

ETSO-C145e A1

ED Decision 2020/011/R (applicable from 25.7.2020)

AIRBORNE NAVIGATION SENSORS USING THE GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM AUGMENTED BY THE SATELLITE-BASED AUGMENTATION SYSTEM

1 Applicability

This ETSO provides the requirements which airborne navigation sensors using the Global Positioning System (GPS) augmented by the Satellite Based Augmentation System (SBAS) that are designed and manufactured on or after the date of this ETSO must meet in order to be identified with the applicable ETSO marking.

The standards of this ETSO apply to equipment intended to provide position information to a navigation management unit that outputs deviation commands referenced to a desired flight path, pilots or autopilots will use these deviations to guide the aircraft.

Note: Revision A1 provides applicants with an option to use an ETSO-2C204a SBAS circuit card assembly (CCA) functional sensor as part of their ETSO application. There is no change to the technical MOPS in comparison with ETSO-C145e.

2 Procedures

2.1 General

The applicable procedures are detailed in CS-ETSO, [Subpart A](#).

2.2 Specific

This section applies only for ETSO articles that use an ETSO-2C204a Beta CCA.

Applicants who use an ETSO-2C204a Beta CCA will need to coordinate with their Beta CCA supplier at least for the following aspects:

2.2.1 Access to the Information of the Selected ETSO-2C204a CCA

The applicant is responsible for establishing the necessary communication channels with the ETSO 2C204a holder company. Applicants who use an ETSO-2C204a SBAS CCA will need to coordinate with their SBAS CCA supplier to obtain the documentation that supports ETSO 2C204a.

The applicant's organisation shall establish a means of communication to obtain timely notifications of design changes, open problem reports (at least the ones that impact the usage of the circuit card assembly), occurrence reports and airworthiness directives that affect or are related to the ETSO 2C204a article.

2.2.2 Assessment of Design Changes

The applicant shall perform an impact analysis of the design changes to the ETSO-2C204a article, and shall perform the necessary development life-cycle activities that are impacted by the ETSO 2C204a changes.

Note: When a major change (as assessed per point 21.A.611) is applied to the ETSO 2C204a article, which is installed in the ETSO-C145e article, it is systematically also considered to be a major change for the ETSO-C145e function.

2.2.3 Assessment and Reporting of Open Problem Reports (OPRs)

The applicant shall perform an assessment of the ETSO-2C204a CCA OPRs. The applicant shall report the resulting open problem reports that affect the ETSO-C145e article.

3 Technical Conditions

3.1 Basic

3.1.1 Minimum Performance Standard

The applicable standards are those provided for functional equipment Class Beta in RTCA document DO-229E, Minimum Operational Performance Standards for Global Positioning System/Wide Area Augmentation System Airborne Equipment dated 15 December 2016, Section 2, as amended by [Appendices 2](#) and 4 to this ETSO.

Class Beta equipment is defined in DO-229E, Section 1.4.

The test procedures are defined in DO-229E, Section 2.5.

The standards in this ETSO apply to equipment intended to provide position, velocity, and time information for a navigation management unit application that outputs deviation commands keyed to a desired flight path, or a non-navigation application such as an automatic dependent surveillance — broadcast (ADS-B) or terrain awareness and warning system (TAWS). In navigation applications, pilots or autopilots will use the deviations output by the navigation management unit to guide the aircraft. In non-navigation applications, the position, velocity, and time outputs will provide the necessary inputs for the end-use equipment. These ETSO standards do not address integration issues with other avionics.

Use of an ETSO-2C204a SBAS CCA functional sensor

ETSO-145e applicants have the option to use an ETSO-2C204a SBAS CCA functional sensor. Applicants who choose to use an ETSO-2C204a SBAS CCA functional sensor can take credit for certification compliance by virtue of the ETSO-2C204a ETSOA for:

- meeting the MPS Section 2.1 requirements;
- the development assurance of the hardware/software;
- the classification of the failure conditions; and
- the MPS Section 2.5 performance testing (functional qualification) except those tests that are specified in Appendix 1 to this document;
- the partial environmental testing performed on the ETSO-2C204a CCA.

