CRD-09-2004 (c	orrigendum)
Comment	Response
ex II Part-145	
aragraph -	
Cmt. 3 MTU Aero Engines	
MTU Aero Engines (Approved Maintenance Organization DE.145.0019) supports all	1. Comment noted.
proposals concerning Annex II of Regulation 2042/2003 and AMC / GM Part 145.	2. The Agency believes that reference to 145.A.25(a)(2) is correct. Text not chang
Further Comment:	
Please check the reference to 145.A.25 (a) (2) in AMC 145.A.30(d)5. We believe that this	is
an error.	

l	Comment	Response
AMC/GM	I Part-66	
Parag	raph -	
	Cmt. 1 Danche, Joseph	
	We propose to you to put the b300 and the b350 on the same line	The list included in Appendix I to AMC 66 considers mainly the "maintenance training needed"
		Text changed
	Cmt. 2 Lyon Maintenance	
	Appendix 1 - Aircraft type rating for Part66- AML	Text changed but not as proposed
	" Fokker F70 (RR Tay) Fokker F100 (RR Tay) "	This is addressed by the NPA (Appendix I to AMC 66)
	2. PROPOSED TEXT/ COMMENT:	
	Fokker 28 Mk 0070 / 0100	
	Reason:	
	a/ The type of aircraft would be consistent with the Data Sheet	
	b/ If the F28 Mk 0070 and the F 28 Mk 0100 are two different types (for maintenance) then one would need two different trainings and two different Part147 examinations. Since the Maintenance Documents (AMM, IPC, SRM, WDM) for the F28 Mk 0070 and the F 28 Mk 0100 are joint, then both aircraft should be considered as a single type.	

Comment	Response
AMC/GM Part-147	
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 31 Airbus	
AMC 147.A.105(b), and paragraph 4 of GM 147.B.115	1. For examination staff submiting of EASA Form 4 remains in force
The proposal to suppress "and (g)" in AMC 147.A105 tends to confirm that it is no longer necessary to use a Form 4 for the acceptance of Examiner and Practical assessor. However paragraph 4 in GM 147.B.115 states: " A change of any of the senior personnel specified in 147.A.105(b) or the examination staff in 147.A.105(g) requires the maintenance training organization to submit a Form 4" This means that, by referring to Part 147 Section B guidance material, the Competent Authority may request the training organization to submit Forms 4 for the examination staff, while it is acceptable under Section A AMC to renounce Forms 4 for this group of persons.	The Agency agrees that there is an inconsistency but proposes to impose a Form 4 for exxaminers rather than what is proposed by the commentor. Therefore in AMC 147.A.105(b) and (g) the reference to "and examination staff as specified by 147.A.105(g)" is added after the reference to 147.A.105(b) GM147.B.115 (4) remains as proposed in NPA
PROPOSAL:	
In GM to 147.B.115 (4), delete 'or the examination staff in 147.A.105 (g)'	

Comment	Response
Draft Commission Regulation	
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 4 SENASA	
Article 7, paragraph 3.(c) of regulation 2042/2003	The word "large" will be deleted in Article 7, paragraph 3(c) to Commission Regulation (EC) No 2042/2003
 145.A.30 (g) as applicable to large aircraft with a maximum take-off mass of more than 5700 kg. The word 'large' should be deleted. 	Text changed.

Comment	Response
Draft Agency Decision	
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 5 SENASA	
AMC M.A.706 (a) Personnel Requirements With regard to the accountable manager, it is normally intended to mean the chief executive officer of the maintenance continuing airworthiness management organisation approved	the words "continuing airworthiness management organisation" will be added to AMC M.A.706 (a) Personnel Requirements.
under M.A. Subpart G	Text changed but not as proposed.

Comment	Response
AL COMMENT(S)	
graph -	
Cmt. 6 SENASA	
'Appendix VII to AMC M.B.702 (f) EASA Form 13', Part 3 of Form 13, point 5.2.	Text changed.
'AMC M.A.201 (h) 1. ' instead ' AMC M.A.201 (h) 2.' Cmt. 7 SENASA	
Appendix VII to AMC M.B.702 (f) EASA Form 13', Part 3 of Form 13, Part 5: Appendices.	Text changed.
5.2 List of airworthiness review staff. 5.3 List of sib-contractors as per AMC M.A.201 (h) 1	
: 5.6 Copy of contracts with approved maintenance organisations.	
Cmt. 8 Chelton Radomes Ltd	
Changes are accepted as satisfactory	Comment noted
Cmt. 9 CAA-UK	
Part M Appendix II EASA Form 1	Explanation on how to fiil bolck 19 of Form 1 will be changed to avoid any confusion.
Block 19 Release statement should reflect Part M Sub Part F release and not Part 145 release.	
Cmt. 10 CAA-UK	
Part M Appendix II EASA Form 1	Explanation on how to fiil bolck 19 and therfore indirectly block 13 of Form 1 will be changed to avoid any confusion.
Block 13 should include a statement to reflect the component is not suitable for fitment to Commercial Air Transport Aircraft.	
Cmt. 11 CAA-UK	-
Para AMC 145.B.35(1) Reinstate the word 'approval' deleted from the first line to make sense.	The first sentence of AMC 145.B.35(1) will be deleted as it does not give any added value to the AMC
	Text changed but not as proposed
Cmt. 12 CAA-UK	
Para 145.A.60 or AMC 145.A.60(b)	Reference added to AMC 145.A.60(b)
There should be a cross reference to AMC 20-8 to clarify what is considered to be a reportable occurrence.	Text changed
Cmt. 13 CAA-UK	-
Para 147.B.10	The text from 145.B.10(3) will transferred and adapted to 147.B.10
Should include a similar requirement to 145.B10 (3). To ensure competent authority have the necessary knowledge, experience etc and be trained to Part 147 etc.	Text changed

Comment	Response
Cmt. 14 CAA-UK	
Part 66 Section B	The intent of NPA 9/2004 is not to introduce new requirements but to correct editorial errors and misunderstandings in Regulation 2042/2003.
There is currently no requirement for competent authority staff involved in the issuing of Part 66	Changes of substance in section B may be considered in future Rulemaking
licenses to have the necessary knowledge, etc and be trained to Part 66 etc.	programmes if "standardization inspections" show the need for it.
	Text not changed
Cmt. 15 CAA-UK	
Annex III Part 66 Appendix 1, Module 12	Text changed
Add 'Tail Rotor Drive Shafts, Flexible Couplings, Bearings, Vibration Dampers and Bearing Hangers' to Module 12.4	
Additional text to cover important missing item.	
Cmt. 16 CAA-UK	
Part-66 Appendix II Para. 1.7	Text changed
Amendment to Part-66 Appendix II Para. 1.7. Delete 'and'.	
Cmt. 17 CAA-UK	
Annex III Part-66 App V	This is in line with ICAO Annex I licence format, which use number VIII for authority and conditions.
The number VIII is used in two separate fields on the licence, Authority and Conditions.	For sake of clarity it is proposed to use VIII(a) for authority and VIII(b) for conditions
	Text changed.
Cmt. 18 CAA-UK	Text changed.
Annex III Part-66 App V	Appart to the reference to the "colour" the corrected licence seems to be in line with ICAO Annex I format.
Licence not correctly in ICAO Annex I format	
Cmt. 19 CAA-UK	Text not changed.
General	This issue was addressed in the JAA COrA report, which has been incorporated into
The changes proposed do not address the current inconsistencies in dealing with findings	the EASA workprogramme. One should take into account nonetheless that total harmonisation may be difficult to obtain as each approval may not have the same
between Parts both in sections A&B.	direct effect on flight safety and therefore each case must be reviewed before final
See 147.30; 21:B143, 145:B.50; M.B.605 & MA.619, 145B.95, 147.A.160	position is taken. This is why this work has not been considered as an editorial or a minor change.
Cmt. 21 CAA-NL	
AMC M.A.706 (a) Personnel requirements	
With regard to the accountable manager, it is normally intended to mean the chief executive	Text changed.
officer of the maintenance continuing airworthiness management organisation approved under M.A. Subpart G, who by virtue of position has overall (including in particular financial	
responsibility for running the organisation.	
Cmt. 22 CAA-NL	
Annex II, Part 145.A.15 Application	The subject has been reviewed throughout Regulations 2042/2003 and 1702/2003 and the word "changes" is the one that is used for POA and DOA. Since the intention
Better to change in the text from Part 66.A.10 and Part 147.A.15 the word 'amendment' into 'variation' to bring these Parts in line with Part M and Part 145.	is the same, the word "changes" will be used throughout Regulation 2042/2003.

Comment	Response
Cmt. 23 CAA-NL	
Annex II, AMC 145.A.75(b); Annex III, GM 145.A.70 para9; Annex IV, AMC 66.A.10 para 2 and 3 / A.45(a) para 1 / B.100 para 1 / B.105 para 2 and 3.	The way the cross-references are written is important to the understanding of the AMC material. It is therefore essential that the codification of the paragraphs be homogenous.
The CAA-NL does not see the justification for these particular changes since there are a lot of other places in the text of the AMC and GM where the word 'Part' is missing in front of a paragraph reverence and there is no proposal to include this word. Cmt. 24	The text has been reviewed and wherever the word Part should be used to refer to the Regulation it has been added for clarity.
	The references to indirect entry of shares a large set been included into David 145
Annex II, AMC 145.B.35(1) Changes. Disagree, better to use the following text:	The references to indirect approval changes have not been included into Part-145 except for those detailed in 145.A.70. This is not the case for Part-M. The work on COrA will enable EASA to make the changes requested by harmonising the EASA rules.
The following changes to the 145 approval should not be subject to the indirect approval procedure: - Name change - Change of accountable manager - Address change - Approval scope and rating - New facility - Any other change to the approval designated by the competent authority.	
The applicable part/s of the EASA Form 6should be used for the change. Cmt. 25 CAA-NL	
Annex III, Part 66.A.40 Disagree with the proposal, leave text as is.	There is no possibility offered to extend the validity of the licence on the document. It is therefore not possible to extend the 5 years period, each time the licence is amended. This option is not offered in the ICAO Annex either. Nonetheless the problem described is not of a major consequence as most authorities reissued the licence whenever a new type rating is added/endorsed. The comment cannot be taken into account.
Cmt. 26 CAA-NL	
V. Regulatory Impact Assessment 9. The proposed changes being editorial, they will have no impact.	These changes reflect the new ATA chapters that airlines and maintenance organisations are using daily. This modification, if it does have an impact, will be benefitial to the industry by harmonisign their scope of work and the way they clasify components.
9. The proposed changes being editorial, they will have limited impact on industry.	
The changes in computerized approval control systems and approval schedules as a consequences of the new C ratings for approved maintenance organizations are to be make by the authorities. A implementation period is necessary.	The modifications proposed are minor, furthermore they only adressed of the approval certificates which are of a very limited number and finally the two new ratings concern "water ballast" and "propulsion augmentation" are not very common in air transportation and the implementation of Part M starts in 2008 which gives 3 years of transition.
Reason:	
The inclusion of 2 new C-ratings triggers the amendment of the CAA-NL computerized approval control system and may trigger the amendment of a limited number of approval schedules.	EASA does not think it is necessary to change the text.

