FAA

Commentator:

Question: 1	
will provide optimize purpose and need f statement. We find without knowing the	tement of Issue states "The selectable OEI ratings structures ed OEI power levels appropriate for the intended mission." The for the selectable OEI ratings structures is unclear from this it difficult to comment on these proposed special conditions a purpose of having multiple OEI ratings and without knowing culations do not support the intended mission.
EASA Response	Rejected: while the origin from aircraft is not described here, the request at engine level is clearly defined in the text.
Commontatou	FAA
Commentator:	FAA
Question: 2	
would be the reason lower power setting	gard to the intent of the selectable OEI ratings structure, what n a pilot would select an OEI structure that would provide a under an OEI circumstance than another?
EASA Response	Noted: as aircraft operation it is not up to the engine to define the selection of the OEI structure. This SC describes the conditions and the limitations of engine usage.

FAA

Commentator:

Question: 3

the provisions for ins	agraph for CS-E 20 for the installation manual should identify struments required under the special conditions for CS-E 60.
EASA Response	
	Rejected: CS-E 60(a) adequately covered the need.
Commentator:	FAA
Question: 4	CS-E 25 Instructions for Continued Airworthiness
alternate OEI rating these actions could top level requiremen	e of maintenance that would be required to allow use of the when one OEI rating has been already used and whether be captured in the methods of compliance is unclear from this at.
EASA Response	Rejected: It is up to the authority to agree applicable ICA in accordance with CS-E 25.

Commentator:	FAA
Question: 5	CS-E 25 Instructions for Continued Airworthiness
following maintenar configured according	sposal states the different configurations can only be selected note action. The gauges and displays (limits) will have to be gly and would be performed by maintenance personnel. Should rating structure be part of the maintenance action and not pilot
EASA Response	Noted: Selection of the rating structure is external to the engine: consideration here is given to insure proper engine response to adequate selection.
	proper engine response to adequate selection.
Commentator:	FAA
Question: 6	CS-E 25 Instructions for Continued Airworthiness
ratings structure" is must operate safely for Continued Airwo	quirement "to permit the engine to operate in the other OEI not sufficient on its own. The expectation is that the engine until the next inspection interval per the engine Instructions rthiness (ICAs).
EASA Response	Accepted: wording will be added.
Commentator:	FAA
	<u></u>

Question: 7	CS-E 25 Instructions for Continued Airworthiness
-------------	--

Comment: The proposed special conditions do not appear to provide the data needed to develop and validate the mandatory actions that would restore the availability of one rating structure after the use of the other rating structure. The basis for developing such data includes the endurance test. The mandatory actions after the use of one rating structure needed to restore the other rating structure involve mixing the effects of the two rating structures. Since the proposed special conditions permit the endurance test to be run for each rating structure on different engines, there is no basis for validating the mandatory actions. We recommend a single endurance test to cover both ratings.

EASA Response	Rejected: this SC does not impose the means to restore the availability of one rating structure after the use of the other rating structure. It requires the applicant to
	define adequate action and justify the sufficiency of these actions.

Commentator:	FAA
Question: 8	CS-E 25 Instructions for Continued Airworthiness

Comment: The CS-E 740 special conditions allowing multiple tests on different engines (for each rating structure), have consequences for the ICAs because, as said in the AMC 740, "The maintenance actions are determined through certification testing, including, where applicable, endurance tests," Therefore, the separate endurance tests for the two rating structures will result in separate ICAs. Current regulations allow for a 2 single set of ICAs. We recommend a single set of ICAs based on an endurance test as recommend under CS-E 740 comments.

EASA Response	Rejected: This SC did not imply or authorise two single set of ICA.

Commentator:	FAA
Question: 9	CS-E 25 Instructions for Continued Airworthiness
Comment: There is " to permit the eng	a typographical error in the second sentence. It should read gine to operate".
EASA Response	Noted
Commentator:	FAA
Question: 10	CS-E 40 (b) (3)
	nition for the selectable OEI ratings is not clear. The OEI rating

structure is define	inition for the selectable OEI ratings is not clear. The OEI rating ed in the parentheses and therefore deemphasized. We ng a comprehensive description of the content within the
EASA Response	Accepted: the definition will be amended and parentheses removed.