After the integration of the ETSO-2C204a CCA into the ETSO-145e article, the applicant shall perform the testing described in Appendix 1. The applicant shall also complete the environmental qualification testing. The testing shall include the detailed functional test procedures delivered by the ETSO-2C204a CCA provider. This testing is required to address the paragraphs of this ETSO that are not covered by the points listed above.

Note: The manufacturer of end-use equipment that uses an ETSO-2C204a SBAS CCA functional sensor assumes full responsibility for its design and function under their ETSO-C145e authorisation.

3.1.2 Environmental Standard

See CS-ETSO [Subpart A](#) paragraph 2.1.

The required performance under test conditions is defined in RTCA document DO-229E, Minimum Operational Performance Standards for Global Positioning System/Satellite Based Augmentation System Airborne Equipment, dated 15 December 2016, Section 2.4.

3.1.3 Software

See CS-ETSO, [Subpart A](#), paragraph 2.2.

Applicants who use an ETSO-2C204a SBAS CCA functional sensor may use the ETSO-2C204a authorisation as substantiation for compliance with the software development assurance aspects of the CCA.

3.1.4 Airborne Electronic Hardware

See CS-ETSO, [Subpart A](#), paragraph 2.3.

Applicants who use an ETSO-2C204a SBAS CCA functional sensor may use the ETSO-2C204a authorisation as substantiation for compliance with the hardware development assurance aspects of the CCA.

3.2 Specific

3.2.1 Failure Condition Classification

See CS-ETSO, [Subpart A](#), paragraph 2.4

Failure of the function defined in paragraph 3.1.1 of this ETSO is a:

- *major* failure condition for a loss of function or malfunction of en route, terminal, approach lateral navigation (LNAV), and approach LNAV/vertical navigation (VNAV) position data,
- *major* failure condition a for loss of function of approach localiser performance without vertical guidance (LP), and of approach localiser performance with vertical guidance (LPV) position data, and
- *hazardous* failure condition for a malfunction of approach (LP and LPV) position data that results in misleading information.

Note: These failure condition classifications are considered to be the minimum classifications. Guidance for the installation of navigation systems at the aircraft level (e.g. Certification Specifications and Acceptable Means of Compliance for Airborne Communications, Navigation and Surveillance (CS ACNS)) could require a different failure condition classification.

3.2.2 Additional Specific

If the equipment can satisfy the requirements of RTCA document DO-229E only when used with a particular antenna, the use of that antenna (by part number) shall be a requirement on the installation. This requirement shall be included in the installation manual as a limitation.

The applicant shall have all the data necessary to evaluate the geostationary (GEO) satellite bias as defined in RTCA document DO-229E, Section 2.1.4.1.5, available for review by EASA.

If the equipment uses barometric-aiding to enhance availability of FDE, then the equipment shall meet the requirements in RTCA document DO-229E, Appendix G.

4 Marking

4.1 General

See CS-ETSO, [Subpart A](#), paragraph 1.2.

4.2 Specific

At least one major component must be permanently and legibly marked with the operational equipment class as defined in Section 1.4.2 of RTCA document DO-229E (e.g. Class 2). The functional equipment class defined in Section 1.4.1 of RTCA document DO-229E (e.g. Beta) is not required to be marked.

It is sufficient to declare the proper functional equipment class in the declaration of design and performance (DDP).

5 Availability of Referenced Documents

See CS-ETSO, [Subpart A](#), paragraph 3.

[Amdt ETSO/6]

[Amdt ETSO/13]

[Amdt ETSO/16]

Appendix 1 to ETSO-C145e A1 – End-Use Equipment Manufacturer Tests for SBAS CCA Functional Position, Velocity, Time (PVT) Sensors Used for Navigation and Non-Navigation Applications

ED Decision 2020/011/R

1. SCOPE

This Appendix describes the required supplementary equipment level testing, in addition to the environmental testing of RTCA document DO-229E, Section 2.4, that is required to be conducted by the manufacturer of the end-use equipment to receive an ETSO-C145e Class Beta authorisation when using an ETSO-2C204a SBAS CCA functional sensor.

