Annex IV to ED Decision 2022/014/R

‘AMC & GM to Annex VI (Part-NCC) to Commission Regulation (EU) No 965/2012 — Issue 1, Amendment 17’

The text of the amendment is arranged to show deleted, new or amended text as shown below:

(a) deleted text is **struck-through**;
(b) new or amended text is highlighted in **blue**;
(c) an ellipsis ‘[...]’ indicates that the rest of the text is unchanged.

**Note to the reader**

In amended, and in particular in existing (that is, unchanged) text, ‘Agency’ is used interchangeably with ‘EASA’. The interchangeable use of these two terms is more apparent in the consolidated versions. Therefore, please note that both terms refer to the ‘European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA)’. 
The Annex to Decision N° 2013/021/R of 23 August 2013 of the Executive Director of the Agency is amended as follows:

**GM1 NCC.OP.101 Altimeter check and settings**

**ALTIMETER SETTING PROCEDURES**

The following paragraphs of ICAO Doc 8168 (PANS-OPS), Volume II provide recommended guidance on how to develop the altimeter setting procedure:

(a) 3.2 ‘Pre-flight operational test’;
(b) 3.3 ‘Take-off and climb’;
(c) 3.5 ‘Approach and landing’.

**AMC5 NCC.OP.110 Aerodrome operating minima**

**DETERMINATION OF RVR OR VIS FOR INSTRUMENT APPROACH OPERATIONS — AEROPLANES**

(a) [...]  
(b) [...]  
(c) [...]  
(d) [...]  

**Table 9**

Visual and non-visual aids and/or on-board equipment versus minimum RVR — multi-pilot operations aeroplanes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of approach</th>
<th>Facilities</th>
<th>Lowest RVR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multi-pilot operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D operations</td>
<td>runway touchdown zone lights (RTZL) and runway centre line lights (RCLL)</td>
<td>No limitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final approach</td>
<td>without RTZL and RCLL but using HUDLS or equivalent system; coupled autopilot or flight director to the DH</td>
<td>No limitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>track offset ≤15° for category A and B aeroplanes or ≤5° for Category C and D aeroplanes</td>
<td>No RTZL and RCLL, not using HUDLS or equivalent system or autopilot to the DH</td>
<td>750 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D operations</td>
<td>runway touchdown zone lights (RTZL) and runway centre line lights (RCLL)</td>
<td>800 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Final approach track offset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3D operations</th>
<th>Final approach track offset &gt; 15° for Category A and B aeroplanes or Final approach track offset &gt; 5° for Category C and D aeroplanes</th>
<th>800 m</th>
<th>1 000 m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2D operations</td>
<td>Final approach track offset ≤15° for category A and B aeroplanes or ≤5° for Category C and D aeroplanes</td>
<td>750 m</td>
<td>2D operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final approach track offset &gt; 15° for Category A and B aeroplanes</td>
<td>1 000 m</td>
<td>1 000 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final approach track offset &gt; 5° for Category C and D aeroplanes</td>
<td>1 200 m</td>
<td>1 200 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[...]  

### AMC9 NCC.OP.110 Aerodrome operating minima — general

**EFFECT ON LANDING MINIMA OF TEMPORARILY FAILED OR DOWNGRADED GROUND EQUIPMENT**

(a) [...]

(b) Conditions applicable to Table 15:

1. multiple failures of runway/FATO lights other than those indicated in Table 15 should not be acceptable;
2. deficiencies failures of approach and runway/FATO lights are acceptable at the same time, and the most demanding consequence should be applied treated separately; and
3. failures other than ILS, GLS, or MLS affect the RVR only and not the DH.

[...]  

### GM9 NCC.OP.110 Aerodrome operating minima — general

**USE OF COMMERCIALY AVAILABLE INFORMATION**

When an operator uses commercially available information to establish aerodrome operating minima, the operator remains responsible for ensuring that the material used is accurate and suitable for its operation, and that the aerodrome operating minima are calculated in accordance with the method specified in Part C of its operations manual and approved by the competent authority.

The operator should apply the procedures in ORO.GEN.205 ‘Contracted activities’.
GM10 NCC.OP.110(b)(5) Aerodrome operating minima

VISUAL AND NON-VISUAL AIDS AND INFRASTRUCTURE

‘Visual and non-visual aids and infrastructure’ refers to all equipment and facilities required for the procedure to be used for the intended instrument approach operation. This includes but is not limited to lights, markings, ground- or space-based radio aids, etc.

AMC2 NCC.OP.235(a)(2) EFVS 200 operations

VERIFICATION OF THE SUITABILITY OF RUNWAYS FOR EFVS 200 OPERATIONS

The operational assessment before authorising the use of a runway for EFVS 200 operations may be conducted as follows:

(a) Check whether the runway has been promulgated as suitable for EFVS 200 operations or is certified as a PA category II or III runway by the State of the aerodrome. If this is so, then check whether and where the approach and runway lights installed (notably incandescent or LED lights) are adequate for the EFVS equipment. LED lights are installed in order to assess the impact on the EFVS equipment used by the operator.

(b) If the check in point (a) above comes out negative (the runway is not promulgated as EFVS suitable or is not category II or III), then proceed as follows:

1. For straight-in IAPs, US Standard for Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPS) may be considered to be acceptable as an equivalent to PANS-OPS. If other design criteria than PANS-OPS or US TERPS are used, the operations should not be conducted.

2. If an OFZ is established, this will ensure adequate obstacle protection from 960 m before the threshold. If an OFZ is not established or if the DH for the approach is above 250 ft, then check whether there is a visual segment surface (VSS).

3. VSSs are required for procedures published after 15 March 2007, but the existence of the VSS has to be verified through an aeronautical information publication (AIP), operations manual Part C, or direct contact with the aerodrome. Where the VSS is established, it may not be penetrated by obstacles. If the VSS is not established or is penetrated by obstacles and an OFZ is not established, then the operations should not be conducted. Note: obstacles of a height of less than 50 ft above the threshold may be disregarded when assessing the VSS.

4. Runways with obstacles that require visual identification and avoidance should not be accepted.

5. For the obstacle protection of a balked landing where an OFZ is not established, the operator may specify that pilots follow a departure procedure in the event of a balked landing, in which case it is necessary to verify that the aircraft will be able to comply with the climb gradients published for the instrument departure procedures for the expected landing conditions.
(6) Perform an assessment of the suitability of the runway which should include whether the approach and runway lights installed (notably incandescent or LED lights) are adequate for the EFVS equipment used by the operator.

(c) If the AFM stipulates specific requirements for approach procedures, then the operational assessment should verify that these requirements can be met.