

THALES

Panel 7: Manufacturers – Supply Chain Control

Eric Parelou – VP Airworthiness Certification

EASA-FAA International Aviation Safety Conference
Brussels, 10-12 June 2015

www.thalesgroup.com

OPEN



Supplier Situation

Worldwide Exposure (EU, US, Canada, Brazil, etc)

- Different practices due to different systems
- EU: DOA Holders: CVE, DCS, Assurance Nominee, EASA
- US: DER Manuf. Or Consultant, ODA UM, barely FAA

Exposure to different DOA Holders in Europe

- Require to fit with procedures of each DOA Holder
- Different Competence evaluations, level of Delegation
Surveillance schemes

Inefficient succession of audits

- Focused mainly on AEH/SW Development Assurance
 - Not on risk based approach/More process than product & safety
 - Too much Auditor dependent (great discrepancies)
Unjustified cost impact when “ Conformity to the letter”
- 2 ➤ Avionics competences of the Suppliers not exploited



TOGETHER,
SAFER, EVERYWHERE

THALES

Ways for Performance Improvements

This document may not be reproduced, modified, adapted, published, translated, in any way, in whole or in part or disclosed to a third party without the prior written consent of Thales - © Thales 2015. All rights reserved.



Supplier Competence Based Approach

Unique Competence Evaluation Standard
(Industry DOA Think Tank on-going tasks)
One evaluation valid for all DOA Holders
Recognized by AMC/GM as ASD-STAN EN9100
for POA



Better use of ETSO AP-DOA and EASA Supplier performance assessment

In absence of DOA sub-tier, existing supplier
assessment shall be used as credit for
Supplier/DOAH interface



Optimization of the certification work-sharing

Better use of the ETSO tool in Europe to de-risk TC activities
upstream and to facilitate innovation
Best work-share is when partners are performing in their best
“value-added“ fields

THALES