To perform functional tests and measure the performance in the environment, the applicant will use the detailed functional test procedures delivered with the ETSO-2C204a CCA. These test procedures are intended to streamline and simplify the ETSO-C145e authorisation process for the manufacturer of the end-use equipment by allowing credit for the design and selected testing performed at the SBAS CCA functional sensor level. However, the manufacturer of the end-use equipment remains fully responsible for the design and control of the article per their ETSO-C145e ETSOA.

2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES

- (a) Testing methods for GPS/SBAS equipment have been standardised by RTCA document DO-229E, and serve as the basis for ETSO-C145e. RTCA document DO-229E was written to cover equipment that can be installed on aircraft. Section 2.4 specifically addresses the issues of the environment in which the equipment operates and provides the approved test methods to validate the performance of the equipment in this environment. Section 2.4 represents the RTCA consensus in identifying which RTCA document DO-229E requirements are sensitive to environmental effects. These requirements are listed in the environmental tables referenced in Section 2.4.1.
- (b) The determination that a MOPS requirement is susceptible to the environment does not depend on whether or not the implementation is a CCA installed within an ETSO-C145e article. This is the same concept as an equipment enclosure that is designed to protect against a benign environment compared with one that is designed for a severe environment; the identification of the susceptible requirements is the same.
- (c) Therefore, this Appendix uses the tables of RTCA document DO-229E Section 2.4.1 to identify the MOPS requirements that are susceptible to environmental effects for an SBAS CCA functional sensor in the end-use equipment. The focus is on the change in environment seen by the SBAS CCA functional sensor as a result of its installation in the end-use equipment. For example, other components inside the end-use equipment may radiate RF energy that could interfere with the GPS functions; therefore, the ambient testing performed at the CCA level is not equivalent to tests performed in the end-use equipment. This is the basis for defining the RTCA document DO-229E Section 2.5 performance tests that need to be repeated by the manufacturer of the end-use equipment.
- (d) The Class Beta environmental table referenced in RTCA document DO-229E Section 2.4.1 is the prime source to determine the MOPS performance requirements that are susceptible to environmental conditions. Based on the table, the susceptible requirements can be grouped into two categories: those that are susceptible to most

types of environmental conditions (described in Section 3) and those that are susceptible to only a few (described in Section 4).

Note: The tables for Class Beta-1, -2, and -3 equipment identify similar requirements that are susceptible to the installed environment. The only difference is the applicable MOPS requirements that are consistent with the operational class (i.e. Class -1, -2, or -3).

3. PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE SUSCEPTIBLE TO MOST TYPES OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

The RTCA document DO-229E requirements for accuracy (Sections 2.1.3.1, 2.1.4.1, and 2.1.5.1) and sensitivity and dynamic range (Section 2.1.1.10) are sensitive to most types of environmental conditions. However, these requirements are linked to the message loss rate requirement in 2.1.1.3.2. Sections 3.1 and 3.2 below identify the testing that manufacturers of end-use equipment are required to repeat to demonstrate that the SBAS CCA functional sensor continues to meet the accuracy, dynamic range, and message loss rate performance requirements after installation in the end-use equipment. All the tests shall be run under conditions in which the functions of the end-use equipment are fully enabled to create the worst-case environment.