		Comment	Response
Cmt.	27	CAA-NL	
Various			Comment noted
At various places proposals are made to improve the wording used on the unlimited duration of approvals/ certificates. The individual proposals are as such correct, but we would have liked to see first the result of the evaluation the agency shall make on the duration of the validity of approvals as required in EC 1702/2003 article 5.5 and EC 2042/2003 article 7.6 as agreed in the EASA rulemaking comité during 2003 while discussing these regulations.		/ certificates. The individual proposals are as such correct, but we ee first the result of the evaluation the agency shall make on the cy of approvals as required in EC 1702/2003 article 5.5 and EC is as agreed in the EASA rulemaking comité during 2003 while	The Agency has recentely published in its website NPA 10/2005 concerning the evaluation of the duration of the validity of approvals as required by Article 5(5) to Regulation 1702/2003.
Cmt.	28	CAA-NL	
approval> see	: val is lin ction of	nited to that specified in the scope of approval $\langle work \rangle \langle DELETED$	 Text changed but not as requested (see comparable comment from CAA-Norway). The POA format shall be followed. Text changed.
	schedu	e form le is limited to those products and activities specified in the scope of pproval> section contained in Part approved organisation	
AMC M.A. 306			The text has been omitted during the transition from JAR-OPS to Part-M. The
AMC M.A. 306 (a) is a copy of (JAR) AMC OPS 1.915. 'During copying' the text of the abbreviated Certificate of Release to Service is deleted. Please insert concerning JAR text between paragraph V and VI of AMC M.A. 306 (a)		e of Release to Service is deleted.	abreviated CRS will be reintroduced.
Cmt.	30	Airbus	
Parts M, 145,	66, 147		Comment noted.
No.2042/2003	3. welcome importa	ports the introduction of tables of contents into the Annexes to EC e the clarifications and corrections introduced into these documents of nce.	
(h) An owner following reco 1. all detailed fitted thereto,	r or oper ords for mainte , at leas	inuing airworthiness record system rator shall ensure that a system has been established to keep the the periods specified: nance records in respect of the aircraft and any life-limited component t 24 months after the aircraft or component was <permanently ELETED> released to service, and;</permanently 	EASA agrees that imposing such record keeping would be too burdersome and goes well beyond what is the common practice today imposed by JAR OPS. EASA intends to use the following wording that takes into account the concern of this comment, the comment made by MOT Austria, ICAO Annex 6 SARPs and the text of the Opinion 3/2004. "M.A.305 Aircraft continuing airworthiness record system
			 (h) An owner or operator shall ensure that a system has been established to keep the following records for the periods specified: 1. all detailed maintenance records in respect of the aircraft and any service life-limited, component fitted thereto, until such time as the information contained therein is superseded by new information equivalent in scope and detail but not less than 24 months after the aircraft or component has been released to service, and;"

Comment	Response
Cmt. 33 CAA, Norway	
 Annex I Part M m) Appendix III Airworthiness Review certificate - Form 15b. -// Form 15a. -//- o) Appendix V Approval Certificate Part-M Section A Subpart F Maintenance Organisations -//- p) Appendix VI Approval Certificate Part-M Section A Subpart G Continuing Airworthiness Management Organisation Annex II Part-145 g) Appendix III Approval Certificate Part-145 Maintenance Organisation 	There is no need to modify the proposed text of NPA 9/2004 replace the expression "A member of the European Aviation Safety Agency" by "Member State of the European Union" Moreover, the comment of CAA-Norway cannot be taken into account as Norway is not a Member of the European Union and the extension to the EFTA states will be given by amendment of the EEA agreement.
Reference is made to the proposals to replace expression 'A member of the European Aviation Safety Agency' by 'Member State of the European Union'.	Text not changed
Cmt. 37 ACG Austria	
Supports NPA.	Comment noted.
Cmt. 110 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Appendix VI The approval certificate for a Part M subpart G organisation still refers to a Technical Services Organisation. This is not defined anymore. Cmt. 110 EASA Cert	
1. Part- 145 Appendix IV (1) €last line should be "a member of certifying staff"	Text changed acccordingly.
2. 145.A.95 (a) "hazards seriously" shoud be "seriously hazards" (do a search for all similar examples in Part 145 and Part M including AMC)	
3. Part-145 Appendix II table borders for paragraph 12 and table borders for Table 1	
 4. Part-145 Appendix III: a) header of Form 3 to include: Member State/Agency b) Company name to have second line for address [CO Name] [Address] 	
c) page 1 and subsequents pages to have box & footer with EASA Form 3	
Clean up: reference/date of issue/signature spacing	
check consistency of approval certificates subpart f Part 145	
Cmt. 111 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	-
M.A.301	For large and commercial aircraft the paragraph requires that MEL and CDL must be taken into account in the rectification of defects. MEL are required by operations
Paragraph 2 seems to indicate that a MEL can only be used for large and commercial aircraft, not for other aircraft. Is that correct and intended?	regulations that are still under the scope of competence of national authorities. Nothing prevents NAAs to make MEL compulsory for aircraft not being used in commercial operations.
	Text not changed.
Cmt. 112 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
M.A.305	This issue cannot be included in this NPA as is not a editorial or minor change, nor was it subject to consultation. Nonetheless EASA is aware of this issue and will include in its Rulemaking programme if a rulemaking activity is required to clarify the term
In paragraph (h) under 1, the word 'detailed' needs clarification. It causes much confusion. Is it really the intention that owners or operators retail all maintenance records(including the dirty fingerprints)?	"detailed" in M.A.305. Text not changed

Comment	Response		
Cmt. 113 Jargon Aviation Consultancy			
M.A.401	The intention of paragraph c) is actually to specify the documentation in use during performing the maintenance.		
In paragraph (c) start a new paragraph (d) after ' Required' in the first sentence. The text on work cards or worksheets covers a different subject than currency of data.	Text not changed		
Cmt. 114 Jargon Aviation Consultancy			
M.A.601	a) M.A.201(g) does not cover continuing airworthiness management		
Delete M & 201/() and accords M & 201/c) and a latter second all	Text not changed		
Delete M.A.201(f) and refer to M.A.201(g) only, the latter covers all. Also the referenced paragraphs do not 'list' aircraft or components. Use other word, like 'ndicated' or 'referenced'.	b) EASA considers that the modification proposed will not improve the understanding of the text.		
	Text not changed		
Cmt. 115 Jargon Aviation Consultancy			
M.A.603	EASA considers that the modification proposed will not improve the structure of the text		
Include tab before second part of paragraph (a).	Text not changed		
Cmt. 116 Jargon Aviation Consultancy			
All	Numbers will be harmonised		
Use numbers for appendices consistently, not 4 and IV mixed.	Text changed		
Cmt. 117 Jargon Aviation Consultancy			
M.A.614, M.A.712, 145.A.55, AMC M.A.305(h)	Text will be harmonised to read "damage, theft and alteration"		
יו.א.טבי, יו.א.י וב, ביס.א.ס, איוכ יו.א.סט(וו)	Text changed		
Use consistent wording for storage conditions of records. Now different text is used throughout the regulations, e.g. ' damage and theft' (M.A.614), ' damage, alteration and theft' (M.A.712), 'fire flood and theft' (145.A.55), 'fire, flood, theft and alteration' (AMC M.A.305(h)) etc.			
Cmt. 118 Jargon Aviation Consultancy			
M.A.702	AMC material will be developed		
Add a reference to EASA Form 2 somewhere, may be in an additional AMC.	Text changed		
Cmt. 119 Jargon Aviation Consultancy			
M.A.707(a) and AMC M.A.707(a)	The intention of the text is clear, together with the other requirements of M.A.707, the staff shall have acquired at least five years in "continuing airworthiness".		
The text ' Experience in continuing airworthiness' needs clarification. Does this mean management of CA or could it also mean performing maintenance. Add some clarification in the AMC.	Text not changed		
Cmt. 120 Jargon Aviation Consultancy			
M.A.710	EASA considers that the proposed modification will not improve the structure of the text		
Paragraph (d) could be better placed in subpart I.	Text not changed		

Comment	Response		
Cmt. 121 Jargon Aviation Consultancy			
M.A.711	Comment accepted		
Under paragraph (a) (1) change text 'non-commercial air transport aircraft' to something like 'aircraft not involved in commercial air transport'.	Text changed		
Cmt. 122 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	-		
M.A.801 Change text 'all maintenance required' in paragraph (b) to 'all maintenance ordered'.	EASA considers that the proposed modification will not improve the understanding of the text.		
Cmt. 123 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	Text not changed		
M.A.905 Use same and consistent text for handling findings everywhere in requirements. Essential last part of last sentence of M.A.905 (c) dealing with prevention should be added in other requirements like M.A.716, M.A.619, 145.A.95 and may be others.	The proposed modification does not give an added value to the current text. Text not changed.		
Cmt. 124 Jargon Aviation Consultancy]		
Annex I (Appendix I)	The term "appropriately" does not give an added value to the current text		
In paragraph 5.1 (2) use words 'appropriately approved maintenance organisation.' everywhere (3 times). Cmt. 125 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	Text not changed		
	a) Taut shares of the read "Questa mentionian NDT method"		
Annex I (Appendix V) In approval schedule specify an NDT process in right hand column of D1. 'All types' is not correct (same change as in Part-145) What happened to other specialised services discussed in JAA, like painting (D2) or weighing?	 a) Text changed to read "Quote particular NDT method" b) Part 145 was transferred without any change to its basic principles. Such a change will require an in depth analysis on the consequences. If requested it will be included in EASA rulemaking programme 		
Cmt. 126 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	-		
AMC M.A.301 -1 In paragraph 1 under (c), (d), (e) and (f), change word 'control' to 'check' or 'verification' Cmt. 127 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	The terms "check or verification" do not give an added value to the current text Text not changed		
AMC M.A.402(a)	Comment accepted		
Start new paragraph (4) at end of paragraph 3 (CR/LF missing)	Text changed		
Cmt. 128 Jargon Aviation Consultancy			
AMC M.A.402(a)	Text changed.		
Last sentence of 4.7 must also have a bullet.			
Cmt. 129 Jargon Aviation Consultancy			
AMC M.A.501(a)	Text changed		
Paragraph (e) missing.			

