

Rulemaking in the Agency: the Art of making sausages

Peter Corbeel
Initial Airworthiness Manager



Presentation Contents

- **→** General
- **→** Development of rulemaking programmes
- Notice of Proposed Amendment (NPA) process
- → Other issues



Rulemaking: general

- Task of the Agency: Basic Regulation Articles 13 and 14
- → Establishment of Rulemaking Procedure based on Article 43
- → EASA Management Board MB/7/03, 17 June 2003
- "Procedure ... for the issuing of opinions, certification specifications and guidance material": "Rulemaking Procedure"



Rulemaking: procedure

- **Contents:**
- → JAR 11 used as reference
- > section 1: Basic principles and applicability
- → Section 2: Rulemaking Procedure
 - **♦** programming
 - # {initiation, drafting, consultation, comments
 review, adoption}: "NPA process"
- **→** Section 3: Final provisions



Programming (process)

- Executive Director (ED) establishes annual rulemaking programme
- + He consults SSCC and AGNA
- **→** Any person may propose issues
- Programme supported by preliminary RIA (Regulatory Impact Assessment)
- > ED can adapt the programme
- Publication of programme
- > Regular review of the impact of rules



Programming (contents)

Programme takes account of:

- * EASA objectives: <u>safety</u>, environmental protection, free movement, cost-efficiency
- Criteria for implementing rules: state of the art, experience and technical progress
- ♦ Developments in European and international law
- ♦ Harmonisation with non-EU partners
- ♦ Risk assessment studies and research
- ♦ need to correct implementation problems
- → results of air accident investigations
- ♦ needs of emerging air traffic enhancement programmes



Actual Programmes (2004 & 2005/2007)

+ Covers:

- → Basic Regulation
- ◆ Part 21, M, 145, 66, 147 and AMC/GM
- ♦ Certification Specifications
- ♦ (Airworthiness Codes and AMC): CSDefinitions, CS-22, CS-VLA, CS-23, CS-25, CSAWO, CS- VLR, CS-27, CS-29, CS-34, CS-36,
 CS-E, CS-P, CS-APU, CS-ETSO, AMC-20,
 Airships and Balloons (CS-30 and -31)



Actual Programmes (2004 & 2005/2007)

→ Based upon:

- → JAA inventory of ongoing rulemaking activities and new ideas (JAA Steering Groups)
- Decisions in the JAA system to start a rulemaking activity.
- ♦ Deferred items from EASA Comment Response Documents
- Inputs from authorities and industry regarding implementation problems
- → Conclusion: Mainly continuation of JAA programme



Actual Programmes (2004 & 2005/2007)

- → Decision 2004 or 2005/2007 based on expectation when deliverable is ready
- → No preliminary RIAs because of lack of time
- → For implementation will rely heavily on CJAA and NAA's workforce
- Adoption of 2004 programme: signed 22/04/2004
- > Examples later in presentations



NPA Process

- **→** Initiation
- **→** Drafting
- → Consultation
- **→** Review of comments
- **→** Adoption and publication



NPA Process: Initiation

- → Draw up Terms of Reference after consulting SSCC and AGNA

 - **♦**timetable
 - ♦ format of deliverable
- → Choose between drafting group or Agency resources
- → If drafting group: ED decides composition after consulting SSCC and AGNA
- Agency to provide support to groups



NPA Process: drafting procedural

- → ED may amend ToR
- > ED to verify that drafting result satisfies ToR
- → Assign unique NPA reference code
- → Publish NPA, including
 - ♦ proposed rule

 - ♦ significant, contentious or interface issues
 - ♦ situation with respect to ICAO and non-EU AA
 - **♦full RIA**



NPA Process: drafting content

take account of:

- ◆EU Law (EASA Regulation)
- **♦ICAO SARPs**
- harmonisation with non-EU partners
- ♦ results of air accident investigations
- ♦ timely implementation
- ♦ compatibility with existing rules
- ♦ state of the art and best practices



NPA Process: consultation

- **→** Any person may comment
- Consultation period: 3 months although ED may decide on different period
- extensions can be granted only in exceptional cases
- + Comments shall contain:
 - → identification of the comment provider
 - ♦ NPA reference code
 - position with justification



NPA Process: review of comments

- Comments review by original drafter(s) <u>AND</u> experts not involved in the drafting
- → Comment response document (CRD) to be published 3 months after consultation
- above period can be amended
- if significant differences: ED may decide to re-circulate
- if major objections from NAA's (for rules to be applied by MS), ED shall consult AGNA



NPA Process: adoption and publication

→ ED will issue decision not earlier than 2 months after publication of CRD

→ Rules + explanatory memorandum to be published in Agency's Official publication



Rulemaking: other issues

- >> SSCC
- **AGNA**
- → co-operation with third countries and International organisations: arrangements
- → Access to documents: EC regulation 1049/2001
- > Retention of documents: indefinitely
- → Special procedures: A-NPA
- transition: easier procedure

European Aviation Safety Agency Rulemaking flow chart Rulemaking Programme Initiation several months Drafting Consultation 3 months **Review of Comments** 3 months (max) Publication of CRD 2 months **ED** Decision Publication





END

QUESTIONS: please after next presentation



Regulatory Impact Assessment

- → What: decision <u>support</u> process
- → Why: improve quality of regulation
- → How: clarify positive and negative impacts
- form not prescribed
- model provided
- → RIA proportionate to the likely impact



Regulatory Impact Assessment model

→ Purpose and Intended Effect

- ♦ Issue which the NPA is intended to address
- ♦ Scale of the issue (quantified if possible)
- ♦ Brief statement of the objectives of the NPA such as a safety improvement

→ Options

- ♦ The options identified and evaluated
- ♣ If possible the preferred option selected

> Sectors affected

* sectors affected and the number of organisations /
individuals / aircraft affected



Regulatory Impact Assessment model (continued)

→ Impacts

- **†** quantified
- → segmented by sector
- → addressing following considerations:
 - Safety,
 - Economic,
 - Environmental
 - Social
 - Other aviation requirements
- ♦ Equity and fairness issues



Regulatory Impact Assessment model (continued)

→ Consultation

- → Summary and Final Assessment
 - ♦ Comparison of impacts
 - summary of who are affected and issues of equity and fairness
 - ♦ Final assessment and recommendation

back