

EASA CS-ETSO Deviation Consultation ETSO.DevP.02
COMMENT RESPONSE DOCUMENT
[consultation period closed 02/04/2007]

DEVIATION COMMENTED	COMMENT / PROPOSAL	AUTHOR OF THE COMMENT	DATE OF COMMENT	PCM RESPONSE
General	The deviations all appear logical and therefore are supported. The enormous number of deviations requested provide good evidence that CS-ETSO needs a thorough review and updating. Maybe this could be tackled by a simple statement in the foreword to say (something like): the latest minimum performance standard should be used (depending upon date of ETSO authorisation application) for each ETSO unless otherwise stated.	CAA UK	12.03.2007	<p style="text-align: center;">Noted</p> <p>We do have a problem in incorporating such an open reference into a Minimum Requirement Standard as it would create doubt what is the required standard.</p> <p>We hand in the comment to our Rulemaking Directorate for further consideration.</p>