3.1. RTCA document DO-229E — 2.5.8 Accuracy Test

- (a) The accuracy test described in Section 2.5.8 is actually a joint test that covers accuracy, sensitivity and dynamic range. This joint testing also applies under the environment as stated in Section 2.4.1.1.5, with environmental adaptations as described in Section 2.4.1.1.1.
- (b) The demonstration of accuracy is performed in accordance with Section 2.5.8.1 only for the test case with broadband external interference noise. This test must be repeated when the CCA is installed in the end-use equipment, and it is sufficient to perform it using broadband interference.
 - (1) The environmental testing is limited to broadband interference, as it represents the worst-case signal-to-noise condition, which is the most sensitive to environmental effects. This applies equally to the environment for the CCA that is created by the end-use equipment.
 - (2) Section 2.5.8 contains a measurement accuracy test in 2.5.8.1, with the detailed test procedure in Section 2.5.8.2. The Section 2.5.8.1 test must be run under the worst-case environment identified in the 'Additional Considerations for Internal Interference Sources' section below. The measurement accuracy testing can be combined with the message loss rate testing described in Section 2.5.2.1.
 - (3) Section 2.5.8.3 is a 24-hour actual satellite accuracy test. The Section 2.5.8.3 test exposes the equipment to a variety of signal conditions and data-processing conditions over varying satellite geometries that will increase the confidence that no unforeseen interactions between components within the end-use equipment and the SBAS CCA functional sensor will go undetected. The 24-hour testing in Section 2.5.8.3 can be combined with the 24-hour message loss rate testing described in Section 2.5.2.4 (see the section on 'Additional Considerations for Internal Interference Sources').
 - (4) Section 2.5.8.4 (SBAS Tracking Bias) is an analysis of the GPS hardware, and it is therefore not necessary to repeat it at the end-use equipment level provided that no extra RF components that affect the RF filtering response are inserted in the RF

path. Otherwise, the manufacturer of the end-use equipment must also repeat the SBAS Tracking Bias test.

- (c) The test threshold is relaxed from 110 % to 125 % as specified in Table 2-25 of the 2.5.8.2.1 test procedure to shorten the duration of the test. However, the Section 2.5.8 testing (excluding the SBAS Tracking Bias test in Section 2.5.8.4) for the CCA in the end-use equipment shall be under ambient conditions per Section 2.5 with the 110-% test pass threshold for the maximum test sensitivity.
- (d) The Section 2.5.8 testing (excluding the SBAS Tracking Bias test in Section 2.5.8.4) will be repeated against the accuracy requirements that are consistent with the desired operational class (i.e. the Section 2.1.3.1, 2.1.4.1, and 2.1.5.1 accuracy requirements, as appropriate).
- (e) Only the broadband external interference noise test case using minimum satellite power will be executed in most cases, to shorten the duration of the test. The Section 2.5.8.1 testing will be repeated for both the minimum and the maximum satellite power only for the worst-case environment.

3.2. RTCA document DO-229E — 2.5.2 Message Loss Rate Test

- (a) Section 2.5.2 specifies the message loss rate test for the Section 2.1.1.3.2 message loss rate requirement. This test is conducted in conjunction with the Section 2.5.8 accuracy testing. Section 2.5.2.2 defines the test procedure to collect data to verify the SBAS message loss rate in the presence of interference using the test cases in which the SBAS satellite is at minimum power. Section 2.5.2.3 defines the pass-fail criteria.
- (b) The test in Section 2.5.2.2 is performed during the measurement accuracy broadband interference test case described in paragraph 3.1.
- (c) The test procedure in Section 2.5.2.4.1 is run in conjunction with the Section 2.5.8.3 24-hour accuracy test. Section 2.5.2.4.2 defines the pass-fail criteria for the test case described in paragraph 3.1(b)(3).

4. PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE PARTIALLY SUSCEPTIBLE TO ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

- (a) The Class Beta tables (Tables 2-14, 2-16, and 2-18) in Section 2.4.1 of RTCA document DO-229E indicate that the requirements for the initial acquisition time (2.1.1.7) and the satellite reacquisition time (2.1.1.9) are sensitive to four environmental conditions: icing, lightning-induced transient susceptibility, lightning direct effects, and normal/abnormal operating conditions. The requirements for loss of navigation (2.1.1.13.2, 2.1.4.12.2, and 2.1.5.12.2) and loss of integrity (2.1.1.13.1, 2.1.4.12.1, and 2.1.5.12.1) are sensitive to low and high operating temperatures.
- (b) The lightning-induced transient susceptibility, lightning direct effects, or icing environmental conditions are not pertinent to the environment that is created by the end-use equipment relative to the SBAS CCA functional sensor. However, the manufacturer of the end-use equipment remains responsible for meeting the overall environmental qualification requirements at the end-use equipment level.
- (c) The loss of navigation and loss of integrity indications are limited to temperature testing, and the information in RTCA document DO-229E, Sections 2.4.1.1.2 and 2.4.1.1.3, is appropriate. The purpose is to ensure that the interface used to indicate the loss of navigation is functional under the environmental conditions that are present after the SBAS CCA functional sensor is installed in the end-use equipment. Sections 2.4.1.1.2 and 2.4.1.1.3 indicate that any source that generates the indication can be used, since it is the

interface and not the detection mechanism that is verified. The temperature testing performed at the end-use equipment level is the worst-case scenario. It is not necessary to repeat the CCA-level test at room temperature in the end-use equipment, since the environmental qualification adequately addresses the testing for these requirements.

