted hereunder.
- (iii) States' requirements for coded designators should be notified to the Regional Offices of ICAO for coordination.

(c) The designator for a significant point not marked by the site of a radio navigation aid

- (1) Where a significant point is required at a position not marked by the site of a radio navigation aid, and is used for ATC purposes, it should be designated by a unique five-letter pronounceable 'name-code'. This name-code designator then serves both as the name as well as the coded designator of the significant point.
- (2) The name-code designator should be selected so as to avoid any difficulties in pronunciation by pilots or ATS personnel when speaking in the language used in ATS communications.
Examples: ADOLA, KODAP
- (3) The name-code designator should be easily recognisable in voice communications and should be free of ambiguity with those used for other significant points in the same general area.
- (4) The unique five-letter pronounceable name-code designator assigned to a significant point should not be assigned to any other significant point. When there is a need to relocate a significant point, a new name-code designator should be chosen. In cases when a State wishes to keep the allocation of specific name-codes for reuse at a different location, such name-codes should not be used until after a period of at least six months.
- (5) States' requirements for unique five-letter pronounceable name-code designators should be notified to the Regional Offices of ICAO for coordination.
- (6) In areas where no system of fixed routes is established or where the routes followed by aircraft vary depending on operational considerations, significant points should be determined and reported in terms of World Geodetic System — 1984 (WGS-84) geographical coordinates, except that permanently established significant points serving as exit and/or entry points into such areas should be designated.

(d) The significant points are used for reporting purposes

- (1) In order to permit ATS to obtain information regarding the progress of aircraft in flight, selected significant points may need to be designated as reporting points.
- (2) In establishing such points, consideration should be given to the following factors:
 - (i) the type of air traffic services provided;
 - (ii) the amount of traffic normally encountered;
 - (iii) the accuracy with which aircraft are capable of adhering to the current flight plan;
 - (iv) the speed of the aircraft;
 - (v) the separation minima applied;
 - (vi) the complexity of the airspace structure;
 - (vii) the control method(s) employed;
 - (viii) the start or end of significant phases of a flight (climb, descent, change of direction, etc.);
 - (ix) transfer of control procedures;
 - (x) safety and search and rescue aspects;
 - (xi) the cockpit and air-ground communication workload.
- (3) Reporting points should be established either as 'compulsory' or as 'on-request'.

- (4) In establishing 'compulsory' reporting points, the following principles should apply:
- (i) compulsory reporting points should be limited to the minimum necessary for the routine provision of information to air traffic services units on the progress of aircraft in flight, bearing in mind the need to keep cockpit and controller workload and air-ground communications load to a minimum;
 - (ii) the availability of a radio navigation aid at a location should not necessarily determine its designation as a compulsory reporting point;
 - (iii) compulsory reporting points should not necessarily be established at flight information region or control area boundaries.
- (5) The designation of compulsory and on-request reporting points shall be reviewed regularly with a view to keeping the requirements for routine position reporting to the minimum necessary to ensure efficient air traffic services.

GM1 Establishment and identification of significant points

GENERAL

- (a) When two radio navigation aids operating in different bands of the frequency spectrum are situated at the same location, their radio identifications are normally the same.
- (b) 'On-request' reporting points may be established in relation to the requirements of air traffic services for additional position reports when traffic conditions so demand.
- (c) The ICAO International Codes and Routes Designators (ICARD) system is used to manage the allocation of unique five-letter name codes (5LNC) for significant points. They are notified to the Regional Offices of ICAO for coordination and registration on the 'ICAO five-letter name codes and route designators (ICARD)' data base system.
- (d) Additional details on the use of the ICARD system and associated database can be found in the 'ICAO codes and route designators. Five-Letter Name-Codes. Guidelines'.

SECTION V

Minimum flight altitudes

GM1 Minimum flight altitudes

GENERAL

- (a) An altitude determined and published for each segment of the route which provides the required Minimum Obstacle clearance (MOC) above obstacles contained inside the obstacle clearance areas. Detailed obstacle clearance criteria are contained in PANS-OPS (Doc 8168), Volume II.
- (b) Procedure altitude should be considered as a specified altitude flown operationally at or above the minimum obstacle clearance altitude and established to accommodate a stabilized descent at a prescribed descent gradient/angle in the intermediate or final approach segment.

SECTION VI

Identification and delineation of prohibited, restricted and danger areas

AMC1 Identification and delineation of prohibited, restricted and danger areas

IDENTIFICATION OF PROHIBITED, RESTRICTED AND DANGER AREAS

- (a) The identification shall be used to identify the area in all subsequent notifications pertaining to that area.
- (b) The identification shall be composed of a group of letters and figures as follows:
 - (1) nationality letters for location indicators assigned to the State or territory which has established the airspace;
 - (2) the letter 'P' for prohibited area, the letter 'R' for restricted area, and the letter 'D' for danger area as appropriate;
 - (3) a number, unduplicated within the State or territory concerned.=
- (c) To avoid confusion, identification numbers shall not be reused for a period of at least one year after cancellation of the area to which they refer.

GM1 Identification and delineation of prohibited, restricted and danger areas

PROHIBITED, RESTRICTED AND DANGER AREAS

- (a) When a prohibited, restricted or danger area is established, the area should be as small as practicable and be contained within simple geometrical limits, so as to permit ease of reference by all concerned.
- (b) Nationality letters are those contained in ICAO Document 'Location Indicators' (Doc 7910).