Comment	Response
Cmt. 130 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
AMC M.A.603(b)	Text not changed
Paragraph 3 says approved by 'the competent authority', should be 'the Agency'	
Cmt. 131 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	-
AMC M.A.605(c)	EASA considers that the proposed modification will not improve the structure of the text.
Paragraph 6 has no relevance to facilities. Place elsewhere.	Text not changed
Cmt. 132 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
AMC M.A.704	EASA considers that the proposed modification will not improve the structure of the text.
Much of the similar text in Part-145 has Guidance Material status. Be consistent in this.	Text not changed
Especially for the accountable manager's statement I encourage organisations to make their own statement, not just copy the standard.	
Cmt. 133 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
	Comment accepted
AMC M.A.904(a)-2	Tayt changed
Under paragraph 3 'M.A.902' should also be replaced by 'M.A.901'. Missing in NPA.	Text changed
Cmt. 134 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	-
	This depends on the procedures of the approved maintenance organisation (AMO)
M.A.305	Text not changed
Under paragraph (e) 'Form 1 or equivalent' does not include a internal serviceable label issued by the approved maintenance organisation. Does that mean that the AMO should issue a Form 1 anyway or should the text be amended?	
Cmt. 135 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	-
M.A.302	This issue cannot be included in this NPA as is not a editorial or minor change, nor was it subject to consultation. Nonetheless EASA is aware of this issue and will include in its Rulemaking programme if a rulemaking activity is required to clarify M.A.302
Nothing is said about retention of old revisions of maintenance programmes. Is that deliberate or missing?	Text not changed
Cmt. 136 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
	The text includes the operator's quality system.
AMC M.A.201 (h) 1	Text not changed
In the last sentence of paragraph 7, is it meant that the operator's quality system is extended to the sub-contractor. Present text is different.	
Cmt. 137 Jargon Avlation Consultancy	
	EASA considers that the proposed modification will not improve the structure of the
AMC M.A.605 (a)	text.
Paragraph 3 relates to office accommodation and should be under AMC M.A.605 (b).	Text not changed

	Comment	Response
Cmt. 138	Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
AMC M.A.605 (c)		It depends of the internal procedures of the Maintenance organisation. No additional clarification is required.
	s a 'separate secured location'? What is meant with a separate location ke in computer back ups)?	Text not changed
Cmt. 139	Jargon Avlation Consultancy	
AMC M.A.712 (b)		Comment accepted, the word "year" shall be deleted.
Paragraph 5 last se	ntence of first part does not make sense. Correct the word 'year'.	Text changed
Cmt. 140		
		The reference of the commenter is not correct. It should be AMC M.B.704(b)
AMC M.A.704 (b)		Comment accepted
	refer to 11 and 12 month periods. Should that not be 23 and 24 month nee with the requirement?	Text changed
Cmt. 141	Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
AMC Appendices		Comment noted
The lay out of sever process. Please che	al forms in the appendices has been corrupted, probably during the pdf ck lay out.	
Cmt. 142	Jargon Avlation Consultancy	
AMC Appendices		This is included in paragraph 5 of EASA Form 2
		Text not changed.
	a Form 2 for the Subpart I extension of subpart G organisations. The not provide for including the required information	
Cmt. 143	Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Appendix II EASA F	orm 1	Comment noted
	s given in Appendix II of Part-M, refers in block 19 to ;'Part-145', both in and in the release statement. This should be 'Part-M subpart F'	This issue cannot be included in this NPA as is not a editorial or minor change, nor was it subject to consultation. Nonetheless EASA is aware of this issue and will include in its Rulemaking programme if a rulemaking activity is required
		Text not changed
Cmt. 144	Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
145.Appendix II		Part 145 was transferred without any change to its basic principles. Such a change will require an in depth analysis on the consequences. Nonetheless EASA is aware of this issue and will include in its Rulemaking programme if a rulemaking activity is require.
Whatever happen What about aircra	ed to the Class D2 rating for painting that was discussed within JAA? ft weighing?	
Justification: Inform	ation missing	

Comment	Response
Cmt. 145 ENAC	
part M AMC M.A.305(h)	Comment accepted
AMC M.A.305(h)	Text changed
Computer systems should have at least one backup system, which should be updated at least within 24 hours of any maintenance. Each terminal is required to contain programme safeguards against the ability of unauthorised personnel to alter the database.	
<deleted> Details of current modifications and repairs include the data supporting compliance with the airworthiness requirements. This can be in the form of a STC, SB, SRM or similar document. <deleted></deleted></deleted>	
Continuing airworthiness records should be stored in a safe way with regard to fire, flood, theft and alteration. Computer backup discs, tapes etc., should be stored in a different location from that containing the current working discs, tapes, etc. and in a safe environment. Reconstruction of lost or destroyed records can be done by reference to other records which reflect the time in service, research of records maintained by repair facilities and reference to record smaintained by individual mechanics etc. When these things have been done and the record is still incomplete, the owner/operator may make a statement in the new record describing the loss and establishing the time in service based on the research and the best estimate of time in service. The reconstructed records should be submitted to the competent authority for acceptance.	
NOTE: Additional maintenance may be required	
Justification: What "details of current modifications and repairs" includes has been already explained under AMC M.A.305(d).	
Editorial error : there is no entry in the main text of the AMC which the NOTE may refer to. The NOTE seems to be much more a note from AMC drafting activities.	

Comment	Response
Cmt. 146 ENAC	
part M AMC M.B.704(b)	Comment accepted
	Text changed
AMC M.B.704(b)	
 4. Credit may be claimed by the competent authority Surveyor(s) for specific item audits completed during the preceding 23 <11 DELETED> month period subject to four conditions: a the specific item audit should be the same as that required by M.A. Subpart G latest amendment, and b there should be satisfactory evidence on record that such specific item audits were carried out and that all corrective actions have been taken, and c the competent authority surveyor(s) should be satisfied that there is no reason to believe standards have deteriorated in respect of those specific item audits being granted a back credit; d the specific item audit being granted a back credit should be audited not later than 24 <12 DELETED> months after the last audit of the item. 5. When an operator sub-contracts continuing airworthiness management tasks all sub-contracted organisations should also be audited by the competent authority of operator at periods not exceeding 24 <12 DELETED> months to ensure they fully comply with M.A. Subpart G. For these audits, the competent authority auditing surveyor should always ensure that he/she is accompanied throughout the audit by a senior technical member of the operator. All findings should be sent to and corrected by the operator. Justification: to re-establish coherence with requirement M.B.704(b) requesting a 24 months periodic for the competent authority continuing oversight audit program. Proposal for point 4 is in line with similar point 4 in the AMC M.B.604(b) and content of AMC 145.B.30 (1) 	

Comment	Response
Cmt. 147 ENAC	
< SEE PAPER COPY FOR CHANGES >	1. Comment accepted. Text changed
	2. Text changed but not as proposed
Appendix V to AMC M.A.704 Continuing Airworthiness Management Organisation exposition	3. Comment accepted. Text changed
 To correct Appendix V heading to align it to AMC M.A.704 heading to introduce a paragraph dealing with organization scope of work To correct editorial error or wrong paragraph reference in the exposition layout 	
Appendix V to AMC M.A.704 Continuing Airworthiness Management Organisation exposition	
 Part 0 General organisation 0.1 Corporate commitment by the accountable manager. 0.2 General information. 0.3 Organisation scope of work 0.3 0.4 Management personnel. 0.4 0.5 Management organisation char 0.5 0.6 Notification procedure to the competent authority regarding changes to the organisation's activities / approval / location / personnel. 0.6 0.7 Exposition amendment procedures. 	
 Part 5 Appendices 5.1 Sample documents. 5.2 List of airworthiness review staff. 5.3 List of sub-contractors as per AMC M.A.201 (h) 21 and M.A.711 (a) 3. 5.4 List of approved maintenance organisations contracted. 5.5 List of contracted non commercial air transport aircraft /operator as per M.A.711 (a) 1 5.6 5.7 Copy of contracts for sub-contracted work (appendix 2 to AMC M.A.201 (h) 21). 5.7 5.8 Copy of contracts with approved maintenance organisations. 5.9 Copy of contracts with non commercial air transport operator 	
0.3 Organisation scope of work	
 c a) Aircraft managed - Fleet composition (This paragraph should quote the aircraft types and the number of aircraft of each type. The following is given as an example :) Joe Bloggs PLC manages, as of 28 November 2003, the following: . 3 B737-300 . 3 B737-400 . 1 A 320-200 . 14 F27 (MK500), etc For commercial air transport, the fleet composition reference with the aircraft registrations 	
is given by Joe Bloggs Airlines' current AOC (or else where e.g. in the Operation Manual, by agreement of the	
competent authority) (Depending on the number of aircraft, this paragraph may be updated as follows: -1) the paragraph is revised each time an aircraft is removed from or added in the list. -2) the paragraph is revised each time a type of aircraft or a significant number of aircraft is removed from or added to the list. In that case the paragraph should explain where the current list of aircraft managed is available for consultation.)	

