Note 1

Consultation process

1. On 6 June 2003, the Agency submitted, for consultation by all interested parties, its draft Opinion for a Commission Regulation on the airworthiness and environmental certification of aircraft and related products, parts and appliances, as well as for the certification of design and production organisations, asking for comments within six weeks as provided by Article 15 (transitional arrangements) of the Management Board decision concerning the procedure to be applied by the Agency for the issuing of opinions, certification specifications and guidance material ("rulemaking procedure"), MB/7/03:

For the issuing of decisions in respect of rules directly derived from the adaptation of existing JAA regulatory material, the rulemaking procedure shall be amended as follows:

- i. The provisions of article 4, 5, 7 and 8.1 shall not apply.
- ii. The length of the consultation period described in article 6.4 shall be of six weeks' duration. In justified circumstances the Management Board may decide to extend this period on a case-by-case basis to allow for further consultation.
- iii. Consultees shall be provided with a reasoned acknowledgement of their comments.
- 2. Comments recognised that the draft Opinion was based upon existing JAA material (mainly JAR-21) but called into question the <u>length of the consultation</u> period. Article 15 of the rulemaking process remains, however, applicable.
- 3. Some 60 different comment <u>providers</u> participated in this consultation process. To concentrate attention on the substance of comments, a separate list identifies the origin of comments by reference to the comment number (please refer to the left column of the CRDs).
- 4. To give a rapid overview of the CRDs, the following <u>keywords</u> were used in responding to comments:
 - "<u>Carried</u>": The proposed amendment is wholly transferred to the revised rules. *Some 114 amendments are carried (13%)*.
 - "Noted": The comment is acknowledged. The issue (and/or proposed amendment) is reflected in the revised rules. *Some 346 comments are noted* (37%).
 - "<u>Deferred</u>": The comment requires further assessment by the Agency or appears to be irrelevant. *Some 176 comments are deferred (19%)*.
 - "<u>Disagreed</u>": The comment is not shared by the Agency. *Some 298 comments are disagreed with (31%)*.

EASA Comments on the draft Regulation and Part 21 Providers List

| Cmt nr | Comment providers | Regulation | Part(s) |
|--------|--|------------|-----------|
| 001 | Raytheon Aircraft Company | 21 | 21 |
| 007 | ITP, Spain | 21 | 21 |
| 014 | Lufthansa Technik, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 019 | Rolls Royce | 21 | 21 |
| 023 | CS-E/P/APU review lawyers | 21 | 21 |
| 024 | EASA CG-10 focal point | 21 | 21 |
| 029 | FOCA, Switzerland | M, 21 | ALL |
| 030 | A. Kalshoven, Central JAA | M | M, 21 |
| 032 | SAAB Nyge Aero, Sweden | 21 | 21 |
| 035 | Zeppelin Luftschifftechnik GmbH, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 038 | Honeywell, USA | 21 | 21 |
| 042 | Goodrich Hella Aerospace Lighting Systems, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 043 | KLM, The Netherlands | 21 | 21 |
| 044 | GE Aircraft Engines, USA | 21 | 21 |
| 050 | Netherlands Aerospace Industries | 21 | 21 |
| 052 | LBA, Germany | 21, M | 21, DR, M |
| 054 | LBA, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 060 | GEFA Flug, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 063 | ESW-EXTEL Systems, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 068 | Dr. Wallwitz, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 069 | Ballonbau Worner GmbH, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 073 | Europe Airsports | M, 21 | ALL, 21 |
| 075a | MTU Aero Engines, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 076 | CAA, Latvia | M | ALL |
| 077 | de Havilland, UK | 21 | 21 |
| 078 | Austro Control, Austria | 21 | 21 |
| 080 | ENAC, Italy | 21 | 21 |
| 082 | HTS, Switzerland | 21 | 21 |
| 083 | FAA, USA | 21 | 21 |
| 090 | Rolls Royce, Germany | 21 | DR |
| 091 | Rolls Royce, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 093 | EAD Aerospace, France | 21 | 21 |
| 094 | BDLI, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 095 | BDLI, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 098 | Aerophile, France | 21 | 21 |

| 099 | IVW, The Netherlands | M, 21 | ALL, 21 |
|-----|---------------------------------------|-------|---------|
| 103 | DG Flugzeugbau GmbH, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 104 | W. Franken (IVW The Netherlands) | 21 | 21 |
| 108 | SLV, Sweden | 21 | 21 |
| 110 | Rolls Royce, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 112 | CAA, Latvia | 21, M | 21, M |
| 113 | CAA, Czech Republic | 21, M | 21, DR |
| 119 | ENAC, Itay | 21, M | 21, M |
| 120 | Stemme GmbH, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 121 | CAA, Denmark | M, 21 | M, 21 |
| 122 | Schroeder fire balloons | 21 | 21 |
| 124 | Alexander Schleicher, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 125 | SNECMA | 21 | 21 |
| 128 | Virgin Atlantic Airways | 21 | 21 |
| 133 | CAA, UK | 21 | 21 |
| 136 | Rolls Royce, Germany | 21 | 21 |
| 139 | Deutscher Freiballonsport Verband e.V | M, 21 | DR, 21 |
| 140 | Deutscher Freiballonsport Verband e.V | 21 | 21 |
| 146 | AECMA | 21 | 21 |
| 147 | AECMA | 21 | 21 |
| 155 | SNECMA | 21 | 21 |
| 157 | CAA, Finland | 21, M | 21, ALL |
| 159 | Popular Flying Association | M, 21 | ALL, 21 |
| 160 | DGAC, France | M, 21 | ALL, 21 |
| 161 | DGAC, France | 21 | 21 |
| 163 | Schempp Hirth Flugzeugbau | 21 | 21 |
| 164 | DGAC, Spain | 21 | 21 |
| 165 | DGAC, Spain | 21 | 21 |
| 166 | Francis Fagegaltier | 21 | 21 |
| 167 | Aerospace Industries Association | 21 | 21 |
| 168 | Liebherr Aerospace Lindenberg | 21 | 21 |
| 172 | GAMA | 21 | 21 |
| 175 | FAA, USA | 21 | 21 |
| 177 | Rolls Royce Engineering, UK | 21 | 21 |
| 178 | Virgin Atlantic Airways | 21 | 21 |
| | | | |

Total: 60

GM = General Memo DR = Draft Regulation M = Maintenance 21 = Certification