Regular update of CS-CCD

ISSUE 1 — 10.9.2019

**Issue/rationale**

The aviation industry is complex and rapidly evolving. The corresponding rules need to be updated regularly to ensure that they are fit for purpose, cost-effective, and can be implemented.

Regular updates are issued when relevant data is available following an update of industry standards, feedback from certification activities, or minor issues raised by the stakeholders.

This rulemaking task provides one of those regular updates of the certification specifications (CS) and guidance material (GM) for cabin crew data (CS-CCD) in order to reflect the state of the art and best practices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action area:</th>
<th>Regular updates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affected rules:</td>
<td>CS-CCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected stakeholders:</td>
<td>Design Organisations of complex motor-powered aircraft and other design organisations dealing with changes or supplemental type certificates to these aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver:</td>
<td>Efficiency/Proportionality; Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact assessment:</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rulemaking group:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rulemaking Procedure:</td>
<td>Standard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. Why we need to change the rules — issue/rationale

The European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) is faced with a growing number of subjects that call for the amendment of certification specifications (CSs). These subjects vary in nature — some of them may be directly driven by safety issues, while others may be primarily driven by other factors (for instance, the arrival of new technologies that are not yet addressed in the CSs, or the need to address security issues). Some of those subjects are simple, while others are considered to be complex.

In order to increase the efficiency of the rulemaking process and to keep CS-CCD up to date with technological developments, EASA decided to group together those subjects that are considered to be non-complex, non-controversial, and mature. This concept is identified in EASA Management Board (MB) Decision 18-2015¹ (see Article 3.5. on ‘systematic rulemaking projects’).

On a regular basis, EASA will review the eligible candidate items and publish a notice of proposed amendment (NPA) that proposes an amendment to CS-CCD. EASA will also consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether the proposed changes qualify for special rulemaking procedures such as direct publication or the accelerated procedure as defined respectively in Article 15 and Article 16 of EASA Management Board (MB) Decision 18-2015.

2. What we want to achieve — objective

The overall objectives of the European Aviation Safety System are defined in Article 1 of Regulation (EU) No 2018/1139². This project will contribute to the achievement of the overall objectives by addressing the issues outlined in Chapter 1.

This rulemaking task (RMT) is intended to provide regular amendments of CS-CCD in order to reflect the state of the art and best practices. It will, therefore, propose an amendment of CS-CCD based on the selection of non-complex, non-controversial, and mature subjects. The ultimate goal is to increase safety and efficiency.

Because of the generic nature of this RMT, it will remain open and has no end date.

3. How we want to achieve it

On a regular basis, EASA will:

— select subjects that meet the criteria of the objectives listed above;
— whenever sufficient subjects are available, consult stakeholders on the proposed amendments;
— review the received comments and prepare responses to them; and
— publish an ED Decision that amends CS-CCD.


4. **What are the deliverables**

The following deliverables may be issued:

- an NPA that describes the subjects that have been selected and proposes an amendment of CS-CCD; alternatively a focused consultation workshop or consultation with the advisory bodies;
- a comment-response document (CRD) if an NPA was consulted; and
- an ED Decision that amends CS-CCD.

5. **How we consult**

EASA will consult affected stakeholders either through an NPA or depending on the subject, through alternative measures for consultation. These measures may include the following:

- technical meetings with affected stakeholders,
- technical workshops,
- conferences (including teleconferences),
- technical meeting with the advisory bodies,
- focused consultation on key issues via surveys or sharing documents per email with a limited group of stakeholders, and/or
- written advisory bodies consultations (to be used only in the standard process as a tool to support the review of comments on the NPA).

6. **Interface issues**

Experience gathered through the implementation of Commission Regulations (EU) No 965/2012 on air operations and (EU) No 1178/2011 on aircrew may identify topics that would require to amend some provisions of CS-CCD.

7. **Profile and contribution of the rulemaking group**

n/a

8. **Reference documents**

8.1. **Affected regulations**

n/a

8.2. **Affected decisions**


8.3. **Reference documents**

n/a