Comment	Response
db) Type of operation (This paragraph should give broad information on the type of operations such as:	
commercial, aerial	
work, non commercial, long haul/short haul/regional, scheduled/charter,	
regions/countries/continents flown, etc)	
c) Contracted Non commercial air transport aircraft	
(This paragraph should give broad information on operator of non commercial air transport	
aircraft which has contracted the AOC holder to manage continuing airworthiness, aircraft	
type and registration marks, reference of the contract and set of ad hoc interface agreed	
procedures, if any etc.)	
PART 5 APPENDICES	
5.1 Sample documents	
(A self explanatory paragraph)	
5.2 List of airworthiness review staff	
(A self explanatory paragraph)	
5.3 List of sub-contractors as per AMC M.A.201 (h) 1 and M.A.711 (a) 3.	
(A self explanatory paragraph, in addition it should set out that the list should be periodically	
reviewed)	
5.4 List of approved maintenance organisations contracted	
(A self explanatory paragraph, in addition it should set out that the list should be periodically	
reviewed)	
5.5 List of contracted non commercial air transport aircraft /operator as per M.A.711 (a) 1 (A self explanatory paragraph, in addition it should set out that the list should be periodically	
reviewed)	
5.7 Copy of contracts for sub-contracted work (appendix 2 to AMC M.A.201 (h) 1)	
(A self explanatory paragraph)	
5.8 Copy of contracts with approved maintenance organisations. (A self explanatory	
paragraph)	
5.9 Copy of contracts with non commercial air transport operator(A self explanatory	
paragraph)	
Justification:	
1 and 3 to correct drafting error	
2 to emphasize compliance with M.A.703(a) requirement ("M.A.703 (a)The	
M.A.704 approved continuing airworthiness management exposition must specify the scope	
of work deemed to constitute approval")	

Comment	Response
Cmt. 148 ENAC	
< SEE PAPER COPY FOR CHANGES >	1. Comment accepted. Text changed.
Appendix VI of Part M	2a) See Comment CAA-Norway. Competent authority is chosen by the Member State
In addition to what already included in the proposed NPA, it is proposed the following changes:	2 b) See comment above.
1. To re-arrange the Form 14 in a two sheets certificate : approval certificate itself and	2 c) Text harmonised with other forms. Text not changed
approval schedule moving table of approval ratings in the sheet number two 2. to modify sheet n.1 Approval Certificate :	2 d) Comment accepted. Text changed
 a. to add "[AUTHORITY NAME] competent authority of" before "MEMBER STATE" b. to replace "Member State" with "Competent Authority" in the premise statement c. to remove "CONTINUING AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION" after [COMPANY NAME] 	2 e) Text changed but not as proposed. For the numbering issues as EASA is not competent on operations yet. Therefore Member States can use their own numbering system.
d. to rearrange certification statement to refer to approval schedule	2 f) Text changed.
 e. to rationalize and re-organize numbering of the set of Approval Certificate (AC) conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders f. to change wording of condition n. 6 and 10 	2 g) The text proposed is already covered by the rule. There is no need to specified this again in Form 14.
 g. to add validity of AC in condition n.8 h. to rearrange CA issue and revision references layout 	2 h) Text changed.
as follows:	
[AUTHORITY NAME] competent authority of [MEMBER STATE] A member state of the European Union APPROVAL CERTIFICATE [reference] Pursuant to Commission Regulation (EC) No 2042/2003 for the time being in force and subject to the condition specified below the Member State [Competent Authority] hereby certifies	
[COMPANY NAME] CONTINUING AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION	
as a continuing airworthiness management organisation as referred to in Part-M Section A Subpart G approved to manage the continuing airworthiness of the following aircraft listed in the attached schedule of approval and to issue recommendations or Airworthiness Review Certificates after an Airworthiness Review as specified in M.A.710 when stipulated: Aircraft Type Approved maintenance programme reference Airworthiness review authorised Organisation(s) working under quality system	
CONDITIONS	
 This approval is limited to that specified in the scope of approval section of the approver continuing airworthiness management exposition as referred to in Part-M Section A Subpart G, and This approval requires compliance with the procedures specified in the Part-M approved 	

Comment	Response
continuing airworthiness management exposition, and 3. This approval is valid whilst the approved maintenance organisation remains in compliance with Part-M 4. Subject to compliance with the foregoing conditions, this approval shall remain valid for an unlimited duration unless the approval has previously been surrendered, superseded, suspended or revoked	
If this form is also used for AOC holders, the AOC number shall be used as the reference (e.g. AOC n. [Member State ID]-XYZ - CAMO AC n. [Member State ID]-MG-0XYZ having pre-assigned the range of number from 0001-0999 for CAMO's associated with an AOC Holder) and the conditions 3 and 4 above be replaced by the following extra conditions shall be added:	
 5.3. This approval does not constitute an authorization to operate the types of aircraft listed above. The autorisation to operate the aircraft is the Air Operator Certificate (AOC). 6.4. This approval is limited to the aircraft registrations specified in the AOC scope of approval section of the approved continuing airworthiness management exposition as referred to in Part-M Section A Subpart G. 7.5. This approval is valid whilst the Operator remain in compliance with Part-M Section A Subpart G and that the applicable aircraft maintenance program, M.E.L. and aircraft log books remain approved 9.6. Where technical services organisation is different to the operator, this approval remains valid subject to such organization(s) fulfilling applicable contractual obligations 10.7. Termination, suspension or revocation of the AOC automatically invalidates the present approval, unless otherwise explicitly stated by [the competent Authority] 8. Subject to compliance with the foregoing conditions, this approval shall remain valid for an unlimited duration unless the approval has previously been surrendered, superseded, suspended or revoked 	
Date of original issue: Signed:	
Date of this revision :	
Revision No:	
For the competent Authority	
EASA Form 14 - Page 1 of	
	-
3. to add page 2 of 2 of EASA Form 14 organized as EASA form 3-Part M page 2 of:	
Approval Schedule	
Organisation Name: [COMPANY NAME]	
Reference :	

Comment	Response
Aircraft Type Approved maintenance programme reference Airworthiness review authorised Organisation(s) working under quality system	Kesponse
This approval Schedule is limited to that specified in the scope of approval section contained in Part-M Section A Subpart G approved Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition	
Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition Reference:	
date of original issue:date of this revision:Signed:	
revision:For the competent authority: ================================	
Justification: the proposal intends : 1. to improve readability of the certificate; in fact : a. the Certificate is actually issued by the competent authority of the Member State which in some Country is an agency and therefore, from legal point of view, it could not be allowed to state in the certificate that "the Member State certifies". Additionally consistency with POA certificate sample provided in Part 21 can be established; b. to specify CONTINUING AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the name of the approved company is redundant because qualification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement ; 2. to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" Certificate layout selecting to prepare it in bilingual version, or to issue two certificates one written in Italian and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to reissue also the certificate; 3. To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d)1C) and the information contained on an EASA Form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)); 4. to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval can be also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is	
 to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid possible undue complications in the Authority certificate database) while ensuring appropriate liaison level with AOC number; 	

