Title: FAA Project to develop a generic Policy and Procedures Handbook (PPH) for utilising MSG-3 during the Maintenance Review Board (MRB) process.

Submitter: Ric Anderson, chairman of ATA’s Mx Programs Subcommittee (MPS)

Issue: How to efficiently and effectively deal with the growing lit of questions on the application of the MSG-3 decision logic during the MRB process.

Problem: In the past several years a growing number of additional manufacturers (beyond just the major air transport manufacturers) are attempting to use the MSG-3 decision logic; e.g. smaller Regional Aircraft and Helicopter manufacturers. The MSG-3 decision logic is not easy to apply consistently without training or prior experience; neither of which are readily available. Consequently, numerous questions are arising, and the very credibility of MSG-3 is being challenged by its inconsistent application. While the ATA’s Maintenance Programs Subcommittee (MPS) has proposed a similar project, sometimes called the Industry Steering Committee (ISC) Administration Handbook, the necessary participation and input of the FAA in such an Industry sponsored project cannot be assured due budgetary, organisational and legal constraints. At the MIA meeting of the IMRBPB (26MAR79), Mr. Russell Unangst (FAA, AFS-330) proposed that perhaps development of a readily revisable generic MRB PPH could be established as a FAA sponsored project.

Recommendation: The proposal of Mr. Unangst, to initiate a FAA sponsored project to develop a readily revisable generic MRB PPH, be implemented as soon as possible, and afforded the necessary funding, time and priority.

IMRBPB Position:

TBD by the FAA

September, 2002

- agreement was reached to convene a special meeting to draft this document.

August 19, 2003

Decision: As a special meeting is not financially practical the process has been amended to developing the document on a chapter-by-chapter basis utilizing email and other such processes.

Action: JAA will forward their draft handbook to TCCA, who will then circulate for comment and acceptance by all IMRBPB members
October 20\textsuperscript{th}, 2005

The PB decided to close this Issue Paper and will investigate a different approach for providing guidance material for the development of a PPH.

Status: Closed

\textbf{Important Note:} The IMRBPB positions are not policy. Positions become policy only when the policy is issued formally by the appropriate National Aviation Authority. (JAA, FAA or TCCA)