

CORRIGENDUM

Corrigendum to Annex I to Executive Director Decision 2019/003/R of 12 February 2019 amending Acceptable Means of Compliance and Guidance Material to Part-21 of Regulation (EU) No 748/2012 ('AMC and GM to Part 21 — Issue 2, Amendment 8')

1. On page 1 of 42 of Annex I to ED Decision 2019/003/R:
'Annex to ED Decision 201X/XXX/R'
is replaced by
'Annex to ED Decision 2019/003/R'
2. On page 1 of 42 of Annex I to ED Decision 2019/003/R:
'1. New AMC&GM-ELA to 21.A.131 are added before GM 21.131 as follows:'
is replaced by
'1. New AMC&GM-ELA to 21.A.131 are added before GM 21.A.131 as follows:'
3. On page 9 of 42 of Annex I to ED Decision 2019/003/R:
'8 The general description of the organisation's scope of work should be provided as defined by point 21.A.151 (see GM-ELA No 1 to 21.A.151), on the basis of the product type(s).'is replaced by
'8 The general description of the organisation's scope of work should be provided as defined by point 21.A.151 (see GM-ELA No 1 to 21.B.230), on the basis of the product type(s).'
4. On page 31 of 42 of Annex I to ED Decision 2019/003/R:
'28. New AMC-ELA No 1 is added before GM No 1 to 21.A.245 as follows:'
is replaced by
'28. New GM-ELA No 1 is added following GM No 1 to 21.A.247 as follows:'
5. On page 33 of 42 of Annex I to ED Decision 2019/003/R:
'30. New GM-ELA No 1 is added before GM 21.A.257(a) as follows:'
is replaced by
'30. New AMC-ELA No 1 is introduced for 21.A. 253 as follows:'
6. On page 33 of 42 of Annex I to ED Decision 2019/003/R:
'32. New GM-ELA No 1 is added before GM 21.A.263(b) as follows:'
is replaced by
'32. New AMC-ELA No 1 is added before GM 21.A.263(b) as follows:'

7. On page 37 of 42 of Annex I to ED Decision 2019/003/R, in **AMC-ELA No 1 to 21.B.220(c) Procedures for investigation — Evaluation of applications:**

‘Block 3: State the major place of activity as per definition in AMC-ELA No 2 to 21.A.131 and where the products are completed and checked out, and for which the approval is applied for.’

is replaced by

‘Block 3: State the major place of activity as per definition in GM-ELA No 2 to 21.A.131 and where the products are completed and checked out, and for which the approval is applied for.’

8. On page 37 of 42 of Annex I to ED Decision 2019/003/R, in **AMC-ELA No 1 to 21.B.220(c) Procedures for investigation — Evaluation of applications:**

‘Block 4: This Block must include further details of the activities under the approval for the addresses indicated in Block 4. ‘General’ shall include the relevant part of the Scope definition provided by AMC-ELA No 1 to 21.A.131. ‘Scope of approval’ shall name the applicable scope (refer to GM-ELA No 1 to 21.A.151). A reference to the product type(s) may be provided for further clarification, even when this information will not be part of the terms of approval of the approved production organisation. ‘Nature of privileges’ shall list what is applicable of ‘21.A.163(a), (b), (c), (d), (e)’.’

is replaced by

‘Block 4: This Block must include further details of the activities under the approval for the addresses indicated in Block 4. ‘General’ shall include the relevant part of the Scope definition provided by AMC-ELA No 1 to 21.A.131. ‘Scope of approval’ shall name the applicable scope (refer to GM-ELA No 1 to 21.B.230). A reference to the product type(s) may be provided for further clarification, even when this information will not be part of the terms of approval of the approved production organisation. ‘Nature of privileges’ shall list what is applicable of ‘21.A.163(a), (b), (c), (d), (e)’.’

9. On page 39 of 42 of Annex I to ED Decision 2019/003/R:

‘3.4. Recommendation for the issuance, amendment, suspension or revocation of a production organisation approval

The POATL should track the feedback obtained from the applicant, taking into consideration the timelines specified in point 21.A.158(c). The POATL should consider the means provided by AMC-ELA No 1 to 21.B.230. The recommendation should be documented using EASA Form 56, Part 5.’

is replaced by

‘3.4. Recommendation for the issuance, amendment, suspension or revocation of a production organisation approval

The POATL should track the feedback obtained from the applicant, taking into consideration the timelines specified in point 21.A.158(c). The POATL should consider the means provided by AMC No 1 to 21.B.230. The recommendation should be documented using EASA Form 56, Part 5.’