 8. to allow competent authority to keep valid the continuing airworthiness management organization approval of the AOC holder when reason for suspending, revoking or the operator. This in paticular when the AOC holder's CAMO has been approved to manage continuing airworthiness of an aircraft not operated for commercial air transport when contracted by owner/operator 9. the actual condition number 6 as it is written is not matching the case in which AOC holder's CAMO has been contracted by operator of an aircraft not operated for commercial air transport. In fact the registration marks of those aircraft net operated for commercial air transport. In fact the registration marks of those aircraft net operator for commercial air transport. In fact the registration marks of those aircraft net operator of an aircraft. 10. to facilitate traceability of certificate changes as already provided for in EASA form 55 (POA Certificate) sheet A and B; 11. to improve readability of the certificate; in fact : 12. to be certificate is a ctually issued by the competent authority of the Member State which in some Country is an agency and therefore, from legal point of view, it could not be allowed to state in the certificate that "the Member State certification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement ; 2. to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate isleft and the approval schedule, continuute and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule continuutes and statement to be included in the AIC and the AIC AIC AIC AIC AIC AIC AIC AIC AIC AIC
 Lermination of, the AOC does not affect the continuing airworthiness management structure of the operator. This in particular when the AOC holder's CAMO has been contracted by owner/operator P. the actual condition number 6 as it is written is not matching the case in which AOC holder's CAMO. has been contracted by operator of an aircraft not operated for commercial air transport. When contracted by operator of an aircraft not operated for commercial air transport. In fact the registration marks of those aircraft are usually not listed in the AOC while could be listed in the CAME 10. to facilitate traceability of certificate changes as already provided for in EASA form 55 (POA Certificate) sheet A and B; Justification: the proposal intends : to improve needability of the certificate; in fact : to the Certificate is actually issued by the competent authority of the Member State which are in some Country is an agence, from legal point of view, it could not be allowed to state in the certificate that "the Member State ecrtifies". Additionally consistency with POA certificate sample provided in Part 21 can be estabilised; to specify CONITIVING AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the following statement ; to erarrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, (whitose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA is the cortificate in the in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule, when the in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA is to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the ACC (see in particular AIC (ACC ACC) (ACC ACC ACC) and (ACC ACC) (ACC ACC ACC) (ACC ACC ACC) (ACC ACC ACC) (ACC ACC ACC ACC ACC ACC ACC ACC ACC AC
of the operator. This in particular when the AOC holder's CAMO has been approved to manage contuning aivorthiness of an aircraft not operated for commercial air transport. 9. the actual condition number 6 as it is written is not matching the case in which AOC holder's CAMO has been contracted by operator of an aircraft not operated for commercial air transport. In fact the registration marks of those aircraft are usually not listed in the AOC while could be listed in the CAME 10. to facilitate traceability of certificate changes as already provided for in EASA form 55 (POA Certificate) sheet A and B; Justification: the proposal intends : 1. to improve readability of the certificate; in fact : a. the Certificate is actually issued by the competent authority of the Member State which in some Country is an agency and therefore, from legal point of view, it could not be allowed to state in the certificate that "the Member State certifies". Additionally consistency with POA certificate sample provided in Part 21 can be estabilised; b. to specify COMTINUING AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the name of the approved company is redundant because qualification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement ; 2. to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate iself and the approval Schedule (portubutes to standardize EASA certificate iself and the approval contributes to standardize EASA certificate iself shows the continuer airworthiness management organisation is already included in the relation to the approval contributes to standardize EASA certificate iself and the approval contributes to standardize EASA certificate iself and the approval iselfulue (whole whole revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA end without need to reissue also the certificate is mergenee in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in th
manage continuing airworthiness of an aircraft not operated for commercial air transport when contracted by ower/operator 9. the actual condition number 6 as it is written is not matching the case in which AOC holder's CAMO. has been contracted by operator of an aircraft not operated for commercial air transport. In fact the registration marks of those aircraft are usually not listed in the AOC while could be listed in the CAME 10. to facilitate traceability of certificate changes as already provided for in EASA form 55 (PAA Certificate) sheet A and B; Justification: the proposal intends : 1. to improve readability of the certificate; in fact : a. the Certificate is actually issued by the competent authority of the Member State which in some Country is an agency and therefore, from legal point of view, it could not be allowed to state in the certificate the "The Member State certifies". Additionally consistency with POA certificate sample provided in Part 21 can be established; b. to specify CONTINUING RIARWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the name of the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, contributes to standratize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a 'crammed' Certificate layour selecting to praper it in billingual version, or to issue two certificates one written in Italian and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is susually much more higher than the CA one) without need to relissue also the certificate; 3. To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate is and MMM and Management exposition reference in the certificate is the recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular MCM 8.703(d)); 4. to highlight in the EASA form 14 (as requested under M.8.713(d)); 5. to introduce Continuin a, 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.8.703(b) and proposed by the published
 when contracted by owner/operator 9. the actual condition number 6 as it is written is not matching the case in which AOC holder's CAMO has been contracted by operator of an aircraft not operated for commercial air transport. In fact the registration marks of those aircraft are usually not listed in the AOC while could be listed in the CAME 10. to facilitate traceability of certificate changes as already provided for in EASA form 55 (POA Certificate) sheet A and B; Justification: the proposal intends : 1. to improve readability of the certificate; in fact : a. the Certificate is actually issued by the competent authority of the Member State which in some Country is an agency and therefore, from legal point of view, it could not be allowed to state in the certificate that "the Member State certifies". Additionally consistency with POA certificate sample provided in Part 12 can be established; b. to specify CONTINUING AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT OKGANISATION after the paproval certificate in two sheets; the Approval Company is redundante because qualification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following 2. to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets; the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificate format, avoids to have a certificate: hins in two sheets; the Approval certificate in the CA tertificate in the information on the case in a statement is a solution of the company as continuing airworthines management exposition reference in the CA tertificate in the case in and the other for Eulish and allowing on a point or view at the approval schedule (Not Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the case in a point and the other formation contained on an EASA form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)); 3. To introduce Continuing Aliver Aliness Management exposition reference in the case in the
 9. the actual condition number 6 as it is written is not matching the case in which AOC holder's CAMO has been contracted by operator of an aircraft not operated for commercial air transport. In fact the registration marks of those aircraft are usually not listed in the AOC while could be listed in the CAME 10. to facilitate traceability of certificate changes as already provided for in EASA form 55 (POA Certificate) sheet A and B; Justification: the proposal intends : the certificate is actually issued by the competent authority of the Member State which in some Country is an agency and therefore, from legal point of view, it could not be allowed to state in the certificate that "the Member State extifies". Additionally consistency with POA certificate sample provided in Part 21 can be established; to specify CONTINUINES MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the name of the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate larel and the sproved company is redundant because qualification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement; to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate larel and the approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" Certificate layed under the infigual version, or to issue two certificates in a subsci without contained pairworthiness management exposition reference in the CA one) without need to reissue also the certificate is used sing and the information contained on an EASA form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)); to highly in the EASA form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)); to highly in the EASA form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)); to introduce Continuing is section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). The scope of approv
holder's CAMO has been contracted by operator of an aircraft not operated for commercial air transport. In fact the registration marks of those aircraft are usually not listed in the AOC while could be listed in the CAME 10. to facilitate traceability of certificate changes as already provided for in EASA form 55 (POA Certificate) sheet A and B; Justification: the proposal intends : 1. to improve readability of the certificate; in fact : a. the Certificate is actually issued by the competent authority of the Member State which in some Country is an agency and therefore, from legal point of view, it could not be allowed to state in the certificate that "the Member State certifies". Additionally consistency with POA certificate sample provided in Part 12 can be estabilished: b. to specify CONTINUING AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the name of the approved company is redundant because qualification of the company as continuing airworthness management organisation is already included in the following statement; 2. to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" Certificate lay alwest evides (addition of the state which approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" (see in particular AMC M.B. 203(d))(e) and unce higher than the CA one) without near visue also the certificate; 3. To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate into alworth and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (which AMC M.B. 203(d))(e) and the information contained on an EASA form 14 (as requested under M.B. 703(d))(f) 4. to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the late in which it is requested to specify the extent of approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval can be alsor certificate multicity of the certificate as
air transport. In fact the registration marks of those aircraft are usually not listed in the AAC while could be listed in the CAME 10. to facilitate traceability of certificate changes as already provided for in EASA form 55 (POA Certificate) sheet A and B; Justification: the proposal intends : 1. to improve readability of the certificate; in fact : a. the Certificate is actually issued by the competent authority of the Member State which in some Country is an agency and therefore, from legal point of view, it could not be allowed to state in the certificate that "the Member State certifies". Additionally consistency with POA certificate sample provided in Part 21 can be established; b. to specify CONTINUING AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the name of the approval company is redundant because qualification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement ; 2. to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, contributes to standarize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" Certificate layout selecting to prepare it in bilingual version, or to issue two certificates eavies also the certificate; 3. To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the Certificate is the tore corrensitency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B. 703(d)); 4. to highlight in the EASA form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval carbidices proval schedule (MDB AD3(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V - EASA FORM 3 - Part M, 6. to tranalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbere
 10. to facilitate traceability of certificate changes as already provided for in EASA form 55 (POA Certificate) sheet A and B; Justification: the proposal intends: 1. to improve readability of the certificate; in fact: a. the Certificate is actually issued by the competent authority of the Member State which in some Country is an agency and therefore, from legal point of view, it could not be allowed to state in the certificate that "the Member State certifies". Additionally consistency with POA certificate sample provided in Part 21 can be established; b. to specify CONTINUING AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the name of the approval contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, a view qualification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement; c. to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA certificates and other there in pills hand allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA on end without in tailan and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval (scope of approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA is to highlight in the EASA form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval schedule (under M.B. 703(d)); t. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B. 703(d) and proposed by the porties appendic under M.A. 711(a)! when granted (see AMC M.B. 703(d)); t. to tratonalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for ACO holders t. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate (to avoid
 (POA Certificate) sheet A and B; Justification: the proposal intends : the cartificate is actually issued by the competent authority of the Member State which in some Country is an agency and therefore, from legal point of view, it could not be allowed to state in the certificate that "the Member State certifies". Additionally consistency with POA certificate sample provided in Part 21 can be established; to specify CONTIVIURS AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the name of the approved company is redundant because qualification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement; to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" Certificate layout selecting to the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to reissue also the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d)1(e)) and the information contained on an EASA Form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)). to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval schedule (mAB.703(d)). to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval schedule (mAB.703(d)). to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval schedule (mAB.703(d)). to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in A.703(d)). to intonalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders to rationalize the set of con
 Justification: the proposal intends: to improve readability of the certificate; in fact: the Certificate is actually issued by the competent authority of the Member State which in some Country is an agency and therefore, from legal point of view, it could not be allowed to state in the certificate that "the Member State certifies". Additionally consistency with POA certificate sample provided in Part 21 can be established; to specify CONTINUING AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the name of the approved company is redundant because qualification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement; to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" Certificate pour selecting to prepare it in bilingual version, or to issue two certificates schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" (versive shedule, whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to reissue also the certificate; To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d)) (e) and the information contained on an EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval schedule (MAC M.B.703(d)); to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval schedule (MAC M.B.703(d)); to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval schedule (MAC M.B.703(d)); to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval schedule (MAC
 the proposal intends : to improve readability of the certificate; in fact : the Certificate is actually issued by the competent authority of the Member State which in some Country is an agency and therefore, from legal point of view, it could not be allowed to state in the certificate that "the Member State certifies". Additionally consistency with POA certificate sample provided in Part 21 can be established; to specify CONTINUING AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the name of the approved company is redundant because qualification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement; to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually usersion, or to issue two certificates and heyout schedules is and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to reissue also the certificate; To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the caretticate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(b)(c)); to bighlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see MA.703 (a). In the scope of approval section of the CAME) see MA.703 (a). In the scope of approval section of the CAME) see MA.703 (b); to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see MA.703 (a). In the scope of approval section of the CAME) see MA.703 (a). In the scope of approval section of the CAME) see MA.703 (b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V - EASA FORM 3 - Part M; to har
 to improve readability of the certificate; in fact : the Certificate is actually issued by the competent authority of the Member State which in some Country is an agency and therefore, from legal point of view, it could not be allowed to state in the certificate that "the Member State certifies". Additionally consistency with POA certificate sample provided in Part 21 can be established; to specify CONTINUTING AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the name of the approved company is redundant because qualification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement; to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" Certificate layout selecting to prepare it in bilingual version, or to issue two certificates one writtem in Italian and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to resisue also the certificate; To introduce Continuing Ainworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M. B.703(d)); to highlight in the EASA Form 14 (as requested under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(d)); to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(d)); to introduce the ADS Form 1.8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(d); to introduce change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as
 a. the Certificate is actually issued by the competent authority of the Member State which in some Country is an agency and therefore, from legal point of view, it could not be allowed to state in the certificate that "the Member State certifies". Additionally consistency with POA certificate sample provided in Part 21 can be established; b. to specify CONTINUNG AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the name of the approved company is redundant because qualification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement; to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" Certificate layout selecting to prepare it in bilingual version, or to issue two certificates one written in Italian and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to reissue also the certificate; To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d)); 4. to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval can be also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (See AMC M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
In some Country is an agency and therefore, from legal point of view, it could not be allowed to state in the certificate that "the Member State certifies". Additionally consistency with POA certificate sample provided in Part 21 can be established; b. to specify CONTINUING AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the name of the approved company is redundant because qualification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement ; 2. to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" Certificate layout selecting to prepare it in bilingual version, or to issue two certificates one written in Italian and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to reiscue also the certificate; 3. To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B. 703(d))(e)) and the information contained on an EASA Form 14 (as requested under M.B. 703(d))(e)) and the information contained on an EASA Form 14 (as requested under M.B. 703(d)); 4. to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
to state in the certificate that "the Member State certifies". Additionally consistency with POA certificate sample provided in Part 21 can be established; b. to specify CONTINUING AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the name of the approved company is redundant because qualification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement ; 2. to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" Certificate layout selecting to prepare it in bilingual version, or to issue two certificates one written in Italian and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to reissue also the certificate; 3. To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d))(; 4. to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval setcified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(d)); 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate the unmbering system for the same type of certificates (to avoid
 POA certificate sample provided in Part 21 can be established; b. to specify CONTINUING AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the name of the approved company is redundant because qualification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement; 2. to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" Certificate layout selecting to prepare it in billingual version, or to issue two certificates one written in Italian and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to reissue also the certificate; 3. To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d)): 4. to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition swhen the certificate as requested in M.B.703(d)); 6. to to taionalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate number ing system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
 b. to specify CONTINUING AIRWORTHINESS MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION after the name of the approved company is redundant because qualification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement; 2. to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "rammed" Certificate layout selecting to prepare it in bilingual version, or to issue two certificate layout selecting to prepare it in bilingual version, or to issue two certificates one written in Italian and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to reissue also the certificate; 3. To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d)); 4. to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval can be also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
name of the approved company is redundant because qualification of the company as continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement ; 2. to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" Certificate layout selecting to prepare it in bilingual version, or to issue two certificates one written in Italian and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to reissue also the certificate; 3. To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d)1(e)) and the information contained on an EASA Form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)1); 4. to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval can be also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
 continuing airworthiness management organisation is already included in the following statement; to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" Certificate layout selecting to prepare it in bilingual version, or to issue two certificates one written in Italian and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to reissue also the certificate; 3. To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d)); 4. to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval cas he also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
 statement ; to re-arrange the approval certificate in two sheets, the Approval Certificate itself and the approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" Certificate layout selecting to prepare it in bilingual version, or to issue two certificates one written in Italian and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to reissue also the certificate; To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d))(e)) and the information contained on an EASA Form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)); to thighlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of graproval can be also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; to introduce certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
the approval schedule, contributes to standardize EASA certificates format, avoids to have a "crammed" Certificate layout selecting to prepare it in bilingual version, or to issue two certificates one written in Italian and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to reissue also the certificate; 3. To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d)1(e)) and the information contained on an EASA Form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)); 4. to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval can be also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
 "crammed" Certificate layout selecting to prepare it in bilingual version, or to issue two certificates one written in Italian and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to reissue also the certificate; To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d))(e)) and the information contained on an EASA Form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)); to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval can be also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
 certificates one written in Italian and the other in English and allows the possibility to revise the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to reissue also the certificate; To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d)1(e)) and the information contained on an EASA Form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)); to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval can be also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
 the approval schedule (whose revision frequency is usually much more higher than the CA one) without need to reissue also the certificate; To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d)1(e)) and the information contained on an EASA Form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)); to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval can be also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
 one) without need to reissue also the certificate; 3. To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d)1(e)) and the information contained on an EASA Form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)); 4. to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval can be also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
 3. To introduce Continuing Airworthiness Management exposition reference in the certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d)1(e)) and the information contained on an EASA Form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)); 4. to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval can be also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
 certificate: this to recover consistency between the content of the statement to be included in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d)1(e)) and the information contained on an EASA Form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)); 4. to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval can be also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
 in the AOC (see in particular AMC M.B.703(d)1(e)) and the information contained on an EASA Form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)); 4. to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval can be also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
 EASA Form 14 (as requested under M.B.703(d)); 4. to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval can be also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
 4. to highlight in the EASA Form 14 the place in which it is requested to specify the extent of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval can be also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
of granted approval (scope of approval section of the CAME) see M.A.703 (a). In the scope of approval can be also reported the privilege specified under M.A.711(a)1 when granted (see AMC M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
 (see AMC M.B.703(d)); 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
 5. to introduce (change in condition n. 8) the validity of the certificate as requested in M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
M.B.703(b) and proposed by the published NPA 9/2004 for the Appendix V- EASA FORM 3 - Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
Part M; 6. to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders 7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
 to rationalize the set of conditions when the certificate is used for AOC holders to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
7. to have a unique certificate numbering system for the same type of certificate (to avoid
appropriate liaison level with AOC number;
8. to allow competent authority to keep valid the continuing airworthiness management
organization approval of the AOC holder when reason for suspending, revoking or
termination of, the AOC does not affect the continuing airworthiness management structure
of the operator. This in particular when the AOC holder's CAMO has been approved to
manage continuing airworthiness of an aircraft not operated for commercial air transport
when contracted by owner/operator
9. the actual condition number 6 as it is written is not matching the case in which AOC
holder's CAMO has been contracted by operator of an aircraft not operated for commercial air transport. In fact the registration marks of those aircraft are usually not listed in the
AOC while could be listed in the CAME
10. to facilitate traceability of certificate changes as already provided for in EASA form 55