- (d) EUROCAE ED-14 Section 16 relates to aircraft power supplies (refer to ETSO Section 3.1.2 for the environmental qualification requirements). Sections 16.5.1.2 and 16.6.1.2 are for supply voltage modulation (AC)/ripple (DC). Given the potential susceptibility of the SBAS CCA functional sensor to power supply noise, it is prudent to repeat the tests at the end-use equipment level on this basis.
- (e) Sections 4.1 and 4.2 identify the testing that manufacturers of end-use equipment are required to repeat to demonstrate that the SBAS CCA functional sensor continues to meet the acquisition time and reacquisition time performance requirements relative to the normal/abnormal operating conditions after installation in the end-use equipment. All the tests shall be run under conditions in which the functions of the end-use equipment are fully enabled, to create the worst-case environment.

4.1. RTCA document DO-229E — 2.5.4 Initial acquisition test procedures

The information in RTCA document DO-229E, Section 2.4.1.1.4, on the initial acquisition test in Section 2.5.4 applies. The manufacturer of the end-use equipment shall repeat the initial acquisition testing described in RTCA DO-229E, Section 2.5.4.

4.2. RTCA document DO-229E — 2.5.6 Satellite reacquisition time test.

The manufacturer of the end-use equipment is required to repeat the satellite reacquisition time testing in RTCA document DO-229E, Section 2.5.6.

5. ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR INTERNAL INTERFERENCE SOURCES

- (a) Installing an SBAS CCA functional sensor into end-use equipment that also includes other functions requires a careful evaluation of the potential internal radiated and conducted interference. The manufacturer of the end-use equipment must evaluate each operating mode to determine whether the mode changes the environment for the installed SBAS CCA functional sensor. If there is only one environment, or there is clearly one worst-case environment, then the accuracy and message loss rate testing in Section 3 can be run in that operating mode only. For example, if the end-use equipment includes an RF transmitter that radiates at one frequency, one could reasonably argue that setting the transmitter at full power with maximum data throughput would generate a clear worst-case environment in which to run all the testing.
- (b) In the case of multiple environments, the accuracy and message loss rate tests can either be run in each environment, or the methodology in RTCA document DO-229E, Section 2.4.1.2.3, can be used to run an aggregate test with approximately equal time in each mode. The methodology in Section 2.4.1.2.3 must be used to identify the modes with the greatest susceptibility under which the combined accuracy and message loss rate tests are repeated in addition to the aggregate test. For example, the methodology of Section 2.4.1.2.3 is appropriate for end-use equipment that contains a high-power transmitter that operates on a large number of frequencies such that it is impractical to run a test at each frequency. This is analogous to the large number of frequencies that need to be tested during the EUROCAE ED-14 Section 19 testing on induced signal susceptibility and the Section 20 testing on radio frequency susceptibility, and this is the reason why the methodology of Section 2.4.1.2.3 was developed.

- (c) It is sufficient to identify one worst-case environment when performing acquisition and 24-hour accuracy testing.

6. SUMMARY

- (a) The manufacturer of end-use equipment that incorporates an SBAS CCA functional sensor is required to repeat the following RTCA document DO-229E Section 2.5 testing under ambient conditions (see Section 5) after installing the SBAS CCA functional sensor in the end-use equipment:

- The Section 2.5.8 accuracy test (excluding the SBAS Tracking Bias test in 2.5.8.4) adapted per Section 2.4.1.1.1, except that the 110-% test pass threshold is used.

Note: Excluding the SBAS Tracking Bias test is acceptable, provided that the end-use equipment does not insert into the RF signal path any components that affect the filtering response. Otherwise, the manufacturer of the end-use equipment must repeat the SBAS Tracking Bias test as well.