Commentator:	FAA
Question: 11	CS-E 40 (b) (3)
adequate means are other OEI ratings str	ragraph states "Once an OEI ratings structure has been used, e required to ensure that the engine will not operate in the ructure" What are adequate means? Would it be an automatic d how does subsequent maintenance action permit use of the ain?
EASA Response	Noted: The purpose of this SC is not to impose a means but a result. It is up to the applicant to show that this condition are fulfilled.

Commentator:	FAA
Question: 12	CS-E 50 Engine Control Systems

Comment: How is this rating structure selected by the pilot? How does the Engine Control System get that information? How is the selection verified?

EASA Response	Noted: Selection and verification of the rating structure by the pilot is beyond the responsibilities of the engine. Confirmation / verification of engine selected OEI rating is however identified in this SC.

Commentator:	FAA
Question: 13	CS-E 50 Engine Control Systems
must be demonstrat	sentence, "Automatic availability of power and control thereof ted for the selected OEI ratings structure on any given flight.", ld automatic availability be demonstrated on any given flight?
EASA Response	Noted: Automatic availability is only true for the selected OEI ratings structure.

Commentator:	FAA
Question: 14	CS-E 60 Provision for instrument

Comment: How will the circumstance be managed if one engine on the rotorcraft uses one of the OEI ratings and the other has both ratings available? What happens if engines with different OEI ratings are on the same rotorcraft? The proposed special conditions do not safeguard against this possibility that could be a safety issue for AEO operation

EASA Response	
	Rejected: This SC did not imply or authorise anything
	new with regard to AEO.

Commentator:	FAA
Question: 15	CS-E 60 Provision for instrument

Comment: This paragraph cites some of the requirements in the regulations for the 30-second OEI rating and a 2-minute OEI rating. The EASA requirements that are similar to FAA requirements of $\S 33.29$ (c)(1) through (c)(4) must also be applied.

EASA Response	
	Noted: §33.29 (c)(1) through (c)(4) is CS-E 60(d) and
	apply.

Commentator:	FAA
Question: 16	

Comment: The Statement of Issue does not reference CS-E 860 and CS-E 870 in which overtemperature testing requirements are addressed. In our view, the overtemperature testing must be conducted using the worst case OEI rating(s). While the temperature is significant in this application of OEI, the other parameters, such as overspeed, vibration, overtorque, etc. should also be considered.

EASA Response	Rejected: combination 30-Second/2-Minutes OEI and CS-E 870 is already described in AMC E 60(d)(5). With regard to CS-E 860, this SC did not imply or authorise anything new.

Commentator:	FAA
Question: 17	

Comment: The paragraph for CS-E 740 Endurance Tests / CS-E 750 Starting Tests states an endurance test in accordance with CS-E740 and the starting tests of CS-E750 be conducted for each Selectable OEI ratings structure proposed by the applicant. The paragraph further states that the applicant may elect a single endurance test encompassing the most severe combination of ratings and that if multiple endurance tests are required they need not be performed on the same engine. We recommend that the endurance test must be conducted using one set of hardware and encompassing the most severe combination of ratings. The rationale is that the endurance test and the findings from the teardown inspection contribute to establishing the engine ICAs and the power assurance data required by CS-E 20(f). Therefore, two endurance tests run on two different engines cannot provide the data supporting the use of the two rating structures on the same engine hardware

<u> </u>		
	EASA Response	
	•	
		Rejected: See answer Question No 8.
		2

Commentator:	FAA
Question: 18	

Comment: In addition to the proposed special conditions, we recommend the following:

- CS-E 20(f) Engine Configuration and Interfaces: special conditions to address the required power assurance data as applicable to the use of the two OEI rating structures on the same engine.
- CS-E 515 Engine Critical Parts: special conditions requiring a single set of life limits for the engine; in other words, the critical parts must not have different lives depending on the OEI rating structure.

EASA Response	
	Rejected: it is EASA view that AMC E 20(f) and CS-E 515 give adequate information.

Commentator:	FAA
Question: 19	
Selectable OEI Ratin additional concerns. certification testing	oove comments address engine level concerns. When the ngs Structure is proposed at the rotorcraft level, there will be Part life monitoring for non-engine components, transmission requirements, and pilot awareness are just a few of the things addressed in addition to the engine certification issues.
EASA Response	Noted
EASA Response	Noted