Comment	Response
(POA Certificate) sheet A and B; Cmt. 149 ENAC	
part M M.A.301-2	Comment noted.
 replace "officially recognised standard" with "approved standard" in the wording of the paragraph as follow: " 2. the rectification to an officially recognised approved standard of any defect and damage affecting safe operation taking into account, for all large aircraft or aircraft used for commercial air transport, the minimum equipment list and configuration deviation list if applicable to the aircraft type; " to add clarification of what is intended for approved standard should be added at the end in the AMC M.A.301-2 "Approved standard means a manufacturing/design/maintenance/quality standard approved by the competent authority or by the Agency as applicable" Justification: While officially recognized standard wording can be acceptable when we talk about matters (for example about calibration of instruments, qualification of personnel for specialized services, etc.) where a standard has not been identified by the competent Authority or the Agency in the regulatory system or during certification process. Rectification of any defect and damage affecting safe operation must be instead referred to approved standard. This will recover consistency also with definitions of words to be entered under block 12 contained in the instruction for completion of the EASA Form one 	~
 part M M.A.305(a) M.A.305 Aircraft continuing airworthiness record system (a) At the completion of any maintenance, the associated M.A.801 or part 145.A.50 certificate of release to service shall be entered in the aircraft continuing airworthiness records. Each entry shall be made as soon as practicable but in no event more than 30 days after the day of maintenance action. Justification: Current wording refers only to paragraph M.A.801 which is not relevant to CAT operation while the intent of the M.A.305(a) is to prescribe a requirement which is valid regardless the type of operations. 	

Comment	Response
Cmt. 151 ENAC	
part M M.A.305€	Comment accepted. Text changed.
M.A.305 Aircraft continuing airworthiness record system	
€In addition to the authorised release document, EASA Form 1 or equivalent, the following information relevant to any component (engine or propeller, engine module or service life limited component) installed shall be entered in the appropriate engine or propeller logbook, engine module or service life limited component log card: 1.identification of the component, and; 2.the type, serial number and registration, as appropriate, of the aircraft, engine, propeller, engine module or service life limited component to which the particular component has been fitted, along with the reference to the installation and removal of the component, and; 3. the date together with the particular component accumulated total flight time and/or flight cycles and/or landings and/or calendar time, as appropriate, and; 4.the current paragraph (d) information applicable to the component.	
Justification: M.A.305 (e): to clarify what "any component" means in respect of such requirement; M.A.305 (e) 2: the information on which aircraft a component other than a product is installed is reached through the relevant first level of product M.A.305 (e) 3: for consistency with M.A.305 $©$	

Comment	Response
Cmt. 152 ENAQC	
< SEE PAPER COPY FOR CHANGES > part M M.A.305(h)	1. EASA agrees that imposing such record keeping would be too burdersome and goes well beyond what is the common practice today imposed by JAR OPS. EASA intends to use the following wording that takes into account the concern of this comment, the comment made by MOT Austria, ICAO Annex 6 SARPs and the text of the Opinion 3/2004.
 M.A.305 Aircraft continuing airworthiness record system (h)An owner or operator shall ensure that a system has been established, in a form acceptable to the competent Authority, to keep the following records for the periods specified: all detailed maintenance records to show that all requirements for a maintenance release have been meet in respect of the aircraft and any service life-limited component fitted thereto, at least 24 months after the aircraft or component was released to service and unti after the aircraft or component maintenance has been superseded by other maintenance of equivalent work scope and detail, permanently withdrawn from service, and; the total time in service (hours, calendar time, cycles and landings as appropriate) and flight cycles as appropriate, of the aircraft and all life-limited components, at least 12 month after the aircraft or component has been permanently withdrawn from service, and; the time in service (hours, calendar time, cycles and landings as appropriate) and flight cycles as appropriate, since last scheduled maintenance of the aircraft and the all its components subjected to a service life limit, at least 12 months after the aircraft or component has been permanently withdrawn from service and flight cycles as appropriate, since last scheduled maintenance of the aircraft and the all its components subjected to a service life limit, at least 12 months after the aircraft or component withdrawn from service until the component scheduled maintenance has been permanently withdrawn from service until the component scheduled maintenance has been superseded by another scheduled maintenance of equivalent work scope and detail, and; 	 the Opinion 3/2004 . "M.A.305 Aircraft continuing airworthiness record system (h) An owner or operator shall ensure that a system has been established to keep the following records for the periods specified: all detailed maintenance records in respect of the aircraft and any service life-limited, component fitted thereto, until such time as the information contained therein is superseded by new information equivalent in scope and detail but not less than 24 months after the aircraft or component has been released to service, and;" Comment accepted. Text changed Service life limited are not certified life limited parts and therefore this paragraph is ICAO compliant 305(h)(3). Text not changed M.A. 305(h)(4) provides for status in a moment in time compliance with ICAO Annex VI is ensured to paragraph M.A. 305(h)(1). Text not changed
 the approved aircraft maintenance programme can be established, at least 12 months after the aircraft or component has been permanently withdrawn from service until the aircraft or component scheduled maintenance has been superseded by other scheduled maintenance of equivalent work scope and detail, and; Justification: 1st change: Introduction of wording as in JAR OPS 1(3).920(b) is necessary to justify the second statement into the AMC M.A.305 (h) which provides for what "Keeping continuing airworthiness records in a form acceptable to the competent Authority" means. This will also allow to fully recover conformity with wording of JAR OPS 1(3).920(b) paragraph. M.A.305(h)1 : The existing requirement would be a burden for the operators keeping paper records only taking into account possible big amount of detailed maintenance records further to keep "for ever" maintenance records relevant to maintenance activities which have been superseded by other maintenance of equivalent work scope and detail is useless after a reasonable period of time (period which can be related for example to the periodic airworthiness review either by the part M.G. organisations). Proposed wording is also in line with similar ICAO Annex 6 requirement; M.A.305(h)3 : the current wording is not complying with similar ICAO Annex 6 requirement; M.A.305(h)4: The last part of current wording is actually providing what "current status" means and also is not complying with similar ICAO Annex 6 requirement 	

Comment	Response
Cmt. 153 ENAC	
< SEE PAPER COPY FOR CHANGES >	Comment accepted. Text changed.
AMC to Part M	
to develop AMC material in respect of M.A.706(d) to specify that the responsib supervision of continuing airworthiness activities includes ensuring accomplish corrective action resulting from the quality monitoring conducted under M.A.7	ment of any
AMC M.A.706(d) – Personnel requirements	
The responsibility for supervision of continuing airworthiness activities assigne nominated post holder includes ensuring accomplishment of any corrective ac from the quality monitoring conducted under M.A.712(b)	
Justification: Such AMC make explicit meaning of supervision of continuing ain activities which were part of the JAR OPS1(3)895(b) wording	worthiness
Cmt. 154 EASA legal department	
The legal service would like to suggest that the opportunity of this NPA "cleani Regulation 2042/2003 is taken to insert a reference to the Agency in paragrap M.A.401(b)1. We suggest the expression "or the Agency" is added at the end of sentence.	h
Reason:	Text changed.
The Agency is already considered a "competent authority" according to M.1.(2 (3)(iii). Adding this reference would, however, clarify that the Agency can issu requirements, procedures, standards or information related to maintenance, w mprove harmonisation inside the EU territory and contribute to approach the used in each MS and in third countries. Recent experience has showed us that peneficial.)(ii) and e common hich would requirements
aph -	
Cmt. 51 <i>LBA</i>	
In Article 2 the definition for `large aircraft' is given: `large aircraft' means an aircraft, classified as an aeroplane with a maximum ta of more than 5 700 kg, or a multi-engined helicopter; In Annex IV to Decision No 2003/19/RM the Appendix I 'Aircraft type ratings fo	paragraph 3 and 4 will be corrected to be: or Part-
66 aircraft maintenance licence' paragraph 3. and 4.: The helicopters are separated in 'helicopter with a maximum take-off mass of	3 multi-engined helicopter and/or helicopters requiring type training and individual type rating
	66.A.45 (g) & 4 single-engined helicopters eligible to type examinations and groups ratings
(h) for the definition of 'required experiences' or 'group ratings'. A explanation is need, if group ratings should be issued by drawing the line be	tween single-
engined and multi-engined helicopters or between 'helicopter with a maximum mass of 3175 kg and above' and 'helicopter with a maximum take-off less thar	
should be the line between 'helicopter with a maximum take-off mass of 5700 and 'helicopter with a maximum take-off less than 5700 kg'?	