- The Section 2.5.2 message loss rate test.
- The Section 2.5.4 initial acquisition test.
- The Section 2.5.6 satellite reacquisition time test.

- (b) The manufacturer of the end-use equipment remains responsible for completing a full environmental qualification evaluation (ETSO Section 3.1.2) at the end-use equipment level. The manufacturer of end-use equipment that incorporates an SBAS CCA functional sensor is required to repeat the loss of navigation indication and loss of integrity indication tests as part of the environmental qualification according to RTCA document DO-229E Sections 2.4.1.1.2 and 2.4.1.1.3 respectively.

[Amdt ETSO/13]

[Amdt ETSO/16]

Appendix 2 to ETSO-C145e A1 – Addition to RTCA Document DO-229E Section 1

ED Decision 2020/011/R

This Appendix describes the required modifications and additions to RTCA DO-229E for compliance with this ETSO. This Appendix adds a new Section 1.8.3 and corrects a long-standing mistake in the Section 2.4 environmental requirement tables.

The new section provides information for cybersecurity and spoofing mitigation to make RTCA document DO-229E consistent with the new RTCA MOPS template and RTCA document DO-253D, Minimum Operational Performance Standards for GPS Local Area Augmentation System Airborne Equipment.

1.8.3 Cybersecurity and GNSS Spoofing Mitigation

This section contains information to address intentional interference with the GNSS. Spoofing is caused by RF waveforms that mimic true signals in some ways, but deny, degrade, disrupt, or deceive a receiver's operation when they are processed. Spoofing may be unintentional, such as effects from the signals of a GNSS repeater, or may be intentional and even malicious. There are two classes of spoofing:

- Measurement spoofing introduces RF waveforms that cause the target receiver to produce incorrect measurements of time of arrival or frequency of arrival or their rates of change;
- Data spoofing introduces incorrect digital data to the target receiver for its use in processing of signals and the calculation of positioning, navigation and timing (PNT).

Either class of spoofing can cause a range of effects, from incorrect outputs of PNT to receiver malfunction. The onset of effects can be instantaneous or delayed, and the effects can continue even after the spoofing has ended. Improperly used or installed GNSS re-radiators act like spoofers. Re-radiators replay and GNSS emulator devices can present misleading information to GNSS equipment and/or could cause lasting effects.

Equipment manufacturers should implement measures to mitigate processing of erroneous data. Cross-checks of GNSS sensor data against independent position sources and/or other detection monitors using GNSS signal metrics or data checks can be implemented in the antenna, receiver, and/or through integration with other systems at the aircraft level. Data validity checks to recognize and reject measurement and data spoofing should be implemented in the receiver. Additional guidance and best practices related to GNSS equipment can be found in the U.S. Department of Homeland Security document 'Improving the Operation and Development of Global Positioning System (GPS) Equipment Used by Critical Infrastructure'⁵ and GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEMS DIRECTORATE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING & INTEGRATION: INTERFACE SPECIFICATION, IS-GPS-200, Navstar GPS Space Segment/Navigation User Interfaces, Revision H, IRN-IS-200H-003, 28 July 2016.

Aircraft equipment information vulnerabilities (such as cybersecurity risks) have been present for digital systems since the development of the personal computer (PC) in the late 1970s and even longer for RF systems, and the advent of internet connectivity has substantially increased those risks. Typically, access to navigation receivers has been controlled such that they are considered to be vulnerable only through RF signals and OEM and/or aircraft operator controlled processes for maintenance and updates. In some cases, aircraft GNSS receivers may be field-loadable by approved personnel, requiring physical access and physical interface to the ground receivers. However, it is

⁵ [https://ics-cert.us-cert.gov/sites/default/files/documents/Improving_the_Operation_and_Development_of_Global_Positioning_System_\(GPS\)_Equipment_Used_by_Critical_Infrastructure_S508C.pdf](https://ics-cert.us-cert.gov/sites/default/files/documents/Improving_the_Operation_and_Development_of_Global_Positioning_System_(GPS)_Equipment_Used_by_Critical_Infrastructure_S508C.pdf).

expected that not all aircraft in the future will rely on such physical isolation for the security of avionics. Internet and Wi-Fi connectivity have become popular as a means for aircraft or equipment manufacturers to update installed avionics software, to update databases, or provide an alternate means of communicating with the flight crew or cabin (e.g., in-flight entertainment, weather, etc.).

