



## Panel 7: Manufacturers – Supply Chain Control

Eric Parelton – VP Airworthiness Certification

EASA-FAA International Aviation Safety Conference  
Brussels, 10-12 June 2015

[www.thalesgroup.com](http://www.thalesgroup.com)

OPEN



## Supplier Situation

### Worldwide Exposure (EU, US, Canada, Brazil, etc)

- Different practices due to different systems
- EU: DOA Holders: CVE, DCS, Assurance Nominee, EASA
- US: DER Manuf. Or Consultant, ODA UM, barely FAA

### Exposure to different DOA Holders in Europe

- Require to fit with procedures of each DOA Holder
- Different Competence evaluations, level of Delegation  
Surveillance schemes

### Inefficient succession of audits

- Focused mainly on AEH/SW Development Assurance
  - Not on risk based approach/More process than product & safety
  - Too much Auditor dependent (great discrepancies)  
Unjustified cost impact when “Conformity to the letter”
- 2 ➤ Avionics competences of the Suppliers not exploited



TOGETHER,  
SAFER, EVERYWHERE

THALES

# Ways for Performance Improvements



## Supplier Competence Based Approach

Unique Competence Evaluation Standard  
(Industry DOA Think Tank on-going tasks)  
One evaluation valid for all DOA Holders  
Recognized by AMC/GM as ASD-STAN EN9100  
for POA



## Better use of ETSO AP-DOA and EASA Supplier performance assessment

In absence of DOA sub-tier, existing supplier  
assessment shall be used as credit for  
Supplier/DOAH interface



## Optimization of the certification work-sharing

Better use of the ETSO tool in Europe to de-risk TC activities  
upstream and to facilitate innovation  
Best work-share is when partners are performing in their best  
“value-added” fields

**THALES**