Comment	Response
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 58 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
	Text changed
Affected paragraph: Part-145 Appendix II	
Delete '/' symbol after 'aeroplanes' in Table 1 for A1 and A2	
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 59 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: Part-145 Appendix III	The example completion in form 3 has been amended to avoid confusion:
	"all types" will be replaced by the example "radiographic"
Change 'All types' in right column of table for D1 Non destructive Testing to a specific type of NDT.	
Reason: NDT rating must be specific	
Cmt. 60 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: Part-145 Appendix III	Text changed
Change 'scope of approval' to 'scope of work' in Condition 1 on certificate.	
Cmt. 86 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
	Text changed to "in the scope of work section contained in the Part 145"
Affected paragraph: Part-145 Appendix III	
Change 'scope of approval' to 'scope of work' in Condition 1 on certificate.	
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 53 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: 145.A.30	Text changed
Add tab to the text after 145.A.30 (j)(5)(ii) starting with 'All such cases'	
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 54 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: 145.A.35	In the base maintenance environment the B1 and B2 support staff do not necessarily
Clarify the definition of 'Category B1 and B2 support staff' in the second part of subparagraph (a)	hold certification privileges. Text deemed to be clear.
Cmt. 55 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: 145 A 25	"listed in Part 66" replaced by "as required by Part 66"
Affected paragraph: 145.A.35	Text changed but not as proposed
Clarify the text 'listed in Part-66' in the first part of subparagraph (b)	

l			Comment	Response
	Cmt.	56	Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
	Affected pa Include 'an with access	d category	B1 and B2 support staff ' to last sentence of subparagraph (j), dealir	'and category B1 and B2 support staff ' is added to the last sentence of paragraph (j) Text changed.
	Add tab to	all text un	ler this subparagraph (j)	
Parag	raph -			
	Cmt.	57	Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
				Text changed
	Affected pa		45.A.45 Ints of texts under subparagraphs (a) and e)	
Parag		second pa		
Falay	Cmt.	52	Jargon Avlation Consultancy	
	Affected pa	<u> </u>		The term authority in 145.A.45(B) (2) is not limited to EU Member States but may include any other authority like FAA, TCCA etc.
	Revise text AD's.	of 145.A.4	5 (b)(2). It seems to indicate that member state authorities will issu	e Text not changed
	Reason: au	thorities n	o longer issue AD's, EASA does that for the EU Member Sates	
Parag	Paragraph -			
	Cmt.	109	Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
	Affected pa	ragraph: 1	45.A.55(b) and AMC 145.A.55(b)	The operator's responsibilities for retention of the CRS are dealt within Part-M.
	Clarify wha	t is meant	with 'each certificate of release to service'	Text not changed
			ners or operators are only required to have certificates for engines, nents which have life limits (M.A.305).	
Parag	raph -			
	Cmt.	100	Jargon Avlation Consultancy	
	Affected pa			Recommendations are not considered to be level 3 findings from the former JAA system, they consist as advice from the competent authority to the organisation and therefore must be recorded.
			ndations' under subparagraph 6 or clarify which recommendations are mmendations for approval of organisation?)	e Text not changed
Parag		,		
J	Cmt.	101	Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
	Affected pa			Application and continuation are two distinct subjets and should not be merged
	Revise (a)	and (d) un	der subparagraph 2.	Text not changed

Comment	Response
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 90 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Change title to AMC.145.A.(j)(5).	Specified reference not found
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 87 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: AMC 145.A.15	AMC 145.A.15 amended to include reference to Appendix III to the AMC
Refer to AMC Appendix III for EASA Form 2.	
Reason: appendix III to AMC is not referenced anywhere now.	
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 88 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: AMC 145.A.30(e)	Text changed
In third part of paragraph 7 text 'paragraph 5' should be 'paragraph 6'	
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 89 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: AMC 145.A.30(j)(4)	Text changed as "internal" is already covered in the previous paragraph.
Under paragraph 2(ii)(d) remove 'internal and'	
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 91 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: AMC 145.A.35(j)	Text changed.
Change 'approval' to 'authorisation' in paragraph 1(g).	
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 92 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: AMC 145.A.45(f)	Text changed
Change title to AMC 145.A.45(e).	
Paragraph - Cmt. 93 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: AMC 145.A.45(g)	Text changed
Add new AMC 145.A.45(f) covering subparagraphs 2 and 3 of AMC 145.A.45(g) and delete	
these from AMC 145.A.45(r) covering subparagraphs 2 and 3 of AMC 145.A.45(g) and delete	

	Comment	Response
Parag	graph -	
	Cmt. 94 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
	Affected paragraph: AMC 145.A.50(a)	The subparagraph 1.3 of AMC 145.A.50(a) will remain under this AMC.
	Change title to AMC 145.A.50(d) and remove subparagraph 1.3 Make new AMC 145.A.50(a) which only covers subparagraph 1.3 of present AMC.	All other paragraphs of this AMC 145.A.50(a) will be transfered to AMC 145.A.50(d) to be mergered with existing text.
		Text changed
	Cmt. 95 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
	Affected paragraph: AMC 145.A.50(a)	This proposal is not anymore applicable due to the amendments to AMC.A.50(a) (see JAC comment related to the same paragraph)
	Change numbering 1.2 to 1.1 and 1.3 to 1.2 (if still present (see other comment)	
Parag	graph -	
	Cmt. 96 Jargon Aiviation Consultancy	
	Affected paragraph: AMC 145.A.50(b)	Sentence added to AMC 145.A.50(b)(1), below CRS statment to state:
		"Reference should also be made to the EASA Part 145 approval number"
_	Add sentence to include AMO reference number on certificate	
Parag		
	Cmt. 97 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
	Affected paragraph: AMC 145.A.65 (c)(1)	Definition of "smallest organisation" is already dealt with in GM 145.A.10
	Change last sentence of subparagraph 11 to explain only what is meant with 'smalle organisation'	Text not changed
Parag	graph -	
	Cmt. 99 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
		"know" is replaced by "record"
	Affected paragraph: 145.A.75(b)	Text changed but not as proposed
	Change the word 'know' in subparagraph 4.5 to 'inform'	
Parag	graph -	
	Cmt. 102 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
	Affected paragraph: AMC 145.B.20(5)	Text remains in AMC 145.B.20(5) as the Form 6 is the vehicle to communicate with the organisation
	Change title to AMC 145.B.20(6). Combine wit existing AMC 145.B.20(6) ?	Text not changed
Parag	araph -	
Parag	Cmt. 103 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
	Affected paragraph: AMC 145.B.25(1)	There is no added value to change text.
	Consider issuing paragraph 1 as AMC 145.B.15	Text not changed.

Comment	Response
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 105 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: 145.B.50(a) and AMC 145.B.50(b)	Duplicate text deleted in AMC 145.B.50(a)
Revise texts to eliminate duplication	
Reason: third paragraph of AMC 145.B.50(a) on re-certification is identical to AMC 145.B.50(b) subparagraph 2.	
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 105 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: AMC appendices	Formatting changed
Reformat forms to ensure correct lay out	
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 106 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: AMC Appendix II	Text changed
Correct typo in Part 3 of Form 6 under 4.2 "Ooperator"	
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 107 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: AMC Appendix III	Text changed
Delete '/airships' in scope of approval (4 times)	
Reason: airships are covered under A4	
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 98 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: AMC 145.A.70(a)	1. Text changed in section 1.6 as requested
Change text for MOE section 1.6 to 'List of certifying staff and B1 and B2 support staff' (also in EASA Form 6 in AMC Appendix II) Mind layout of main numbering (not on right hand side)	2. Text changed to L2

Change second 'Part 2' to 'Part L2'

	Comment	Response
Parag	Jraph -	

Comment	Response
Cmt. 38 ENAC	
[SEE PAPER COPY FOR CHANGES]	1. Text changed
In addition to what already included in the proposed NPA, it is proposed the following changes:	2. Text changed but not as requested to read "products, parts and appliances" as done for the POA.
At page 1 of 2 of EASA Form 3 - Part M	The expression "and perform specialised services" is not taken into account as they
Page 1 of	are carried out on products, parts and appliances as such way they are released to service.
[AUTHORITY NAME] competent authority of [MEMBER STATE] A member state of the European Union	3. The POA format shall be followed. Text changed.
Pursuant to Commission Regulation (EC) No 2042/2003 for the time being in force and subject to the condition specified below the Member State [competent authority] hereby certifies	
[COMPANY NAME] OF MAINTENANCE ORGANISATION] or [COMPANY NAME] MAINTENANCE ORGANISATION	
as maintenance organisation as referred to in Part-M Section A Subpart F approved to maintain the aircraft, components products and perform specialised services listed in the attached approval schedule and issue related certificates of release to service using the above reference	
CONDITIONS:	
Date of original issue: Signed:	
Date of this revision :	
Revision No:	
Date of attached schedule of approval: For the competent Authority	
EASA Form 3-Part M - Page 1 of	
At page 2 of 2 of EASA Form 3 - Part M	
Page 2 of	
Maintenance Organisation Manual Reference	

	Comment	Response
	Date of original issue:	
	Date of this revision :	
	Revision No:	
	Signed:	
	EASA Form 3-Part M - Page 2 of	
Parag	raph -	
	Cmt. 43 ENAC	
	1. To add rows relevant to paragraphs M.A.618 Continued validity of approval and M.A.619 Findings	1. Text changed to add paragraph M.A 618 but M.A 619 is addressed through part 4 of Form 6F and therefore a row for M.A 619 is not necessary.
	2. To change wording under the subject column to align them to part M paragraphs heading	2. This is a formating issue. Comment accepted.
Parag	Jraph -	
	Cmt. 46 ENAC	
	1. To add rows relevant to paragraphs M.A.202 Occurrence reporting, M.A.301 Continuing airworthiness tasks, M.A.307 Transfer of aircraft continuing airworthiness records, M.A.715 Continued validity of approval and M.A.716 Findings	1. Text changed to add paragraphs M.A. 202, M.A. 307 and M.A. 715 but M.A. 301 is already addressed through paragraph M.A. 708 and M.A. 716 is addressed trough Part 4 of the form and therefore a row for M.A. 716 is not necessary.
	2. To change wording under the subject column to align them to part M paragraphs heading	2. This is a formating issue. Comment accepted.
Parag	Jraph -	
	Cmt. 44 ENAC	
	To change heading of the appendix Appendix X to AMC M.B.702(a) EASA Form 4	The reference to the AMC is not included as this form is used in several places in the AMC to Regulation (EC) 2042/2003.
		The proposal of NPA 9/2004 is therefore not changed.
Parag	raph -	
	Cmt. 36 DAC Luxembourg	
	Delete the proposed example of licence format for AML as it will not provide any improvement. There is no additional information on the document and the available space for Part-66 Aircraft Type Ratings is partially lost. Experience has shown that this space is yet	
	quite small, as some mechanics have a lot of type ratings.	There is space for type rating endorsement available as before. The space available for rating endorsement has been maintained.
	As we have preprinted the licence format on security paper we would have to order new models what would cause us additional costs, work and delays.	The vast majority of Member States have chosen to opt-out from Part 66, therefore Europe wide this would not be overly burdensome, nonetheless in the case of
	If the change to the new licence format should be done, will the licences issued yet stay valid or would we have to contact the mechanics to send us back their old Part-66 AML?	Luxembourg the possibilities in Article 10 of Regulation 1592/2002 could be used.