In most countries, the State provides oversight of safety-of-flight systems (sometimes referred to as ‘authorised services’) which provide information to aircraft, such as ILS, VOR, GNSS, and DME, to name a few. However, the State typically does not provide oversight on ‘non-trusted’ connectivity such as the internet, Wi-Fi, or manufacturer-supplied equipment interfaces which permit the input of externally supplied data into aircraft systems. A manufacturer may expose aircraft information vulnerabilities through the design of the equipment, or the equipment may become vulnerable as a result of being connected to a common interface. Therefore, it is important for manufacturers to consider aircraft information security risk mitigation strategies in their equipment design, particularly when the equipment is responsible for an interface between the aircraft and aircraft-external systems.

Apart from any specific aircraft-information-security-related performance requirements that are contained in the MOPS, it is recommended that manufacturers consider a layered approach to aircraft information security risk mitigation that includes both technical (e.g. software, signal filtering) and physical strategies. From a technical perspective, for example, this could include signal spoofing detection capabilities or more stringent, multi-factored authentication techniques such as passwords, PINs, and digital certificates. From a physical perspective, a manufacturer could consider connectors that require special tools to remove them to prevent passenger tampering — although navigation avionics are typically located in an avionics bay inaccessible to passengers. And finally, but just as important, manufacturers should consider supply chain risk management; for example, if a manufacturer outsources the development of software code, are the contractor and its staff properly vetted?

Civil aviation authorities (CAAs) have a regulatory interest when an applicant’s design makes use of a non-trusted connection through which the installation can potentially introduce aircraft information security vulnerabilities. This requires the applicant to address not only the information security vulnerabilities and mitigation techniques for the new installation, but to also consider how vulnerability could propagate to existing downstream systems. Therefore, it is recommended that manufacturers reference their equipment aircraft information security review and mitigation strategies in the installation manual of the equipment so that the applicant can consider them in meeting the regulatory requirements of the installation.

Table 2-14 to Table 2-20

The tables incorrectly reference and label EUROCAE ED-14/RTCA document DO-160 Sections 16.5.1.2 and 16.6.1.2 regarding ‘2.1.1.7 Acquisition Time’ and ‘2.1.1.9 Reacquisition Time’. Change the table references as follows:

The MOPS initial acquisition time requirement (2.1.1.7) applies to both AC and DC equipment under abnormal operating conditions (EUROCAE ED-14/RTCA document DO-160 Sections 16.5.2 and 16.6.2) and the satellite reacquisition time requirement (2.1.1.9) applies to both AC and DC equipment under normal operating conditions (EUROCAE ED-14/RTCA document DO 160 Sections 16.5.1 and 16.6.1).

[Amdt ETSO/13]

[Amdt ETSO/16]

Appendix 3 to ETSO-C145e A1

ED Decision 2020/011/R

Reserved.

[Amdt ETSO/13]

[Amdt ETSO/16]

Appendix 4 to ETSO-C145e A1

ED Decision 2020/011/R

This Appendix prescribes the EASA modifications to RTCA document DO-229E, Section 2.

In Section 2.1.1.2, after the first sentence, add the following:

‘The demodulation of data from the GPS signals shall be restricted to the necessary subset of the data defined in Appendix II to IS-GPS-200D, ‘Navstar GPS Space Segment / Navigation User Interfaces’, December 2004, provided on RF link L1. The pseudo-ranging shall be performed on RF link L1 utilising the coarse/acquisition (C/A) code.’

This is to ensure that only the L1 NAV data, for which the SBAS provides corrections and integrity, is used, and that no CNAV data, which is defined in Appendix III to IS-GPS-200D, is used, for which the SBAS does not provide integrity.

[Amdt ETSO/13]

[Amdt ETSO/16]