



Panel 7: Manufacturers – Supply Chain Control

Eric Parelton – VP Airworthiness Certification

EASA-FAA International Aviation Safety Conference
Brussels, 10-12 June 2015

www.thalesgroup.com

OPEN



Supplier Situation

Worldwide Exposure (EU, US, Canada, Brazil, etc)

- Different practices due to different systems
- EU: DOA Holders: CVE, DCS, Assurance Nominee, EASA
- US: DER Manuf. Or Consultant, ODA UM, barely FAA

Exposure to different DOA Holders in Europe

- Require to fit with procedures of each DOA Holder
- Different Competence evaluations, level of Delegation
Surveillance schemes

Inefficient succession of audits

- Focused mainly on AEH/SW Development Assurance
 - Not on risk based approach/More process than product & safety
 - Too much Auditor dependent (great discrepancies)
Unjustified cost impact when “Conformity to the letter”
- 2 ➤ Avionics competences of the Suppliers not exploited



TOGETHER,
SAFER, EVERYWHERE

THALES

Ways for Performance Improvements



Supplier Competence Based Approach

Unique Competence Evaluation Standard
(Industry DOA Think Tank on-going tasks)
One evaluation valid for all DOA Holders
Recognized by AMC/GM as ASD-STAN EN9100
for POA



Better use of ETSO AP-DOA and EASA Supplier performance assessment

In absence of DOA sub-tier, existing supplier
assessment shall be used as credit for
Supplier/DOAH interface



Optimization of the certification work-sharing

Better use of the ETSO tool in Europe to de-risk TC activities
upstream and to facilitate innovation
Best work-share is when partners are performing in their best
“value-added” fields

THALES