Comment	Response
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 108 Jargon Aviation Consultancy	
Affected paragraph: GM 145.A.10	There is no added value to change the text.
	Text not changed
Under paragraph 6.1 last sentence, reconsider word 'postholder'	
Reason: postholder not used in Part-145 only in JAR-OPS, might cause confusion.	
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 42 ENAC	
Second statement under point (b) should be identified as point (c):	Text will be changed.
M.A.704 - Continuing airworthiness management exposition	
(b) The continuing airworthiness management exposition and its amendments shall be	
approved by the competent authority. (c) Notwithstanding paragraph (b) minor amendments to the exposition may be approved	
through an exposition procedure (hereinafter called indirect approval)	
Paragraph -	_
Cmt. 41 ENAC	
Affected paragraph: M.A.708(c)	The intent of this paragraph has never been to have operators contracting
	maintenance with another operator that was not itself operating aircraft of the same
to add the words 'of the same type of aircraft' after 'or an other operator'	type. This was cleary stated in JAR-OPS Subpart M. During the transfer to the EU environment this provision seems to have been omitted. The way the paragraph was
M.A.708 - Continuing airworthiness management	written seems to have led stakeholders to believe this rule had changed. To correct
	this, the proposed change will be taken into account .
(c) In the case of commercial air transport, when the operator is not appropriately approve to Part-145, the operator shall establish a written maintenance contract between the	3
operator and a Part-145 approved organisation or another operator of the same type of	
aircraft, detailing the functions specified under M.A.301-2, M.A.301-3, M.A.301-5 and	
M.A.301-6, ensuring that all maintenance is ultimately carried out by a Part-145 approved	
maintenance organisation and defining the support of the quality functions of M.A.712(b).	
The aircraft base, scheduled line maintenance and engine maintenance contracts, together	
with all amendments, shall be approved by the competent authority.	

Comment	Response
agraph	
Cmt. 39 ENAC	
to replace the word 'unscheduled' with 'occasional' M.A.708 Continuing airworthiness management	The intent of the this paragraph is not of course to require contracts to be approved by the Competent Authority for all line maintenance. The use of the word "unscheduled" is unappropriate.
 1. an aircraft requiring unscheduled occasional line maintenance, the contract may be in the	Text will be changed as requested.
form of individual work orders addressed to the Part-145 maintenance organisation.	
AMC M.A.708 (c) (1) Continuing airworthiness management unscheduled occasional maintenance	
The intent of this paragraph is that maintenance contracts are not necessary when the operator's continuing airworthiness system, as approved by the competent authority of operator, specifies that the relevant maintenance activity may be ordered through one time work orders. This includes for obvious reasons unscheduled occasional line maintenance and may also include aeroplane component maintenance up to engines, so long as the competent authority of operator considers that the maintenance is manageable through work orders, both in term of volume and complexity. It should be noted that this paragraph implies that even where base maintenance is ordered on a case-by-case basis, there should be a written maintenance contract.	
graph -	-
Cmt. 40 ENAC	
M.A.712 - Quality system (f) In the case of a small M.A. Subpart G organisation not related to a commercial air transport operator that does not have the privileges granted under M.A.711(b), the quality	EASA agrees on the comment from ENAC, nevertheless no modification is proposed this stage as this paragraph will be affected by the NPA resulting from the RIA on Part-M
system can be replaced by performing organisational reviews on a regular basis.	The intent of this paragraph has never been to exempt commercial operator's continuing airworthiness management from having a quality system. For commerci operations Part-M is the transfer into the EU framework of JAR-OPS Subpart M. The maintenance management systems of all JAR OPS approved commercial operators were obliged to include a quality system, whatever the size of the operator. The wat the paragraph was written seems to have led stakeholders to believe this rule had changed. To correct this, the proposed change will be taken into account with a slight modification.
agraph -	
Cmt. 35 ENAC	
AMC M.A.202(a) Occurrence reporting	At the time Part-M was written AMC 20 had not been finalised, therefore this
 For further details reference should be made to AMC 20-8	reference could not be included.
AMC M.A.202(b) Occurrence reporting	This inconsistency can now be corrected The text will therefore be changed as proposed.
 For further details reference should be made to AMC 20-8	Inte text win therefore be changed as proposed.

Comment	Response
aragraph - Cmt. 45 <i>ENAC</i>	
1. remove reference to M.A.706(b) in the AMC M.B.702(a) point 1 and 2. add reference to M.A.707 1With the exception of the accountable manager , an EASA form 4 should be completed for each person nominated to hold a position required by M.A.707, M.A.706(<b)> <delete> , (c) and (d) aragraph - Cmt. 34 ENAC</delete></b)>	 The accountable manager has never been required by JAR-OPS to be accepted by the Competent authority through a Form 4. During the transfer into the EU framework a typographical error was made wich seemed to indicate that the accountable manager must complete an EASA Form 4. Airworthiness review staff, according to M.A 707(b), must be formally accepted. The normal process for doing so is through a Form 4. This seems to be have been omitted during the drafting of Part-M. This omission could potentially unnecessarily complicate the acceptance process. The text will read as follows; "With the exception of the accountable manager , an EASA form 4 should be completed for each person nominated to hold a position required by M.A.706 © and (d) and M.A.707" Text changed but not as proposed
 AMC M.B.702(b) Initial Approval 3. The competent Authority while investigating the acceptability of the Operators proposed sub-contracted continuing Airworthiness management tasks arrangements will take into account all other such contracts that are in place irrespective of state of registry in terms of sufficiency of resources, expertise, management structure, facilities and liaison between the Operator, the sub-contracted organisation and where applicable contracted Part -145 maintenance organisation(s). Reason: To provide additional guidance on acceptance of continuing Airworthiness management tasks arrangements which was already part of TGL34 	The comment was analysed along with TGL34. It seems that this paragraph was omitted during the transfer of TGL34 into AMC-M. This proposal compared to TGL34 is not clear who should be the object of these controls. Therefore it is proposed to add the term "in the subcontracted organisation" after "take into account". The following text will be added: "3. The competent Authority when investigating the acceptability of the Operators' proposed sub-contracted continuing airworthiness management tasks arrangements will take into account, in the subcontracted organisation, all other such contracts that are in place irrespective of state of registry in terms of sufficiency of resources, expertise, management structure, facilities and liaison between the Operator, the sub- contracted organisation and where applicable contracted Part -145 maintenance organisation(s)."

Comment	Response
Paragraph - Cmt. 50 LBA	
Will be an explanation available for 'service life' in the European rules? (e.g. Article 2 of CR (EC) No 2042/2003)	There have been misunderstandings among stakeholders on difference between service life limit and certified life limit, though, this seemed clearly drafting. To avoid any misunderstanding, the proposed modification to M.A. 503 is drafted below. This proposal does not change the intention of the rule.
	M.A. 503:
	Installed service life limited components shall not exceed the approved service life, as specified in the approval maintenance programme and airworthiness directives. The approved service life is expressed in calendar time, flight hours or cycles as appropriate. At the end the approved service life the component must be removed from the aircraft for maintenance or disposal in the case of components with a certified life limit.
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 48 LBA	
Add: An aircraft maintenance licence becomes invalid two years after its last issue, if the holder is not working in a Part-M Subpart F or Part-145 organisation. For the renewal the holder has to show evidence to the competent authority, that he has either had experience in the inspection, servicing or maintenance of an aircraft or components in accordance with the privileges granted by the licence held for not less than six month, or has met the provision for the issue of a licence with the appropriate privileges in the last 24 month.	This issue cannot be included in this NPA as is not a editorial or minor change, nor was it subject to consultation. Nonetheless EASA is aware of this issue and has included in its Rulemaking programme under item 66.008 a task that is to address this issue.
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 49 LBA	
The format of the EASA Form 26 will be changed in Appendix V. LBA (CAA Germany) has 5.000 pre-printed EASA Form 26, old format: We have issue EASA Form 26 with the old format. since 01st January 2005, too. LBA will start to use the new format, when all 5.000 pre-printed EASA Form 26 are used. The old EASA Form 26 will replaced, when certificates are renewed.	Article 2 of Regulation 1592/2002 sets the Agency objectives. One of them is to assist Member States in fulfilling their obligations under the Chicago Convention. The EASA Form 26 does not comply with ICAO Annex I. It is therefore the Agency obligation to correct this situation. EASA is therefore unable to change the fact you have already pre-printed 5.000 copies but you could use these pre-printed copies until the amending Regulation is adopted.
Paragraph -	
Cmt. 47 LBA	
Will be an explanation available for 'service life' in the European rules? (e.g. Article 2 of CR (EC) No 2042/2003)	There have been misunderstandings among stakeholders on difference between service life limit and certified life limit, though, this seemed clearly drafting. To avoid any misunderstanding, the proposed modification to M.A. 503 is drafted below. This proposal does not change the intention of the rule.
	M.A. 503:
	Installed service life limited components shall not exceed the approved service life, as specified in the approved maintenance programme and airworthiness directives. The approved service life is expressed in calendar time, flight hours or cycles as appropriate. At the end the approved service life the component must be removed from the aircraft for maintenance or disposal in the case of components with a certified life limit.