

Annex VII to ED Decision 2023/013/R
Acceptable Means of Compliance (AMC) and Guidance Material (GM)
to Annex Vd (Part-CAO) to Commission Regulation (EU) No 1321/2014
Issue 1 — Amendment 3

The text of the amendment is arranged to show deleted text, new or amended text as shown below:

- deleted text is ~~struck through~~;
- new or amended text is highlighted in **blue**;
- an ellipsis '[...]' indicates that the rest of the text is unchanged.

Note to the reader

In amended, and in particular in existing (that is, unchanged) text, 'Agency' is used interchangeably with 'EASA'. The interchangeable use of these two terms is more apparent in the consolidated versions. Therefore, please note that both terms refer to the 'European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA)'.

Table of contents

Acceptable Means of Compliance (AMC) and Guidance Material (GM) to Annex Vd (Part-CAO) to Commission Regulation (EU) No 1321/2014	1
SECTION A — ORGANISATION REQUIREMENTS.....	3
AMC1 CAO.A.020(c) Terms of approval	3
APPENDICES TO THE AMC AND GM TO ANNEX VD (PART-CAO)	4
Appendix II to AMC1 CAO.A.100(f) — Organisational review.....	4

Annex VIII to Decision 2020/002/R is amended as laid down in this Annex.

SECTION A — ORGANISATION REQUIREMENTS

[...]

AMC1 CAO.A.020(c) Terms of approval

FABRICATION

[...]

- (c) The approved data necessary to fabricate the part is that approved by either the Agency, the type certificate (TC) holder, the Part 21 design organisation approval holder, or the supplemental type certificate (STC) holder. Alternatively, the data can be declared by the declarant of a declaration of design compliance (in accordance with Part 21 Light Subpart C).

[...]

- (f) [...]. Where special processes or inspection procedures are defined in the approved or declared (in accordance with Part 21 Light Subpart C) data, which are not available at the approved maintenance organisation, that organisation cannot fabricate the part unless the TC/STC holder or the declarant of a declaration of design compliance gives an approved alternative.

[...]

- (h) Where a TC holder or declarant of a declaration of design compliance or an approved or declared (in accordance with Part 21 Light Subpart G) production organisation, or a production organisation using Part 21 Light Subpart R is prepared to make available complete data which is not referred to in aircraft manuals or service bulletins, but provides manufacturing drawings for items specified in parts lists, the fabrication of these items is not considered to be within the scope of a CAO approval unless agreed otherwise by the competent authority in accordance with a procedure specified in the CAE.

- (i) Inspection and identification

[...]. The inspection should establish full compliance with the relevant manufacturing data, and the part should be unambiguously identified as fit for use by stating conformity to the approved or declared (in accordance with Part 21 Light Subpart C) data. [...]

APPENDICES TO AMC AND GM TO ANNEX Vd (PART-CAO)

[...]

Appendix II to AMC1 CAO.A.100(f) — Organisational review

[...]

2. Maintenance data

- Check that the maintenance data is present and up to date for the ongoing maintenance activity.
- Check that no change has been made to the maintenance data from the design approval holder (DAH) or the declarant of a declaration of design compliance without the DAH or declarant being notified.

[...]

14. Aircraft maintenance programme (AMP) development and control

- For Part-ML aircraft, ensure that the AMP has been approved by the CAO and has been subject to annual review.
- For Part-M aircraft, check that all revisions to the DAH or the declarant of a declaration of design compliance instructions for continuing airworthiness (ICA), since the last review, have been (or are planned to be) incorporated in the maintenance programme, unless otherwise approved by the competent authority.
- Has the maintenance programme taken into account all modifications or repairs?
- Have all maintenance programme amendments been approved at the right level (CAO, competent authority or indirect approval)?
- Does the status of compliance with the maintenance programme reflect the latest approved maintenance programme?
- How has the organisation managed:
 - the tolerances (variations) to the AMP intervals?
 - the deviations from the maintenance tasks to be performed in accordance with the AMP?
- Have the deviations from the DAH or the declarant of a declaration of design compliance ICA in the development of the AMP been properly justified and recorded?

[...]