Title: IMPS Revision - MRBR Periodic Review

Submitter: FAA

Issue: The Maintenance Review Board Report, being a “living document,” requires periodic review. This review is today not harmonized through the all industry or properly defined in the IMPS.

Problem: The language in the IMPS is incorrect.

1. The title of the “Periodic Review” in the table of contents is incorrectly titled “Annual Review”.
2. Periodic and annual are separate in their definition. Periodic allows flexibility while in this instance annual seems to imply to establish a set time line. While there may be situations where an annual (or more frequent) review is appropriate, there are also situations and fleets where an annual review is more often than is needed.
3. The use of the statement in IMPS section 9.1 and 9.2 “preferably annually” would seem to not be quite right when discussing “periodic” reviews.

Recommendation (including Implementation):

In the IMPS document:
1. Modify IMPS 9.1 as follows to better match other parts of the IMPS:
   The MRBR is intended to be an up-to-date document and, as a consequence, the ISC Chairperson/Co-Chairperson, and the MRB Chairperson should conduct a joint review periodically, preferably annually, to determine the need for revisions.
2. Change the word “Annual” to “Periodic” in the table of contents for Section 9.
IMRBPB Position:

Date: 28/Apr/2017
Position: IMRBPB agrees to CIP FAA-2017-07 with the changes implemented at the IMRBPB Meeting 2017, which becomes IP168

Status of Issue Paper and date:
Active 28/Apr/2017

Recommendation for implementation:
IP168 will be included into the next revision of the IMPS document

Retroactive: NO

Important Note: The IMRBPB IPs are not policy. An IP only becomes policy when the IP is adopted into the processes of the appropriate National Aviation Authority. However, before formal adoption, the IP content may be incorporated by the MRB applicant on a voluntary basis with the agreement of all parties as detailed in the program PPH.